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BACKGROUND

To develop a viable and sustainable best practice model to promote elderly mental wellness and prevent elderly depression for Hong Kong, the Hong Kong Jockey Club Charities Trust has initiated a pilot holistic support project entitled “JC JoyAge: Holistic Support Project for Elderly Mental Wellness”. This project aims to develop and implement a collaborative stepped care and peer support programme for effective outreach, engagement, and prevention of depression among older people in four pilot districts in Hong Kong, namely Kwun Tong, Sham Shui Po, Kwai Chung, and Tseung Kwan O.

Objectives
1. To evaluate the effectiveness of a collaborative stepped care and peer support programme in engaging older people at-risk of or with depression.
2. To evaluate the efficacy of the programme in reducing symptoms/risks and promoting wellbeing in older people at-risk of or with depression.
3. To investigate the impact of the programme on care resources utilization in these older adults.

Methods
Design
This study compares older adults at-risk of or with depression receiving the stepped collaborative care (intervention group) versus those receiving standard care (control group) using propensity score matching method.

Participants
1. Intervention Group: older people at-risk of having depression (n=1,600) and those with clinically significant depressive symptoms (n=400) recruited from NGOs providing the intervention in four pilot districts in Hong Kong
2. Control Group: older people at-risk of having depression (n=400) and those with clinically significant depressive symptoms (n=100) referred by different NGOs in Hong Kong and recruited through open recruitment

The inclusion criteria are:
• age 60 years or above; and
• residing in Kwun Tong, Kwai Chung, Tseung Kwan O, or Sham Shui Po; and
• have one or more known risk factor(s) for developing depression; and/or
• have depressive symptoms of mild level or above; and
• able to give informed consent to participate

The exclusion criteria are:
• known history of autism, intellectual disability, schizophrenia-spectrum disorder, bipolar disorder, Parkinson’s disease, or dementia
• (temporary exclusion criteria) imminent suicidal risk;
• and difficulty in communication

Assessment Procedures
After basic screening, assessments will be conducted by trained social services staff and peer supporters employed by NGOs for the intervention group, and by trained research assistants employed by the University of Hong Kong for the control group.
For the intervention group, assessments will be conducted at baseline (T0/Intake), completion of intervention (4 weeks to 6 months, depending on individual condition) or drop-out (T1/Exit), and follow-up at 12 months (T2/FU). For control group, assessment would be conducted at T0 and T2.

Assessment for those with clinically significant depressive symptoms will last for about 90 minutes, and assessment for those at-risk of depression will last for around 60 minutes.

**Interventions**
The intervention group will receive a collaborative stepped care programme provided by registered social workers and trained peer supporters from elderly or mental health service units (NGOs) according to level of risks, symptom severity, and intervention response. Home visits or other format of contact will be delivered by trained peer supporters employed by NGOs to detect and engage hidden cases.

For “at-risk” group, 4 weeks of “selective prevention” group sessions will be provided at the elderly service level by trained peer supporters with registered social worker supervision, on wellness topics tailored to the person’s concern as entry point, packaged with mental health information, followed by a review. If the review shows no significant improvement, a further 2-4 weeks of group plus individual casework sessions will be provided.

For people with depressive symptoms, we further divide them to “mild” and “moderate” groups. For mild group, 6-8 weeks of indicated prevention with psychoeducation or low-intensity psychotherapy would be provided. For moderate group, 6-8 weeks high-intensity cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) would be provided. All intervention for depressed elderly would be conducted by registered social workers who are trained by this project and employed by the NGOs. The trained peer supporters will be matched to individual older adults to walk them through the process.

The control group will receive treatment as usual, which will be determined by the responsible worker from NGO units.

**Measurements**
1. Basic demographics: age, gender, marital status, education (years and highest attainment), and work experience.
2. Risk flowchart: a customized questionnaire for quick screening of the following known risk factors for late-life depression: recent spousal death; widowed; disability; living alone; pain & sleep complaints.
3. The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (Kroenke, Spitzer, & Williams, 2001): a 9-item instrument that incorporates depression diagnostic criteria with other leading major depressive symptoms, and rates the frequency of the symptoms which factors into the scoring severity index. PHQ-9 scores of 5-9, 10-14, 15-19, 20 and above represent mild, moderate, moderately severe, and severe depression.
4. Self-harm risk assessment: assessment by social service staff on participant’s risk of self-harm (yes or no answers to 10 items) and harm to others (yes or no answers to 4 items), and in the end social service staff will give an overall evaluation of suicidal risk score ranging from 0-No to 3-High.
5. Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7; Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams, & Lowe, 2006): a 7-item scale, responses to each item are rated on a 4-point Likert scale and range from 0 to 3. The following guidelines are recommended for the interpretation: 5-9 for mild anxiety, 10-14 for moderate anxiety, and 15-21 for severe anxiety.

6. Hong Kong Montreal Cognitive Assessment 5-Minute Protocol (HK-MoCA 5-Min) (Wong et al., 2015): a validated and reliable cognitive screen for stroke and transient ischemic attack, brief and highly feasible for telephone administration. It includes four items examining attention, verbal learning and memory, executive functions/language, and orientation extracted from the MoCA.

7. Name generator: asking participants to list out names of people who they would turn to when they feel down, and when they need help for trivial things.

8. UCLA loneliness scale (UCLA-3) (Hughes, Waite, Hawkley, & Cacioppo, 2004): a 3-item self-report measuring individual’s perceived loneliness. Each item is evaluated with scores ranging from 0 (never) to 3 (often), total score is the sum of all items, and higher score indicates higher level of perceived loneliness.

9. EQ-5D-5L (Herdman et al., 2011): a measure of health-related quality of life at five dimensions (5D), each with five levels (5L) of problems, and the five dimensions are: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. The traditional Chinese version for Hong Kong developed by EuroQol Group would be used.

10. Life engagement: a semi-structured interview asking clients about their typical day activities in three domains, physical, social, and mental. A combination of being active in at least two domains would be used as one of the exit criteria.

11. Client Service Receipt Inventory (CSRI) (Chisholm et al., 2000): to collect the current types and level of services which comprise the care package of each participant, and a locally adapted short version would be developed for this purpose.
Data Analysis

The steps for a propensity score analysis would be adapted from Shever et al. (2008)’s guideline. In the propensity score model, the dichotomous intervention is treated as a dependent variable, where the observed covariates are considered to be predictors. Based on the relationships with intervention and outcome, observed covariates can be categorized into three groups: covariates only related to intervention assignment; covariates related to both intervention assignment and outcome (i.e., confounders); and covariates only related to outcome. Only confounders would be included in the propensity score model (Perkins, Tu, Underhill, Zhou, & Murray, 2000). Intervention cases would be selected that have similar propensity score as the control cases.

After the matching cases are selected, data will then be analysed using a pre-post analysis based on different time points. For the main outcome measures of depressive symptoms/risks and quality of life, a linear mixed model will be used to compare the changes in primary and secondary outcomes from T0/Intake to T2/FU between the two groups. To test for sustained effect after completion of intervention, a linear mixed model will be used to assess the changes in primary and secondary outcomes from T0/Baseline to T1/Exit and T2/FU within-subjects. All results will be reported with the appropriate effect sizes, along with statistical significances and confidence intervals.

To investigate engagement in the intervention versus control group, the following analyses will be conducted: (1) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of the time to the primary endpoint of voluntary withdrawal from service; (2) Chi-square test of initial refusal of service by eligible participants after screening.
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