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1. Protocol Summary

1.1. Synopsis

**Protocol Title:** BOTOX® (onabotulinumtoxinA) Treatment of Masseter Muscle Prominence: A Phase 2b, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Multi-Dose, Placebo-Controlled Study

**Protocol Number:** 1789-202-008

**Brief Title:** BOTOX Treatment of Masseter Muscle Prominence

**Study Phase:** 2b

**Study Rationale:**

The masseter muscle is 1 of 4 muscles used for mastication. Prominence of the masseter muscle can appear as a widened lower face, which is an aesthetic concern for individuals who prefer a narrower lower face shape. When BOTOX is injected into a masseter muscle, BOTOX treatment has been observed to reduce the size of the muscle (Moore 1994), producing an effect perceived as lower facial shaping or slimming (Wu 2010).

Study 191622-130 was the first Allergan-sponsored study of BOTOX for the treatment of masseter muscle prominence (MMP). This was a 12-month, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation, Phase 2 study in which BOTOX treatment of MMP was shown to be safe at doses ranging from 24 U (12 U/masseter) to 96 U (48 U/masseter). Participants were adults < 50 years with body mass index ≤ 30 kg/m² and who had marked to very marked MMP, assessed by the investigator using the Masseter Muscle Prominence Scale (MMPS). For the primary and key secondary endpoints (at Day 90), statistically significant positive efficacy results were demonstrated for all 4 BOTOX doses, with a dose-dependent trend favoring the higher 3 doses (48 U, 72 U, and 96 U), which produced statistically significant changes in MMP compared with placebo. There were no safety trends or patterns identified with a dose increase, although facial paresis (including reports of weak or altered smile) was reported in the highest dose group (96 U) as a local effect.

Based on the results of the Phase 2 Study 191622-130, the current Phase 2b study is designed to further evaluate the safety and efficacy of BOTOX 48 U and 72 U for the treatment of MMP in adults.
**BOTOX**

**Objectives and Endpoints:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Endpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary</strong></td>
<td><strong>Primary</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To compare the efficacy of BOTOX with placebo in participants with bilateral MMP</td>
<td>• Proportion of responders who achieve MMPS Grade ≤ 3 at Day 90, per investigator assessments of MMP using the MMPS (5 severity grades: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked, 5 = very marked)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To compare the safety of BOTOX with placebo in participants with MMP</td>
<td>• Incidence of adverse events (AEs) and change from baseline in vital signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary</strong></td>
<td><strong>Secondary</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To compare the efficacy of BOTOX with placebo in participants with MMP based on multiple clinical efficacy assessments</td>
<td>• Proportion of responders who achieve Masseter Muscle Prominence Scale – Participant (MMPS-P) Grade ≤ 3 at Day 90, per participant assessments of MMP using the MMPS-P (5 severity grades: 1 = not at all pronounced, 2 = mildly pronounced, 3 = moderately pronounced, 4 = pronounced, 5 = very pronounced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Proportion of responders who achieve ≥ 2-grade improvement from baseline at Day 90, per investigator using the MMPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Proportion of responders who achieve ≥ 2-grade improvement from baseline at Day 90, per participant using the MMPS-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Proportion of responders who achieve Participant Self-Assessment of Change (PSAC) Grade ≥ 2 (at least moderately improved from baseline) at Day 90, per participant using the PSAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Change from baseline in lower facial volume (cm³) at Day 90, calculated from standardized images</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BOTOX

Overall Study Design:
This is a 6-month, multicenter study consisting of a single treatment period. The study is a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, single-treatment design, which will assess the safety and efficacy of BOTOX treatment for MMP. Up to 8 scheduled visits are planned: screening (Day -14 to Day -1), baseline (Day 1), follow-up (Days 30, 60, 90, 120, 150), and study exit (Day 180).

Number of Participants:
Approximately 150 participants will be randomized in a 1:1:1 ratio yielding approximately 50 participants in each of the BOTOX 48 U and 72 U groups and 50 in the placebo group.

Number of Sites:
Approximately 15 sites in the US

Intervention Groups and Study Duration:
On Day 1, participants will be randomized in a 1:1:1 ratio to receive a single treatment of BOTOX 48 U, BOTOX 72 U, or placebo. Randomization will be stratified at each investigator site by the participant’s baseline MMPS Grade (4 or 5). After verification that the participants meet all inclusion and exclusion criteria and completion of all baseline study procedures, participants will be randomized and enrolled.

Treatments will be administered intramuscularly to the bilateral masseter muscles as

The total study duration is approximately 6 months.

Data Monitoring Committee: No
Protocol 1789-202-008
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2. Introduction
BOTOX has been used for therapeutic and aesthetic purposes for 3 decades, with its first therapeutic approval (treatment of facial spasmodic disorders) in 1989 and first aesthetic approval (treatment of glabellar lines) in 2002. Since the first reports of clinical results with botulinum toxin type A treatment of MMH (Moore 1994, Smyth 1994), numerous publications in the medical literature suggest BOTOX may be a locally applied, well-tolerated, and predictable treatment to improve the appearance of the masseter muscles in the lower face. Compared with conservative approaches, BOTOX may produce a relatively rapid, predictable, and desired effect of reducing the size and shape of the masseter muscles; compared with surgical treatment, BOTOX injections may be less invasive, may lead to fewer side effects and a shorter recovery time, and the chemical denervation of the masseter muscle is temporary.

2.1. Study Rationale
The masseter muscle is 1 of 4 muscles used for mastication. Prominence of the masseter muscle can appear as a widened and square lower face shape, which is an aesthetic concern for individuals who prefer a narrower and more ovoid lower face shape.

When BOTOX is injected into a muscle, it interferes with neuromuscular transmission, producing temporary chemical denervation resulting in localized relaxation of the muscle and reduction in muscle activity. When injected into a masseter muscle, BOTOX treatment has been observed to reduce the size of the muscle (Moore 1994), producing an effect perceived as lower facial shaping or slimming (Wu 2010).

Study 191622-130 was the first Allergan-sponsored study of BOTOX for the treatment of MMP as an aesthetic indication. This was a 12-month, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation, Phase 2 study in which BOTOX treatment of MMP was shown to be safe at doses ranging from 24 U (12 U/masseter) to 96 U (48 U/masseter). Participants were adults < 50 years with BMI ≤ 30 kg/m² and who had marked to very marked MMP, assessed by the investigator using the MMPS. For the primary and key secondary endpoints (at Day 90), statistically significant positive efficacy results were demonstrated for all 4 BOTOX doses compared with placebo, with a dose-dependent trend favoring the higher 3 doses (48 U, 72 U, and 96 U). There were no safety trends or patterns identified with a dose increase, although facial paresis (including reports of weak or altered smile) was reported in the highest dose group (96 U) as a local effect. For details of dose selection and justification for the current Phase 2b study, see Section 4.3.

Study 191622-130 safety measures included standardized dental and CT exams with the goal to identify and characterize BOTOX treatment effects on dentition, masseter muscle volume, and
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the mandible. Dental exam data yielded no abnormal clinically meaningful posttreatment findings for any participant in the study. No safety signal or unexpected new pathological development was detected from any exploratory radiologic CT measure. There were no dose-related trends, AE reports, or abnormal clinically relevant findings on dental examination.

Based on the results of the Phase 2 Study 191622-130, the current Phase 2b study is designed to further evaluate the safety and efficacy of BOTOX 48 U and 72 U for the treatment of MMP in adults.

2.2. Background

The masseter muscle functions to protract and elevate the mandible for mastication. Prominence of the masseter muscle can appear as a widened lower face, which is an aesthetic concern for individuals who prefer a narrower lower face shape. Individuals who deem this masseter prominence as aesthetically undesirable may seek medical treatment to decrease a wide, bulky, or square-appearing lower face (Ahn 2004, Chai 2011, Jin 2005, Klein 2014, Liew 2008, Morris 2007, Mu 2010, Pu 2009, Shim 2010).

In medical literature, MMH can be defined as encompassing both functional and aesthetic symptoms of an enlarged masseter muscle (Fedorowicz 2013). Allergan’s focus is the aesthetic indication; to clarify this, Allergan has shifted from the term MMH used in Study 191622-130 to the term MMP for the remainder of the development program.

MMP may be unilateral or bilateral. It may be idiopathic or may occur in association with conditions such as bruxism, occlusal and muscular imbalances, TMJD, or particular chewing habits and/or diets (eg, unilateral chewing, chewing gum or hard foods) (Aydil 2012, Choe 2005, Mischkowskki 2005). The highest incidence of MMP is believed to occur in the second and third decades of life, and there is no gender predilection (Smyth 1994). Patients with MMP may also have mandibular bony prominence (eg, a prominent mandibular angle) that may contribute to the appearance of a wide lower face.

2.3. Benefit/Risk Assessment

Current treatment options for MMP include conservative (nonsurgical) as well as invasive treatment modalities. Conservative treatments include reducing the muscular function and activity by behavioral modification, occlusal splints, and/or muscle relaxants (Ahn 2004, Aydil 2012, Mischkowski 2005, Rauso 2010, Tartaro 2008, To 2001). These methods have not been rigorously studied for this indication and are believed to have limited efficacy. Invasive therapies for treating a wide lower face include surgical procedures such as osteotomy, ostectomy, and corticectomy to change the shape of the jawline targeting the mandible and/or including excision of the masseter (Baek 1989, Chai 2011, Deguchi 1997, Jin 2004, Jin 2005, Kim 2001, Kim 2003, Lee 2003, Lee 2006, Mu 2010, Onizuka 1983, Sumiya 2004, Yang 1991), and radiofrequency volumetric reduction of the masseter (Park 2007). Risks of surgical reduction of the mandible and/or masseter muscle include those of a general anesthetic, pain and discomfort, postoperative hemorrhage, edema, hematoma, infection, scarring, injury to the alveolar nerve, fracture of the ramus, condyle, or subcondyle mandibular bone structures, asymmetric result, and facial nerve damage (Baek 1989, Chai 2011, Choe 2005, Deguchi 1997, Jin 2005, Morris 2007, Mu 2010, Pu 2009, Yang 1995). BOTOX for MMP represents a potentially lower-risk alternative to surgical intervention and higher efficacious alternative to other conservative nonsurgical treatment options.

In general, data from the completed Phase 2 clinical study of BOTOX treatment of MMP and from the medical literature show that BOTOX treatment of MMP has been well tolerated with AEs that were primarily local and expected, based on the well-established safety profile of BOTOX and the muscles injected. In the Phase 2 Study 191622-130, the most frequently reported treatment-related AEs included commonly occurring conditions in the general population (eg, headache [2.0%, 3/150]), events associated with the injection procedure (eg, injection site pain [3.3%, 5/150]), or events related to local muscle weakness that impact smiling or chewing that are consistent with the known BOTOX pharmacological effects following injection into the masseter (eg, mastication disorder [5.3%, 8/150] and facial paresis [2.7%, 4/150; reported verbatim terms: weakness when smiling, altered smile, right depressor labia inferior paresis, and participant-noticed possible loss of movement along jawline]).

Similar safety results have been described in the medical literature following BOTOX treatment of MMP in 1178 participants with various doses (ranging from 10 to 100 U/masseter) and numbers of treatment cycles (Section 5.2.2 of the Masseter Muscle Prominence Investigator Brochure). The most frequently reported AEs were pain, discomfort, or muscle ache at the sites of injection. Because the masseter muscle is one of the primary muscles of mastication, its treatment resulted in some reports of transient masticatory difficulties (weakness chewing), which was the next most frequently reported AE in these publications. BOTOX injection of the masseter and/or adjacent facial muscles also resulted in some cosmetic complaints, which were
BOTOX reported less frequently. In general, adverse reactions occur within the first few days to weeks following injection of BOTOX and, while generally transient, may have a duration of several months or, in rare cases, longer. More detailed information about the known and expected benefits and risks and reasonably expected AEs with BOTOX treatment may be found in the investigator’s brochure and package insert.
3. **Objectives and Endpoints**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Endpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary</strong></td>
<td><strong>Endpoints</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To compare the efficacy of BOTOX with placebo in participants with bilateral MMP</td>
<td>Proportion of responders who achieve MMPS Grade ≤ 3 at Day 90, per investigator assessments of MMP using the MMPS (5 severity grades: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked, 5 = very marked)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To compare the safety of BOTOX with placebo in participants with MMP</td>
<td>Incidence of AEs and change from baseline in vital signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To compare the efficacy of BOTOX with placebo in participants with MMP based on multiple clinical efficacy assessments</td>
<td>Proportion of responders who achieve MMPS-P Grade ≤ 3 at Day 90, per participant assessments of MMP using the MMPS-P (5 severity grades: 1 = not at all pronounced, 2 = mildly pronounced, 3 = moderately pronounced, 4 = pronounced, 5 = very pronounced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of responders who achieve ≥ 2-grade improvement from baseline at Day 90, per investigator using the MMPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of responders who achieve ≥ 2-grade improvement from baseline at Day 90, per participant using the MMPS-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of responders who achieve PSAC Grade ≥ 2 (at least moderately improved from baseline), per participant using the PSAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change from baseline in lower facial volume (cm³) at Day 90, calculated from standardized images</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Study Design

4.1. Overall Design

This is a 6-month, multicenter study consisting of a single treatment period and approximately 150 enrolled participants. The study is a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, single-study-intervention design, which will assess the safety and efficacy of BOTOX treatment for MMP (see Schema, Section 1.2).

On Day 1, participants will be randomized in a 1:1:1 ratio to receive a single treatment of BOTOX 48 U, BOTOX 72 U, or placebo. Randomization will be stratified at each investigator site by the participant’s baseline MMPS Grade (4 or 5). Up to 8 scheduled visits are planned: screening (Day -14 to Day -1), baseline (Day 1), follow-up (Days 30, 60, 90, 120, 150), and study exit (Day 180).

After verification that the participants meet all inclusion and exclusion criteria and completion of all baseline study procedures, participants will be randomized and enrolled. Once enrolled, participants will spend approximately 6 months in the study.

On Day 1, participants will receive a single treatment of either BOTOX (48 U or 72 U) or placebo administered bilaterally to the masseter muscles as 3 injections/masseter in the area of maximal muscle bulge (Figure 6-1, Section 6.1). The final reconstituted volume for all interventions will be the same 1.8 mL (0.3 mL per injection site). This is to ensure the investigator and participant remain blinded to the study intervention administered. An IDR, who has no other participant-related activities for this study, will prepare all study interventions. After study intervention is administered on Day 1, participants will return for follow-up assessments.

4.2. Scientific Rationale for Study Design

In the current study, all participants will be assessed for a minimum of 180 days after double-blind study intervention on Day 1. A randomized double-blind design minimizes investigator and participant bias and the placebo control provides a comparator. Previous studies, including Allergan’s Study 191622-130, reported peak efficacy of botulinum toxin treatment of MMP at 3 months (Hong 2005, Kim 2003, Kim 2007, Park 2003), therefore, Day 90 has been chosen as the primary timepoint in the present study. In Study 191622-130, statistically significant effects were lost for most efficacy measures after 6 months; therefore, a follow-up period of 180 days is considered appropriate for this Phase 2b study.
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Allergan developed the MMPS, a clinician’s assessment tool for evaluation of MMP. In the present study, the MMPS is to be used by trained clinicians to evaluate and grade the prominence of the masseter muscle on the left and right sides of the face as the primary endpoint measure. The MMPS is a static measurement encompassing both visual and palpable examination of the masseter muscle at rest and at jaw-clench state. The MMPS showed substantial inter- and intrarater reliability in the nontreatment scale validation Study 191622-128, confirming its acceptability for use in the present study. Study 191622-130 was the first study to use the MMPS to assess the treatment effect of BOTOX and it demonstrated statistically significant greater proportions of responders in achieving both a MMPS Grade \( \leq 3 \), and \( \geq 1 \)-grade or \( \geq 2 \)-grade improvements from baseline, as assessed by the investigator at the primary timepoint. Results from Study 191622-130 support a clinician tool (MMPS) that can immediately assess the relevant masseter prominence without requiring radiological exposure from CT scans or 3D standardized imaging that requires a trained photo analyst to confirm the aesthetic complaint described by the participant.

In the medical literature, various imaging methods have been used to assess effects of botulinum toxin on masseter muscle size and lower facial shape (refer to the investigator’s brochure). Technologies used to measure quantitative changes in masseter muscle volume have included ultrasound, CT, and MRI. Photographic technologies developed to provide 3D quantitative analysis of facial morphology include image subtraction technique, moire topography, liquid crystal scanning, light luminance scanning, laser scanning, stereo-lithography, and passive stereophotogrammetry (Adriaens 2012, Kim 2005, Tzou 2011), which all measure change to the lower facial contour.

The VECTRA M3 3D Stereophotogrammetry system (Canfield Scientific, Inc.; Fairfield, New Jersey, USA) will be used to quantify the effect of BOTOX on lower facial volume as a secondary endpoint measure. This system has previously been validated for use in the facial region (de Menezes 2010), and the lower facial area encompassing the masseter muscle (MV Report#1832, MV-Report#1832-Addendum). The efficacy measures used to quantitate volume in Study 191622-130 demonstrated that lower facial volume reductions using 3D images (primary endpoint) correlated well with volume reductions in masseter muscle in a defined region using CT scans. Similarly, decreases in lower facial width using 2D image projections showed improvements comparable with the 3D and CT volume results.
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Safety assessments include AEs and vital signs. In the Phase 2 Study 191622-130, the most frequently reported AEs included commonly occurring conditions in the general population (eg, nasopharyngitis [8.0% of all BOTOX-treated participants], upper respiratory tract infection [6.0%], and headache [5.3%]) or events related to chewing that are not unexpected following injection into the masseter (eg, mastication disorder [6.0%]). Of the reported AEs among the 1178 subjects treated with BOTOX for MMP in the published medical literature, the most frequently reported AEs were pain, discomfort, or muscle ache at the sites of injection. Because the masseter muscle is one of the primary muscles of mastication, its treatment resulted in some reports of transient masticatory difficulties (ie, weakness chewing), which was the next most frequently reported AE in these publications. BOTOX injection of the masseter and/or adjacent facial muscles also resulted in some cosmetic complaints, which were reported less frequently. In general, these AEs have been reported as mild and resolved without treatment.

Study 191622-130 yielded no new or meaningful safety findings from dental or CT exams, rather analysis of AEs provided the most sensitive assessment of safety; thus, this Phase 2b study uses routine AE monitoring (as well as vital sign monitoring) to characterize safety.

4.3. Justification for Dose

In Allergan’s Phase 2 Study 191622-130, participants received total doses ranging from BOTOX 24 U to 96 U. For the primary and key secondary endpoints (at Day 90), statistically significant positive efficacy results were demonstrated for all 4 BOTOX doses, with a dose-dependent trend favoring the higher 3 doses (48 U, 72 U, and 96 U), which produced statistically significant changes in MMP compared with placebo. The benefits of 72 U and 96 U were similar for duration (approximately 9 months for Kaplan-Meier median time to loss of 1-grade MMPS response), volume reduction, MMPS Grade change, facial width, facial angle, and facial shape. There was no additional benefit demonstrated with 96 U over 72 U. Statistically significant efficacy results were also obtained with the 24 U dose versus placebo for the majority of endpoints evaluated, though not all. However, the Kaplan-Meier duration of effect based on median time to loss of MMPS 1- or 2-grade improvement was approximately 3 to 7 weeks shorter in the BOTOX 24 U group than the BOTOX 48 U group.

The proportion of responders achieving a rating of \( \leq 3 \) (moderate) on the MMPS was significantly greater with all BOTOX doses compared with placebo (\( p < 0.008 \)), with the greatest impact shown in the 48 U (83.3%), 72 U (89.5%), and 96 U (89.5%) dose groups at Day 90.

Participants treated with BOTOX experienced significant reductions in lower facial volume (cm\(^3\)) at Day 90 compared with placebo (\( p < 0.001 \)), with the greatest mean reductions shown in the 3 highest BOTOX dose groups of 48 U (-6.84 cm\(^3\)), 72 U (-7.41 cm\(^3\)), and 96 U (-8.20 cm\(^3\)).
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The responder rate for achieving a 2-grade improvement from baseline using the MMPS was significantly greater with all BOTOX doses compared with placebo (p < 0.001), with the greatest proportion of responders in the 72 U (73.7%) and the 96 U (65.8%) dose groups at Day 90; the proportion of responders in the 48 U dose group was 47.2%.

BOTOX administration was shown to be safe through Day 360 for all doses (24 U, 48 U, 72 U, and 96 U). Facial paresis (including reports of weak or altered smile) was reported in the highest dose group (96 U) as a local effect.

A review of the medical literature of BOTOX treatment of MMH found a mean dose of approximately 38 U per masseter (range: 10 U to 100 U/masseter) administered into 1 to 6 injection sites/masseter (refer to the investigator’s brochure). This compares with doses of 24 U to 36 U per masseter to be used in this study.

Based on efficacy and safety data obtained in the Phase 2 Study 191622-130, including duration of effect, and taking into account data available in the medical literature, this study will evaluate total doses of 48 U and 72 U BOTOX versus placebo.

4.4. End of Study Definition

The end of the study is defined as the date of the last visit of the last participant.

A participant is considered to have completed the study if he/she is a randomized participant who was treated with study intervention, has not been discontinued for any reason, and attends/completes the study exit visit.
5. **Study Population**

The study population will be adult participants with *marked* (Grade 4) or *very marked* (Grade 5) MMP as determined by the investigator using the MMPS AND with *pronounced* (Grade 4) or *very pronounced* (Grade 5) MMP as determined by the participant using the MMPS-P; and who meet eligibility criteria for this protocol as specified in Section 5.1 and Section 5.2.

Prospective approval of protocol deviations to recruitment and enrollment criteria, also known as protocol waivers or exemptions, are not permitted.

5.1. **Inclusion Criteria**

Participants are eligible to be included in the study only if all of the following criteria apply:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>Participant must be at least 18 years of age (or older if legal age of adulthood is &gt; 18 as per local regulations), at the time of signing the informed consent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.</th>
<th>Type of Participant and Masseter Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>Participant has a marked (Grade 4) or very marked (Grade 5) bilateral MMP (identical grades for left and right masseter), as determined at the Day 1 visit by the investigator using the MMPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>Participant has a pronounced (Grade 4) or very pronounced (Grade 5) MMP, as determined at the Day 1 visit by the participant using the MMPS-P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.</th>
<th>Weight and Body Mass Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>BMI ≤ 30 kg/m² using the calculation: BMI = weight (kg)/[height (m)]²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>Male or female</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 5. | Contraceptives |
Female participants willing to minimize the risk of inducing pregnancy for the duration of the clinical study and follow-up period.

A female participant is eligible to participate if she is not pregnant (has a negative urine pregnancy result prior to randomization), not breastfeeding, and at least one of the following conditions applies:

a. Not a WOCBP as defined in Appendix 6

OR

b. A WOCBP who agrees to follow the contraceptive guidance in Appendix 6 during the treatment and follow-up period.

5.2. Exclusion Criteria

Participants are excluded from the study if any of the following criteria apply:

1. Medical Conditions

1.01 Any medical condition that may put the participant at increased medical risk with exposure to BOTOX, including diagnosed myasthenia gravis, Eaton-Lambert syndrome, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, or any other condition that might interfere with neuromuscular function

1.02 Any uncontrolled medical condition

1.03 An anticipated need for surgery or overnight hospitalization during the study
### 2. Prior/Concomitant Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.01</th>
<th>An anticipated need for treatment with botulinum toxin of any serotype for any indication during the study (other than study intervention)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>History of dental or surgical procedure for lower facial shaping or masseter muscle reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>Prior mid-facial and/or lower facial treatment with nonpermanent soft tissue fillers, synthetic implantations, autologous fat transplantation, fat-reducing injectables, and/or skin-tightening laser treatments within 6 months prior to Day 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>Current or planned dental or facial procedures during the study period (eg, braces, dental implants, and reconstructive or aesthetic surgery) that could interfere with MMPS, as determined by the investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>Facial hair or scarring (eg, acne) significant enough to interfere with the 3D clinical imaging assessment, as determined by Canfield Scientific, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Prior/Concurrent Clinical Study Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.01</th>
<th>Current enrollment in an investigational drug or device study or participation in such a study within 30 days of Day 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>Prior exposure to botulinum toxin of any serotype to the masseter muscle or lower face at any time, or to any other part of the body within the 6 months prior to Day 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Diagnostic Assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.01</th>
<th>Current intraoral infection, including infection of the mouth or gums, or facial skin infection requiring medical treatment in the opinion of the investigator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>History of or current TMJD, or presence of signs/symptoms of possible TMJD (see Section 8.2.5), in the opinion of the investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>Weakness of the masseter, pterygoid, or temporalis muscles due to trauma, facial nerve injury, or other condition that could interfere with normal chewing and jaw clenching, as determined by the investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>Excess lower facial fat, loose or lax skin in lower face, or parotid gland prominence that could interfere with MMPS, as determined by the investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>Significant asymmetry of left and right sides of the face that could prevent identical MMPS grading on both sides of the face, as determined by the investigator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.06

4.07 Masseter prominence due to other etiologies (eg, parotid gland infection, parotiditis, malignancy) based upon findings from the oral examination (see Section 8.2.4)

5.

5.3. **Lifestyle Considerations**

Not applicable; no restrictions are required.

5.4. **Screen Failures**

Screen failures are defined as participants who consent to participate in the clinical study but are not subsequently entered in the study. A minimal set of screen failure information is required to ensure transparent reporting of screen failure participants to meet the CONSORT publishing requirements and to respond to queries from regulatory authorities. Minimal information includes demography, screen failure details, eligibility criteria, and any SAE.

Individuals who do not meet the criteria for participation in this study (screen failures) may not be rescreened.
6. Study Intervention

Study intervention is defined as any investigational intervention(s), marketed product(s), placebo, or medical device(s) intended to be administered to a study participant according to the study protocol. All investigators and site staff involved with preparation and injection of study interventions will receive training that is appropriate to their role, and the training will be documented.

6.1. Study Interventions Administered

The study interventions administered are summarized in Table 6–1.

Table 6–1 Study Interventions Administered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Intervention Name</th>
<th>BOTOX 48 U</th>
<th>BOTOX 72 U</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11DEC2020 CSR 1789-202-008</td>
<td>BOTOX (botulinum toxin type A)</td>
<td>BOTOX (botulinum toxin type A)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dosage Formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Intervention Name</th>
<th>BOTOX 48 U</th>
<th>BOTOX 72 U</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11DEC2020 CSR 1789-202-008</td>
<td>BOTOX (botulinum toxin type A)</td>
<td>BOTOX (botulinum toxin type A)</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approval Date: 12-Feb-2019
To identify the masseter muscle treatment area, the investigator will first draw a line with a surgical marker from the corner of the mouth to a point at the inferior border of the ear, where the ear lobe attaches to the face (Figure 6-1, Line A). The investigator will instruct the participant to maximally clench his/her jaw (mouth closed and teeth together), and with visual inspection and manual palpation, will outline the area of maximal bulge of the masseter muscle.
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The investigator will mark the 3 injection sites within the treatment area on the masseter muscle, which should be located at or below Line A and posterior to the risorius and anterior to the parotid gland (Figure 6-1, Injection area [circled]). The bulkiest point of the masseter muscle will be marked as the first injection site; 2 additional injection site marks will be made relative to this point. The injection sites should be spaced approximately 1 cm apart from each other within the treatment area. In the same manner, the investigator will identify the 3 injection sites to the contralateral masseter muscle.

With the participant no longer clenching his/her jaw, the investigator will inject the needle tip into the first marked injection site perpendicularly to the full depth of the muscle. For each injection, the needle direction within the muscle bulk should be perpendicular and not oblique, and the volume should be distributed within the deeper and more superficial muscle layers. For each injection site, an equal volume will be administered (see Table 6–2).

After completing the 3 injections of the masseter muscle on 1 side of the face, the investigator should apply direct pressure to the treatment area for approximately 30 seconds. If there is evidence of cutaneous bleeding or emerging hematoma, continued direct pressure should be applied until the bleeding stops. The same procedure will be followed for injection to the contralateral masseter muscle. The participant should be observed for at least 30 minutes after the injections for AEs.

Immediately before dispensing the study intervention, the investigator (or appropriately trained designee) will write the participant’s identification number and the date on the label.
6.1.1. Other Study Supplies

The following will be provided by the sponsor or designee:

- Study intervention
- Syringe labels
- Temperature recording device for monitoring refrigerator temperature (if applicable)
- Imaging equipment (supplied by a third-party vendor)
- eCOA devices (supplied by a third-party vendor)

The following will be provided by the study site:

- Monitor and DVD player for viewing participant instructions.
- Cotton pads and makeup remover
- Alcohol wipes
- Medical gloves
- Urine pregnancy tests with a minimum sensitivity of 25 IU/mL
- Sterile surgical marker pens
- Appropriately-sized sterile needles and syringes for study intervention reconstitution and injection
- Lockable refrigerator, to store study intervention kits according to the study manual
- Covered container for discarded medical waste materials (sharps box)
- Internet connection (high-speed connection for eCRF completion)

6.2. Preparation/Handling/Storage/Accountability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Intervention</th>
<th>Total Dose (U); Total Volume (mL)</th>
<th>Masseter Dose (U); Volume per Masseter (mL)</th>
<th>Volume per Injection (mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOTOX 48 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTOX 72 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo (0 U)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed instructions on reconstitution and syringe preparation are provided in the Study Manual.
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The investigator or designee must confirm appropriate temperature conditions have been
maintained during transit for all study intervention received and any discrepancies are reported
and resolved before use of the study intervention.

Only participants enrolled in the study may receive study intervention, and only authorized site
staff may supply or administer study intervention. All study intervention must be stored in a
secure, environmentally controlled, and monitored (manual or automated) area in accordance
with the labeled storage conditions with access limited to the investigator and authorized site
staff.

The investigator, institution, or the head of the medical institution (where applicable) is
responsible for study intervention accountability, reconciliation, and record maintenance (ie,
receipt, reconciliation, and final disposition records).

All unused study intervention must be stored secured, with limited access to a designated
unblinded site member, and returned to the sponsor or designee once expired or at the
termination of study. Unit counts will be performed when the study intervention is returned, and
all study intervention must be accounted for.

6.3. Measures to Minimize Bias: Randomization and Blinding

At the Screening Visit, after the participant signs the ICF, the site will log on to the IWRS to
obtain a participant number. On Day 1, the IWRS will be used to manage the randomization and
assignment of participants into one of the 3 study intervention groups based on a randomization
schedule prepared by the sponsor’s Biostatistics department. The randomization will occur after
all baseline procedures have been completed and the investigator has verified that the participant
has met all inclusion and exclusion criteria. Randomization will be stratified at each investigator
site by the participant’s baseline (Day 1) MMPS Grade (4 or 5), as assessed by the investigator.

All study interventions will be provided in identical vials and cartons to maintain blinding of the
study. In addition, the injection volume and study intervention administration will be identical
for all groups.

At each study site, a designated staff member will serve as the IDR who will be responsible for
reconstituting the study interventions and preparing and labeling the injection syringes. This
person will be a staff member with no study responsibilities that require interaction with
participants or with participant efficacy or safety data.

The IDR will prepare the vial of study intervention as described in Section 6.1 and the Study
Manual. Based on the reconstitution requirements, only the IDR will know the volume of diluent
used for reconstitution. The IDR will not know whether the study intervention is BOTOX or
placebo. Once the study intervention vial is reconstituted, the IDR will draw the required volume
into an appropriately sized syringe and label the syringe with the participant’s ID number to
ensure the investigator remains blinded based on reconstitution volume in the vial. The IDR will then provide the filled syringes to the investigator, who will inject the participant according to the study intervention administration instructions in Section 6.1.

Detailed instructions on reconstitution and syringe preparation will be provided in the Study Manual.

The IWRS will be programmed with blind-breaking instructions. In case of an emergency, the investigator has the sole responsibility for determining if unblinding of a participant’s study intervention assignment is warranted. Participant safety must always be the first consideration in making such a determination. If the investigator decides that unblinding is warranted, the investigator should make every effort to contact the sponsor prior to unblinding a participant’s study intervention assignment unless this could delay emergency treatment of the participant. If a participant’s study intervention assignment is unblinded, the sponsor must be notified within 24 hours after breaking the blind. The date and reason that the blind was broken must be recorded in the source documentation.

6.4. Study Intervention Compliance

The study investigator will administer all study intervention injections to the participants. The study site will keep an accurate study intervention disposition record.

6.5. Concomitant Therapy

Any medication or vaccine (including over-the-counter or prescription medicines, cannabis [in US states where its use is legal], vitamins, and/or herbal supplements) that the participant is receiving at the time of enrollment or receives during the study must be recorded along with:

- Indication/reason for medication use
- Dates of administration including start and end dates
- Dosage information including dose and frequency
6.6. **Dose Modification**
Dose modification is not applicable.

6.7. **Intervention After the End of the Study**
No interventions after the end of the study are planned.

7. **Discontinuation of Study Intervention and Participant Discontinuation/Withdrawal**
Participants may voluntarily withdraw from the study at any time. A premature discontinuation will occur if a participant who signs the ICF and is dosed ceases participation in the study, regardless of circumstances, before the completion of the protocol-defined study procedures.

Notification of early participant discontinuation from the study and the reason for discontinuation will be made to the sponsor and will be clearly documented on the appropriate eCRF.

Reasons for discontinuation from the study intervention and/or the study may include the following commonly used or other acceptable terms:
# Commonly Used Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commonly Used Terms</th>
<th>Other Acceptable Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverse event</td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of efficacy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician decision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol deviation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site terminated by sponsor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study terminated by sponsor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawal by participant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If a pregnancy is confirmed after the participant has received study intervention, the participant may choose to exit the study after appropriate safety follow-up or to remain in the study for all safety and efficacy follow-up assessments through the end-of-study visit.

Definitions of the standard terms are provided in Appendix 4.

## 7.1. Discontinuation of Study Intervention

Not applicable, as participants receive only a single treatment with study intervention on Day 1.

## 7.2. Participant Discontinuation/Withdrawal from the Study

- A participant may withdraw from the study at any time at his/her own request, or may be withdrawn at any time at the discretion of the investigator for safety, behavioral, compliance, or administrative reasons.

- If the participant withdraws consent for disclosure of future information, the sponsor may retain and continue to use any data collected before such a withdrawal of consent.

- If a participant exits the study early, every effort should be made to ensure a Study Exit Visit and associated measures are performed - see the SoA for data to be collected at the time of study discontinuation.

- Notification of early participant discontinuation from the study and the reason for discontinuation will be made to the sponsor and will be clearly documented on the appropriate eCRF.

## 7.3. Lost to Follow Up

A participant will be considered lost to follow-up if he or she repeatedly fails to return for scheduled visits and is unable to be contacted by the study site.
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The following actions must be taken if a participant fails to return to the clinic for a required study visit:
8. **Study Assessments and Procedures**

- Study procedures and their timing are summarized in the SoA. Protocol waivers or exemptions are not allowed.

- Evaluations are to be performed by the same evaluator throughout the study whenever possible. If it is not possible to use the same evaluator to follow the participant, then evaluations should overlap (examine the participant together and discuss findings) for at least 1 visit.

- Immediate safety concerns should be discussed with the sponsor immediately upon occurrence or awareness to determine if the participant should continue or discontinue study intervention.

- Adherence to the study design requirements, including those specified in the SoA, is essential and required for study conduct.

- All screening evaluations must be completed and reviewed to confirm that potential participants meet all eligibility criteria. The investigator will maintain a screening log to record details of all participants screened and to confirm eligibility or record reasons for screening failure, as applicable.

8.1. **Efficacy Assessments**

Planned timepoints for all efficacy assessments are provided in the SoA. The measures are described in detail in Appendix 7 and Appendix 8.

Evaluations of MMPS-P completed at screening and baseline will be used for test-retest evaluations of the tools.

8.1.1. **Primary Efficacy Assessment**

The primary efficacy assessment is to be completed at screening, baseline, and at each scheduled visit thereafter until study exit.

Note: The Day 1 images will be used as the baseline images. If the baseline images are not of acceptable quality, the screening images will be used instead. Canfield Scientific, Inc. must approve each participant’s screening images to ensure a quality baseline image is available in the event that the Day 1 images are not of acceptable quality. If the screening images are not acceptable, 1 retake is permitted and must be taken before Day 1.
The primary efficacy assessment is masseter muscle prominence assessed by the investigator using the MMPS (1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked, 5 = very marked; see Appendix 7 for details). The MMPS assessment will be completed via electronic tablet provided to the study site.

The MMPS is evaluated for each masseter (ie, right and left) separately every time it is rated.

**8.1.2. Secondary Efficacy Assessments**

MMPS-P and lower facial volume assessments will be completed at screening, baseline, and at each scheduled visit thereafter until study exit. The PSAC will be completed at all scheduled postbaseline visits (Days 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180). Participants will view a training video on how to properly complete the MMPS-P and PSAC assessments using photos, at the Screening Visit (and at other visits if needed).

For MMPS-P and PSAC, the participant will answer questions via electronic tablet that will be provided to the study participant at the study site.

**MMPS-P**

MMPS assessed by the participant is a secondary efficacy assessment developed by the sponsor through concept elicitation and cognitive debriefing in accordance with the FDA Patient Reported Outcomes guidance. Participants will be asked to assess the severity of their masseter prominence using a single question composed of 5 severity grades (1 = not at all pronounced, 2 = mildly pronounced, 3 = moderately pronounced, 4 = pronounced, 5 = very pronounced; see Appendix 8 for details) and a 2D image of their face collected at the current visit.

The MMPS-P is evaluated for both masseters as a single score.

Evaluations of MMPS-P completed at screening and baseline will be used for test-retest reliability assessment of the tool.

**PSAC**

The PSAC is a commonly used assessment of change scale that has been adapted for use with MMP by the sponsor using concept elicitation and cognitive debriefing. Participants will be asked to assess change of their lower face shape using images taken before study intervention (ie, baseline, or screening if the baseline image is not of acceptable quality) and after study intervention (ie, the current study visit) taking into consideration the bottom half of the face from the top of the cheeks to the chin. The PSAC grades are as follows: 3 = much improved, 2 = moderately improved, 1 = minimally improved, 0 = change, -1 = minimally worse, -2 = moderately worse, and -3 = much worse (see Appendix 8 for details).
Lower Facial Volume

Lower facial volume will be calculated by a qualified technician (from Canfield Scientific, Inc.) using 3D image models using 2 analysis methods.

Investigators will follow training/instructions for use of the digital imaging system as provided by Canfield Scientific, Inc.

8.1.3. Other/Exploratory Efficacy Assessments
Exit Interviews

Participant exit interviews will be completed at the Study Exit visit by contract research organization personnel. Open-ended interviews will be conducted to collect data about impacts of the study intervention and meaningful change on their MMP. All interviews will be recorded for thematic analysis. Results will be summarized in a separate report.

8.2. Safety Assessments

Planned timepoints for all safety assessments are provided in the SoA.

8.2.1. Vital Signs

Vital signs will be assessed as follows:

- Pulse rate, respiratory rate, and blood pressure will be assessed.
- Blood pressure and pulse measurements will be assessed with participants in a sitting position after sitting for at least 5 minutes; use of either a manual or automated device is acceptable. Manual techniques will be used only by adequately trained personnel; whenever possible, the same person should perform all manual assessments as much as possible.

8.2.2. Height and Weight

Height and weight will be measured and recorded, and BMI calculated.

8.2.3. Pregnancy Testing

Urine pregnancy testing will be conducted at the screening, baseline, and study exit visits. Females of childbearing potential must have a negative test result before receiving the study intervention. This test may also be performed at any other visit, at the investigator’s discretion. At each visit, the investigator should discuss contraceptive use compliance with females of childbearing potential.

8.2.4. Oral Examination

As referenced in Exclusion criterion 4.07, oral examinations will be conducted at the Screening Visit, in order to rule out other potential etiologies for MMP, such as malignancy. The investigator will assess extraorally for parotid gland tumors, parotiditis (gland or duct), lipoma, sialolith (stones), or osseous remodeling at the gonial angle including bone protrusion, and intraorally for osseous lesions in the angle of the mandible behind the molars, or for expansion of
alveolar bone supporting the teeth. The examination procedures are described in greater detail in the Study Manual.

8.2.5. **Screening for TMJD**

Screening for signs/symptoms of possible TMJD will be conducted by the investigator at the Screening Visit, as described in the Study Manual. Depending on the findings, the investigator may decide to exclude the participant from the study (per exclusion criterion 4.02), or may refer the participant to a dentist for further TMJD screening, to be completed prior to Day 1. The participant may complete the rest of the planned activities and procedures for the Screening Visit, with the dentist examination occurring at a later time in the screening period. If, based on findings from a dental examination, the dentist diagnoses the participant with TMJD, the participant will be considered a screen failure.

8.3. **Adverse Events and Serious Adverse Events**

The definitions of an AE or SAE can be found in Appendix 2.

AEs will be reported by the participant (or, when appropriate, by a caregiver, surrogate, or the participant’s legally authorized representative).

The investigator and any qualified designees are responsible for detecting, documenting, and recording events that meet the definition of an AE or SAE and any other study-specific terms as relevant and remain responsible for following up AEs that are serious, considered related to the study intervention or study procedures, or that caused the participant to discontinue the study intervention or study (see Section 7).

8.3.1. **Time Period and Frequency for Collecting Adverse Event and Serious Adverse Event Information**

All AE/SAEs from the signing of the ICF will be collected at the timepoints specified in the SoA and as observed or reported spontaneously by study participants.

Medical occurrences that begin before the start of study intervention, but after obtaining informed consent will be recorded in the AE section of the eCRF and will be considered pretreatment AEs.

All SAEs will be recorded and reported to the sponsor or designee within 24 hours, as indicated in Appendix 2. The investigator will submit any updated SAE data to the sponsor within 24 hours of it being available.

Investigators are not obligated to actively seek AE or SAE information after conclusion of the study participation. However, if the investigator learns of any SAE, including a death, at any time after a participant has been discharged from the study, and he/she considers the event to be
reasonably related to the study intervention or study participation, the investigator must promptly notify the sponsor.

The method of recording, evaluating, and assessing causality of AEs and SAEs and the procedures for completing and transmitting SAE reports are provided in Appendix 2.

8.3.2. Method of Detecting Adverse Events and Serious Adverse Events

Care will be taken not to introduce bias when detecting AEs and/or SAEs. Open-ended and non-leading verbal questioning of the participant is the preferred method to inquire about AE occurrences.

8.3.3. Follow-up of Adverse Events and Serious Adverse Events

After the initial AE/SAE report, the investigator is required to proactively follow each participant at subsequent visits/contacts. All SAEs and AESIs as defined in Appendix 2 will be followed until resolution, stabilization, the event is otherwise explained, or the participant is lost to follow-up (as defined in Section 7.3).

The investigator is obligated to perform or arrange for the conduct of supplemental measurements and/or evaluations as medically indicated or as requested by the sponsor to elucidate the nature and/or causality of the AE or SAE as fully as possible. This may include additional laboratory tests or investigations, histopathological examinations, or consultation with other health care professionals.

If a participant dies during participation in the study or during a recognized follow-up period, the investigator will provide the sponsor with a copy of any postmortem findings including histopathology.

New or updated information will be recorded in the originally completed eCRF.

The investigator will submit any updated SAE data to the sponsor within 24 hours of receipt of the information.

8.3.4. Regulatory Reporting Requirements for Serious Adverse Events

- Prompt notification by the investigator to the sponsor of an SAE is essential so that legal obligations and ethical responsibilities towards the safety of participants and the safety of a study intervention under clinical investigation are met.

- The sponsor has a legal responsibility to notify both the local regulatory authority and other regulatory agencies about the safety of a study intervention under clinical investigation. The sponsor will comply with country-specific regulatory requirements relating to safety reporting to the regulatory authority, IRBs/IECs, and investigators.
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- Investigator safety reports must be prepared for suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions (SUSARs) according to local regulatory requirements and sponsor policy and forwarded to investigators as necessary.

- An investigator who receives an investigator safety report describing an SAE or other specific safety information (eg, summary or listing of SAEs) from the sponsor will review and then file it along with the investigator’s brochure and will notify the IRB/IEC, if appropriate according to local requirements.

8.3.5. Pregnancy

- If a pregnancy is confirmed after the participant has received the study intervention, the participant may choose to exit the study after appropriate safety follow-up or to remain in the study for all safety and efficacy follow-up assessments through the end-of-study visit.

- Details of all pregnancies in female participants will be collected from the signing of the ICF and through the duration of the pregnancy.

- If a pregnancy is reported, the investigator should inform the sponsor within 24 hours of learning of the pregnancy and should follow the procedures outlined in Appendix 6.

- Abnormal pregnancy outcomes (eg, spontaneous abortion, fetal death, stillbirth, congenital anomalies, ectopic pregnancy) are considered SAEs.

8.3.6. Protocol-Specific Adverse Events

The investigator should refer a participant to a qualified dentist for further evaluation in the case of severe (as defined in Appendix 2) mastication disorders (eg, severe reports of chewing weakness, abnormal chewing, difficulty chewing). For any referral, the investigator must obtain findings from the dentist for complete AE documentation in the eCRF.

8.3.7. Adverse Events of Special Interest

AESI will be reported as indicated in Appendix 2.

8.3.8. Medication Errors

Medication error refers to any unintended error in the dosing and/or administration of the study intervention as per instructions in the protocol, for example:

- Wrong study intervention
- Wrong dose (including dosing regimen, concentration, amount)
- Wrong participant (ie, not administered to the intended participant)
8.4. Treatment of Overdose

The LD$_{50}$ for BOTOX in humans is estimated from primate studies to be approximately 3000 U. This makes accidental injection of a lethal dose highly unlikely, but significant AEs may still occur at doses below the LD$_{50}$ (Herrero 1967, Scott 1989).

Excessive doses may produce local or distant, generalized, and profound neuromuscular paralysis. Should accidental injection or oral ingestion occur or overdose be suspected, the participant should be medically monitored for up to several weeks for progressive signs or symptoms of systemic muscular weakness that could be local or distant from the site of injection, and which may include ptosis, diplopia, dysphagia, dysarthria, generalized weakness, or respiratory failure. Please refer to the general Section 6.5 of the BOTOX MMP investigator’s brochure for further details.

In the event of an overdose, the investigator should:

1. Contact the medical safety physician immediately.
2. Closely monitor the participant for AEs and SAEs.
3. Document the quantity of the excess dose as well as the duration of the overdose in the eCRF as well as other details that led to the overdose.

8.5. Pharmacokinetics
PK parameters are not evaluated in this study.

8.6. Pharmacodynamics
Pharmacodynamic parameters are not evaluated in this study.

8.7. Genetics
Genetics are not evaluated in this study.

8.8. Biomarkers and Other Assessments
Biomarkers are not evaluated in this study.

8.9. Health Economics
Health economics parameters are not evaluated in this study.
9. **Statistical Considerations**

9.1. **Statistical Hypotheses**

The following set of hypotheses will be used to compare the BOTOX groups with placebo:

- Null hypothesis: BOTOX and placebo are equally effective in reducing MMP as measured by the proportion of responders achieving MMPS Grade ≤ 3 at Day 90.
- Alternative hypothesis: BOTOX and placebo are not equally effective in reducing MMP as measured by the proportion of responders achieving MMPS Grade ≤ 3 at Day 90.

9.2. **Sample Size Determination**

The primary efficacy parameter is the proportion of responders who achieve MMPS Grade ≤ 3 at Day 90. Based on previous data from Study 191622-130 and the assumption of a difference of ≥ 34% in MMPS responder rate between BOTOX 48 U or 72 U and placebo group, approximately 50 participants per study intervention group will provide > 90% power using a 2-sided Mantel-Haenszel test, 10% dropout rate, and 5% significance level.

Approximately 225 participants will be screened to achieve 150 enrolled to study intervention and 135 evaluable participants for an estimated total of 45 evaluable participants per intervention group.

9.3. **Populations for Analyses**

The analysis populations will consist of participants as defined below:

- The mITT population includes all randomized participants with ≥ 1 postbaseline MMPS assessment. Participants will be summarized according to the randomized study intervention.

- The safety population includes all treated participants who receive ≥ 1 administration of study intervention. Participants will be summarized according to the study intervention they actually received.

9.4. **Statistical Analyses**

The SAP will be developed and finalized before database lock and unblinding and will describe the participant populations to be included in the analyses, and procedures for accounting for missing, unused, and spurious data. This section is a summary of the planned statistical analyses of the primary and secondary endpoints.
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9.4.1. Efficacy Analyses
The efficacy analyses will be based on the mITT population. The last-observation-carried-forward approach will be used to impute missing postbaseline values. Baseline for efficacy is defined as the last nonmissing efficacy assessment before the first dose of study intervention. All statistical tests will be 2-sided hypothesis tests performed at the 5% level of significance for main effects.

9.4.1.1. Analysis Endpoints
The primary and secondary efficacy endpoints are listed below, and analyses will be defined in the following sections. All analyses for other efficacy endpoints listed below will be defined in the SAP.

Primary efficacy endpoint:
- Proportion of responders who achieve MMPS Grade \( \leq 3 \) at Day 90

Secondary efficacy endpoints:
- Proportion of responders who achieve MMPS-P Grade \( \leq 3 \) at Day 90
- Proportion of responders who achieve \( \geq 2 \)-grade MMPS improvement from baseline at Day 90
- Proportion of responders who achieve \( \geq 2 \)-grade MMPS-P improvement from baseline at Day 90
- Proportion of responders who achieve PSAC Grade \( \geq 2 \) (at least moderately improved from baseline) at Day 90
- Change from baseline in lower facial volume at Day 90 (calculated from standardized images)

Other efficacy endpoints:
9.4.1.2. **Primary Analyses**

The primary efficacy endpoint will be the proportion of responders who achieve MMPS Grade ≤ 3 at Day 90 and will be analyzed using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel model stratified by baseline MMPS Grade (4 or 5). Each BOTOX dose will be compared with placebo separately.

9.4.1.3. **Secondary Analyses**

The following secondary variables will be analyzed at Day 90 using the same method as the primary analysis:

- Proportion of responders who achieve MMPS-P Grade ≤ 3
- Proportion of responders who achieve ≥ 2-grade MMPS improvement from baseline
- Proportion of responders who achieve ≥ 2-grade MMPS-P improvement from baseline
- Proportion of responders who achieve PSAC Grade ≥ 2

The change from baseline in lower facial volume at Day 90 (calculated from standardized images and analyzed by 2 methods) will be statistically analyzed using ANCOVA with study intervention group and investigator sites as factors and baseline MMPS Grade (4 or 5) as a covariate.

9.4.2. **Safety Analyses**

The safety analysis will be performed using the safety population and will be fully defined in the SAP. The safety parameters include AEs and vital signs (pulse rate, respiratory rate, and blood pressure). For vital signs, the last nonmissing assessment before the study intervention will be used as the baseline for all analyses.

9.4.2.1. **Adverse Events**

An AE will be considered a TEAE if:

- The AE began on or after the date of the first dose of study intervention; or
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- The AE was present before the date of the first dose of study intervention, but increased in severity or became serious on or after the date of the first dose of study intervention.

An AE will be considered a TESAE if it is a TEAE that additionally meets any SAE criteria.

The number and percentage of participants reporting TEAEs in each study intervention group will be tabulated by system organ class and preferred term and by system organ class, preferred term, and severity.

The number and percentage of participants reporting treatment-related TEAEs in each study intervention group will be tabulated by system organ class and preferred term.

If more than 1 AE is coded to the same preferred term for the same participant, the participant will be counted only once for that preferred term using the most severe and most related occurrence for the summarizations by severity and by relationship to study intervention.

Summary tables will be provided for participants with SAEs and participants with AEs leading to discontinuation if 5 or more participants reported such events. Listings of all AEs, SAEs, and AEs leading to discontinuation by participant will be presented.

The definitions of an AE and SAE can be found in Appendix 2.

9.4.2.2. Vital Signs

Descriptive statistics for vital signs (systolic and diastolic BP, pulse rate, and respiratory rate) at baseline and changes from baseline at each assessment will be presented by study intervention.

Vital sign values will be considered to be PCS if they meet both the observed-value criteria and the change-from-baseline-value criteria that will be detailed in the SAP. The number and percentage of participants who have PCS postbaseline vital sign values will be tabulated by study intervention for each assessment. The percentages will be calculated relative to the number of participants who have available non-PCS baseline values and at least 1 postbaseline assessment. The numerator will be the total number of participants with at least 1 PCS postbaseline value. A supportive listing of participants with PCS postbaseline values will be provided for the safety population.

9.4.3. Other Analyses

All other analyses will be described in the SAP.

9.5. Interim Analyses

Interim analyses are not planned for this study.
10. **Supporting Documentation and Operational Considerations**

10.1. **Appendix 1: Regulatory, Ethical, and Study Oversight Considerations**

10.1.1. **Regulatory and Ethical Considerations**

- This study will be conducted in accordance with the protocol and with the following:
  - Consensus ethical principles derived from international guidelines including the Declaration of Helsinki and CIOMS International Ethical Guidelines
  - Applicable ICH/ISO GCP guidelines
  - Applicable laws and regulations
- The protocol, protocol amendments, ICF, investigator’s brochure, and other relevant documents (e.g., advertisements) must be submitted to an IRB/IEC by the investigator and reviewed and approved by the IRB/IEC before the study is initiated.
- Any amendments to the protocol will require IRB/IEC approval before implementation of changes made to the study design, except for changes necessary to eliminate an immediate hazard to study participants.
- The investigator will be responsible for the following:
  - Providing written summaries of the status of the study to the IRB/IEC annually or more frequently in accordance with the requirements, policies, and procedures established by the IRB/IEC
  - Notifying the IRB/IEC of SAEs or other significant safety findings as required by IRB/IEC procedures
  - Providing oversight of the overall conduct of the study at the site and adherence to requirements of applicable local regulations, for example 21 CFR, ICH guidelines, the IRB/IEC, and European regulation 536/2014 for clinical studies (if applicable)

10.1.2. **Financial Disclosure**

Investigators and subinvestigators will provide the sponsor with sufficient, accurate financial information as requested to allow the sponsor to submit complete and accurate financial certification or disclosure statements to the appropriate regulatory authorities. Investigators are responsible for providing information on financial interests during the course of the study and for 1 year after completion of the study.
10.1.3. **Informed Consent Process**

- The investigator or his/her representative will explain the nature of the study to the participant or his/her legally authorized representative and answer all questions regarding the study.

- Participants must be informed that their participation is voluntary. Participants will be required to sign a statement of informed consent that meets the requirements of 21 CFR 50, local regulations, ICH guidelines, HIPAA requirements, where applicable, and the IRB/IEC or study center.

- The medical record must include a statement that written informed consent was obtained before the participant was enrolled in the study and the date the written consent was obtained. The authorized person obtaining the informed consent must also sign the ICF.

- Participants must be re-consented to the most current version of the ICF(s) during their participation in the study.

- A copy of the ICF(s) must be provided to the participant.

10.1.4. **Data Protection**

- Participants will be assigned a unique identifier. Any participant records or datasets that are transferred to the sponsor will contain the identifier only; participant names or any information which would make the participant identifiable will not be transferred.

- The participant must be informed that his/her personal study-related data will be used by the sponsor in accordance with local data protection law. The level of disclosure must also be explained to the participant.

- The participant must be informed that his/her medical records may be examined by Clinical Quality Assurance auditors or other authorized personnel appointed by the sponsor, by appropriate IRB/IEC members, and by inspectors from regulatory authorities.

10.1.5. **Posting Clinical Study Data**

- Study data and information may be published in nonpromotional, peer-reviewed publications either by or on behalf of the sponsor.

- Clinical study reports, safety updates, and annual reports will be provided to regulatory authorities as required.

- Company-sponsored study information and tabular study results will be posted on the US National Institutes of Health's website www.ClinicalTrials.gov and other publicly accessible sites.

10.1.6. **Data Quality Assurance**

- All participant data relating to the study will be recorded on printed or electronic CRFs unless transmitted to the sponsor or designee electronically. The investigator is responsible
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for verifying that data entries are accurate and correct by physically or electronically signing the CRF.

- The investigator must maintain accurate documentation (source data) that supports the information entered in the CRF.

- The investigator must permit study-related monitoring, audits, IRB/IEC review, and regulatory agency inspections and provide direct access to source data documents.

- The sponsor or designee is responsible for the data management of this study including quality checking of the data.

- Study monitors will perform ongoing source data verification to confirm that data entered into the CRF by authorized site personnel are accurate, complete, and verifiable from source documents; that the safety and rights of participants are being protected; and that the study is being conducted in accordance with the currently approved protocol and any other study agreements, ICH GCP, and all applicable regulatory requirements.

- Records and documents, including signed ICFs, pertaining to the conduct of this study must be retained by the investigator as stated in the clinical trial agreement. No records may be destroyed during the retention period without the written approval of the sponsor. No records may be transferred to another location or party without written notification to the sponsor.

10.1.7. Source Documents

- Source documents provide evidence for the existence of the participant and substantiate the integrity of the data collected. Source documents are filed at the investigator’s site.

- Data reported on the CRF or entered in the eCRF that are transcribed from source documents must be consistent with the source documents or the discrepancies must be explained. The investigator may need to request previous medical records or transfer records, depending on the study.

- Definition of what constitutes source data can be found in Section 4.0 of ICH E6, Good Clinical Practice: Consolidated Guidance and must follow ALCOA, ie, records must be attributable, legible, contemporaneous, original, and accurate.

10.1.8. Study and Site Closure

The sponsor designee reserves the right to close the study site or terminate the study at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of the sponsor. Study sites will be closed upon study completion. A study site is considered closed when all required documents and study supplies have been collected and a study-site closure visit has been performed.

The investigator may initiate study-site closure at any time, provided there is reasonable cause and sufficient notice is given in advance of the intended termination.
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Reasons for the early closure of a study site by the sponsor or investigator may include but are not limited to:

- Failure of the investigator to comply with the protocol, the requirements of the IRB/IEC or local health authorities, the sponsor’s procedures, or GCP guidelines
- Inadequate recruitment of participants by the investigator
- Discontinuation of further study intervention development

10.1.9. Publication Policy

- Allergan as the sponsor has proprietary interest in this study. Authorship and manuscript composition will reflect joint cooperation between multiple investigators and sites and Allergan personnel. Authorship will be established prior to the writing of the manuscript. As this study involves multiple centers, no individual publications will be allowed prior to completion of the final report of the multicenter study except as agreed with Allergan.

- The sponsor will comply with the requirements for publication of study results. In accordance with standard editorial and ethical practice, the sponsor will generally support publication of multicenter studies only in their entirety and not as individual site data.

- Authorship will be determined by mutual agreement and in line with International Committee of Medical Journal Editors authorship requirements.

10.1.10. Compliance with Protocol

The investigator is responsible for compliance with the protocol at the investigational site. A representative of the sponsor will make frequent contact with the investigator and his/her research staff and will conduct regular monitoring visits at the site to review participant and study intervention accountability records for compliance with the protocol. Protocol deviations will be discussed with the investigator upon identification. The use of the data collected for the participant will be discussed to determine if the data are to be included in the analysis. The investigator will enter data that may be excluded from analysis as defined by the protocol deviation specifications. Significant protocol deviations will be reported to the IRB/IEC according to the IRB/IEC’s reporting requirements.
10.2. Appendix 2: Adverse Events: Definitions and Procedures for Recording, Evaluating, Follow-up, and Reporting

Definition of AE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AE Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• An AE is any untoward medical occurrence in a patient or clinical study participant, temporally associated with the use of study intervention, whether or not considered related to the study intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• An AE can therefore be any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease (new or exacerbated) temporally associated with the use of study intervention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AESI

An AESI is an AE of scientific and medical relevance specific to the sponsor’s study drug/device or program, which may warrant ongoing monitoring. Such an event might warrant further investigation in order to characterize and understand it.

Facial muscle paralysis (NOT including a weak or altered smile) has been identified as an AESI for the study intervention in this protocol.

Suspected AESIs should be reported to the sponsor as a typical adverse event. No AESI form is needed.

If the AESI meets SAE criteria (which are listed below), it should be reported within 24 hours.

Events Meeting the AE Definition

| • Exacerbation of a chronic or intermittent pre-existing condition including either an increase in frequency and/or intensity of the condition |
| • New condition detected or diagnosed after study intervention administration even though it may have been present before the start of the study |
| • Signs, symptoms, or the clinical sequelae of a suspected drug-drug interaction |
| • Lack of efficacy or failure of expected pharmacological action per se will not be reported as an AE or SAE. Such instances will be captured in the efficacy assessments. |
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Events NOT Meeting the AE Definition

- Medical or surgical procedure (eg, endoscopy, appendectomy): the condition that leads to the procedure is the AE
- Situations in which an untoward medical occurrence did not occur (social and/or convenience admission to a hospital)
- Anticipated day-to-day fluctuations of pre-existing disease(s) or condition(s) present or detected at the start of the study that do not worsen

Definition of SAE

SAEs must meet both the AE criteria described above and the seriousness criteria listed below.

An SAE is defined as any untoward medical occurrence that, at any dose:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a. Results in death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b. Is life threatening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The term life threatening in the definition of serious refers to an event in which the participant was at risk of death at the time of the event. It does not refer to an event, which hypothetically might have caused death, if it were more severe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Requires inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In general, hospitalization signifies that the participant has been detained (usually involving at least an overnight stay) at the hospital or emergency ward for observation and/or intervention that would not have been appropriate in the physician’s office or outpatient setting. Complications that occur during hospitalization are AEs. If a complication prolongs hospitalization or fulfills any other serious criteria, the event is serious. When in doubt as to whether hospitalization occurred or was necessary, the AE should be considered serious.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Results in persistent disability/incapacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The term disability means a substantial disruption of a person’s ability to conduct normal life functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This definition is not intended to include experiences of relatively minor medical significance such as uncomplicated headache, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, influenza, and accidental trauma (eg, sprained ankle) which may interfere with or prevent everyday life functions but do not constitute a substantial disruption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Is a congenital anomaly/birth defect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**f. Other situations:**

- Medical or scientific judgment should be exercised in deciding whether SAE reporting is appropriate in other situations such as important medical events that may not be immediately life threatening or result in death or hospitalization but may jeopardize the participant or may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the other outcomes listed in the above definition. These events should usually be considered serious. Examples of such events include invasive or malignant cancers, intensive intervention in an emergency room or at home for allergic bronchospasm, blood dyscrasias or convulsions that do not result in hospitalization, or development of drug dependency or drug abuse.

**Recording and Follow-Up of AEs and/or SAEs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AE and SAE Recording</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- When an AE or SAE occurs, it is the responsibility of the investigator to review all documentation (eg, hospital progress notes, laboratory reports, and diagnostics reports) related to the event.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The investigator will then record all relevant AE or SAE information in the eCRF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- It is not acceptable for the investigator to send photocopies of the participant’s medical records to Allergan in lieu of completion of the AE or SAE eCRF page.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- There may be instances when copies of medical records for certain cases are requested by Allergan. In this case, all participant identifiers, with the exception of the participant number, will be redacted on the copies of the medical records before submission to Allergan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The investigator will attempt to establish a diagnosis of the event based on signs, symptoms, and/or other clinical information. Whenever possible, the diagnosis (not the individual signs/symptoms) will be documented as the AE/SAE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessment of Intensity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MILD</th>
<th>A type of AE that is usually transient and may require only minimal treatment or therapeutic intervention. The event does not generally interfere with usual activities of daily living.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MODERATE</td>
<td>A type of AE that is usually alleviated with additional specific therapeutic intervention. The event interferes with usual activities of daily living, causing discomfort but poses no significant or permanent risk of harm to the research participant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVERE</td>
<td>A type of AE that interrupts usual activities of daily living, or significantly affects clinical status, or may require intensive therapeutic intervention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An event is defined as *serious* when it meets at least one of the predefined outcomes as described in the definition of an SAE, NOT when it is rated as severe.

Assessment of Causality

- The investigator is obligated to assess the relationship between study intervention and each occurrence of each AE or SAE.
- A *reasonable possibility* of a relationship conveys that there are facts, evidence, and/or arguments to suggest a causal relationship, rather than a relationship cannot be ruled out.
- The investigator will use clinical judgment to determine the relationship.
- Alternative causes, such as underlying disease(s), concomitant therapy, and other risk factors, as well as the temporal relationship of the event to study intervention administration will be considered and investigated.
- The investigator will also consult the investigator’s brochure and/or product information, for marketed products, in his/her assessment.
- For each AE or SAE, the investigator **must** document in the medical notes that he/she has reviewed the AE or SAE and has provided an assessment of causality.
- There may be situations in which an SAE has occurred and the investigator has minimal information to include in the initial report to Allergan. However, **it is very important that the investigator always make an assessment of causality for every event before the initial transmission of the SAE data to Allergan.**
- The investigator may change his/her opinion of causality in light of follow-up information and send an SAE follow-up report with the updated causality assessment.
The causality assessment is one of the criteria used when determining regulatory reporting requirements.

**Reporting of SAEs**

**SAE Reporting**

- Email is the preferred method to transmit SAE information. The email address is [redacted].
- Facsimile transmission of the SAE information is also acceptable. The fax number is +1-[redacted] (backup number is [redacted]).
- Contacts for SAE reporting can be found on the protocol title page.
### Appendix 3: Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation/Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>2-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>3-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>adverse event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AESI</td>
<td>adverse event of special interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALCOA</td>
<td>attributable, legible, contemporaneous, original, accurate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCOVA</td>
<td>analysis of covariance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOI</td>
<td>area of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTOX</td>
<td>Botulinum toxin type A purified neurotoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDISC</td>
<td>Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIOMS</td>
<td>Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COA</td>
<td>clinical outcome assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSORT</td>
<td>Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVD</td>
<td>digital versatile disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eCOA</td>
<td>electronic clinical outcome assessment (via tablet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eCRF</td>
<td>electronic case report form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH</td>
<td>follicle-stimulating hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCP</td>
<td>Good Clinical Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPAA</td>
<td>Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT</td>
<td>hormonal replacement therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICF</td>
<td>informed consent form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>International Council on Harmonisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDR</td>
<td>independent drug reconstitutor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEC</td>
<td>Independent Ethics Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB</td>
<td>Institutional Review Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>International Organization for Standardization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWRS</td>
<td>interactive web response system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD₅₀</td>
<td>lethal dose, 50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mITT</td>
<td>modified intent-to-treat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMH</td>
<td>masseter muscle hypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP</td>
<td>masseter muscle prominence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMPS</td>
<td>Masseter Muscle Prominence Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMPS-P</td>
<td>Masseter Muscle Prominence Scale - Participant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>National Cancer Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS</td>
<td>potentially clinically significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK</td>
<td>pharmacokinetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO</td>
<td>patient-reported outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAC</td>
<td>Participant Self-Assessment of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAE</td>
<td>serious adverse event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>statistical analysis plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOA</td>
<td>Schedule of Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSAR</td>
<td>suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAE</td>
<td>treatment-emergent adverse event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESAE</td>
<td>treatment-emergent serious adverse event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMJD</td>
<td>temporomandibular joint disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOCBP</td>
<td>woman of childbearing potential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.4. Appendix 4: Standard Discontinuation Criteria

This table provides participant discontinuation criteria for this protocol. CDISC terminology is used, and thus subject or patient is used instead of participant (as used elsewhere in this protocol). These terms are interchangeable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CDISC Submission Value</th>
<th>CDISC Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverse event</td>
<td>Any untoward medical occurrence in a patient or clinical investigation participant administered a pharmaceutical product and which does not necessarily have a causal relationship with this treatment. An AE can therefore be any unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of a medicinal (investigational) product, whether or not related to the medicinal (investigational) product. For further information, see the ICH Guideline for Clinical Safety Data Management: Definitions and Standards for Expedited Reporting (modified from ICH E2A) Synonyms: side effect, adverse experience. See also serious adverse event, serious adverse experience. (CDISC glossary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>To possess every necessary or normal part or component or step; having come or been brought to a conclusion (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>The absence of life or state of being dead (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of efficacy</td>
<td>The lack of expected or desired effect related to a therapy (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>The loss or lack of continuation of a participant to follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Different than the one(s) previously specified or mentioned (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician decision</td>
<td>A position, opinion or judgment reached after consideration by a physician with reference to participant (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>Pregnancy is the state or condition of having a developing embryo or fetus in the body (uterus), after union of an ovum and spermatozoon, during the period from conception to birth. (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol deviation</td>
<td>An event or decision that stands in contrast to the guidelines set out by the protocol (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site terminated by sponsor</td>
<td>An indication that a clinical study was stopped at a particular site by its sponsor (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study terminated by sponsor</td>
<td>An indication that a clinical study was stopped by its sponsor (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawal by subject</td>
<td>An indication that a study participant has removed itself from the study (NCI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Collection of Pregnancy Information:

Female Participants Who Become Pregnant

- The investigator will collect pregnancy information on any female participant who becomes pregnant while participating in this study. Information will be recorded on the appropriate form and submitted to Allergan within 24 hours of learning of a participant’s pregnancy.
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The participant will be followed to determine the outcome of the pregnancy. The investigator will collect follow-up information on the participant and the neonate, and the information will be forwarded to Allergan. Generally, follow-up will not be required for longer than 6 to 8 weeks beyond the estimated delivery date. Any termination of pregnancy will be reported, regardless of fetal status (presence or absence of anomalies) or indication for the procedure.

- While pregnancy itself is not considered to be an AE or SAE, any pregnancy complication will be reported as an AE or SAE. Abnormal pregnancy outcomes (e.g., spontaneous abortion, fetal death, stillbirth, congenital anomalies, ectopic pregnancy) or genetic abnormalities (whether leading to an elective abortion or not) are always considered to be SAEs and will be reported as such. Any poststudy pregnancy-related SAE considered reasonably related to the study intervention by the investigator will be reported to Allergan as described in Section 8.3.4. While the investigator is not obligated to actively seek this information in former study participants, he or she may learn of an SAE through spontaneous reporting.

- If a pregnancy is confirmed after the participant has received study intervention, the participant may choose to exit the study after appropriate safety follow-up or to remain in the study for all safety and efficacy follow-up assessments through the end-of-study visit.
### 10.5. Appendix 5: Study Tabular Summary

This table is intended for use in posting study information to registries (e.g., ClinicalTrials.gov).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Group</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trial information</td>
<td>Trial Title</td>
<td>BOTOX® (onabotulinumtoxinA) Treatment of Masseter Muscle Prominence: A Phase 2b, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Multi-Dose, Placebo-Controlled Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Study Sponsor</td>
<td>Allergan Sales, LLC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial Phase Classification</td>
<td>Phase 2b trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial Indication</td>
<td>Masseter Muscle Prominence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial Indication Type</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial Type</td>
<td>Efficacy Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial Length</td>
<td>180 days plus a 14-day screening period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned Country of Investigational Sites</td>
<td>US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned Number of Participants</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FDA-Regulated Device Study</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FDA-Regulated Drug Study</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pediatric Study</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant information</td>
<td>Diagnosis Group</td>
<td>Masseter Muscle Prominence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy Participant Indicator</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned Minimum Age of Participants</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned Maximum Age of Participants</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex of Participants</td>
<td>Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stable Disease Minimum Duration</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter Group</td>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>Investigational Therapy or Treatment</td>
<td>OnabotulinumtoxinA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention Type</td>
<td>Drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pharmacological Class of Invest. Therapy</td>
<td>Neurotoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose per Administration</td>
<td>48 or 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose Units</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dosing Frequency</td>
<td>Single treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Route of Administration</td>
<td>Intramuscular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Therapy or Treatment</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Added on to Existing Treatments</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control Type</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparative Treatment Name</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial design</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Interventional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention Model</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned Number of Arms</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial is Randomized</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Randomization Quotient</td>
<td>1:1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trial Blinding Schema</td>
<td>Double blind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stratification Factor</td>
<td>Day 1 MMPS Grade (4 or 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adaptive Design</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study Stop Rules</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.6. **Appendix 6: Contraceptive Guidance and Collection of Pregnancy Information**

**Definitions:**

**WOCBP**
A woman is considered fertile following menarche and until becoming postmenopausal unless permanently sterile (see below).

**Women in the following categories are not considered WOCBP:**

1. Premenarchal
2. Premenopausal female with 1 of the following:
   - Documented hysterectomy
   - Documented bilateral salpingectomy
   - Documented bilateral oophorectomy
   
   **Note:** Documentation can come from the site personnel’s: review of the participant’s medical records, medical examination, or medical history interview.

3. Postmenopausal female
   - A postmenopausal state is defined as no menses for 12 months without an alternative medical cause. A high FSH level in the postmenopausal range may be used to confirm a postmenopausal state in women not using hormonal contraception or HRT. However, in the absence of 12 months of amenorrhea, a single FSH measurement is insufficient.
   - Females on HRT and whose menopausal status is in doubt will be required to use one of the nonestrogen hormonal highly effective contraception methods if they wish to continue their HRT during the study. Otherwise, they must discontinue HRT to allow confirmation of postmenopausal status before study enrollment.

**Contraception Guidance:**

**Female Participants**

Female participants of childbearing potential are eligible to participate if they agree to use a highly effective or acceptable method of contraception consistently and correctly as described in Table 10-1.
Table 10-1 Highly Effective and Acceptable Contraceptive Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective Contraceptive Methods That Are User Dependent&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Failure rate of &lt; 1% per year when used consistently and correctly</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined (estrogen- and progestogen-containing) hormonal contraception associated with inhibition of ovulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intravaginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transdermal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progestogen-only hormonal contraception associated with inhibition of ovulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Injectable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective Methods That Are User Independent&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Implantable progestogen-only hormonal contraception associated with inhibition of ovulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Etonogestrel implant (ie, Nexplanon®)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bilateral tubal occlusion (eg. Essure, bilateral tubal ligation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intrauterine copper contraceptive (ie, ParaGard®)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vasectomized Partner**

A vasectomized partner is a highly effective contraception method provided that the partner is the sole male sexual partner of the WOCBP and the absence of sperm has been confirmed. If not, an additional highly effective method of contraception should be used.

**Sexual Abstinence**

Sexual abstinence is considered a highly effective method only if defined as refraining from heterosexual intercourse during the entire period of risk associated with the study intervention. The reliability of sexual abstinence needs to be evaluated in relation to the duration of the study and the preferred and usual lifestyle of the participant.

**Acceptable Methods**

Acceptable birth control methods that result in a failure of more than 1% per year include:

- Progestogen-only oral hormonal contraception, where inhibition of ovulation is not the primary mode of action
- Male or female condom with or without spermicide
- Cap, diaphragm, or sponge with spermicide
- Nonhormonal intrauterine device

A combination of male condom with either cap, diaphragm, or sponge with spermicide (double-barrier methods) are also considered acceptable, but not highly effective, birth control methods.

<sup>a</sup> Typical use failure rates may differ from those when used consistently and correctly. Use should be consistent with local regulations regarding the use of contraceptive methods for participants participating in clinical studies.

**Pregnancy Testing:**

- WOCBP should only be included after a confirmed menstrual period and a negative highly sensitive pregnancy test at screening and also a negative test on Day 1.
- Additional pregnancy testing should be performed at study exit, and as required locally.
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- Pregnancy testing will be performed whenever a menstrual cycle is missed or when pregnancy is otherwise suspected.
- Urine pregnancy testing will be used unless the study site requires the use of serum testing, in which case serum testing will be used.
10.7. **Appendix 7: Efficacy Measures**

10.7.1. **Masseter Muscle Prominence Scale – Investigator Assessment**

*Instructions*

Using visual inspection and palpation, you will rate the masseter muscle prominence during rest and function, by separately evaluating the right and left side of the subject’s face.

- Sit directly in front of the subject and inspect the contour of the face in the clench and unclenched state.
- Define the posterior and anterior border of each masseter, as well as the superior and inferior margins.
- While the subject clenches and relaxes, use your fingers to feel the dimensions and surface texture of each masseter.
- Have the subject repeat the sequence of clenching and relaxing at least twice. As best as possible, distinguish masseter muscle from bone and other non-muscular soft tissue (eg, fat).
- Rate each masseter separately by selecting one response per masseter. In the presence of discordant assessment between rest and clenching states, the subject’s masseter prominence assessment noted in the clenching state should factor most significantly in determining the overall rating for each side of the face.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Clinical Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Minimal (1) | **AT REST:** With mouth closed and no clenching, surface overlying masseter is concave. There is no contour contributed by masseter muscle. Masseter is not palpable.  
**AT CLENCH:** No visible difference in contour compared with when mouth is closed, no clenching. Masseter is minimally palpable and difficult to define. |
| Mild (2)    | **AT REST:** With mouth closed and no clenching, surface overlying masseter is flat or slightly concave. The contour contributed by masseter muscle may or may not be visible.  
Masseter is minimally palpable.  
**AT CLENCH:** With clenching, minimal difference in lower facial contour compared with when mouth is closed, no clenching. Portion of masseter bulk may be visible and palpable. |
| Moderate (3)| **AT REST:** With mouth closed and no clenching, surface overlying masseter is flat or convex. The contour contributed by masseter muscle may or may not be visible. Masseter is palpable.  
**AT CLENCH:** With clenching, the lower face is more convex in contour compared with when mouth is closed, no clenching. Masseter bulk is easily identifiable, palpable, and firm. |
| Marked (4)  | **AT REST:** With mouth closed and no clenching, surface overlying masseter is convex. The masseter muscle, in conjunction with the chin and jawline, creates a square lower facial contour. Masseter is palpable and firm.  
**AT CLENCH:** With clenching, the lower face is wider and squarer compared with when mouth is closed, no clenching. Masseter is palpable and firm or hard. |
| Very Marked (5) | **AT REST:** With mouth closed and no clenching, surface overlying masseter is convex. The masseter muscle, in conjunction with the chin and jawline, creates a trapezoidal lower facial contour. Masseter is palpable and firm.  
**AT CLENCH:** With clenching, the lower face is more trapezoidal compared with when mouth is closed, no clenching. Masseter is palpable and hard. |
10.7.2. Lower Facial Volume Measurement

The lower facial volume measurement (cm\(^3\)) will be performed by Allergan, Inc using 2 analysis methods, as described below.

**Landmark AOI Analysis Method**

To measure the difference in volume between two 3D surface models from different timepoints (i.e., baseline and posttreatment), the models are first registered in 3D space such that relative surfaces unrelated to the treatment regions are correspondingly aligned. The analysis region is then defined using a series of anatomical landmarks placed on the baseline surface that are then projected mathematically to the posttreatment surface and verified by the analysis technician.

In Figure 10-1, the green-shaded region represents the defined measurement selection area created by the perimeter formed using landmarks (C-F-D-E). Anatomical landmarks are located at: Lateral canthus (A), Alar recess (B), Earlobe attachment point (C), Prejowl sulcus (D), Mandible point (E). A single interpolated landmark (F) is also used at the intersection point of surface lines between points (A)-(D) and (B)-(C).

The difference in volume is then measured between the select region of the baseline surface and the select region of the posttreatment surface. The lower facial volume is the summed volumes for both the left side and the right side of the face, which will be compared between each paired baseline/posttreatment timepoint for the study.

In response to treatment, the region is expected to decrease in volume.

**Figure 10-1  Lower Facial Volume Measurement**
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Statistical MMP AOI Analysis Method

An additional method of lower facial volume analysis will be performed using a statistical MMP AOI model (MMP AOI) to calculate the full area of change in lower facial volume (Figure 10-2). The MMP AOI was developed based on a statistical shape averaging of the area of change post masseter treatment from multiple facial models, which defines the mask area used for analysis. The MMP AOI approach allows the image analysis methodology to be better targeted to the full change contributed from the masseter muscle on a participant-by-participant basis. This improved targeting also reduces the potential for non-target anatomy noise and variability (ie, peri-oral expression).

To measure the difference in volume between two 3D surface models from different timepoints (ie, baseline, posttreatment) using the MMP AOI method, the models are also registered in 3D space such that relative surfaces unrelated to the treatment regions are aligned correspondingly.

Figure 10-2 Standardized Target AOI from a 3D Facial Shape Model

In conclusion, the change from baseline in lower facial volume for both landmark AOI (as used in Study 191622-130) and statistical MMP AOI (the new method described above) will be analyzed using ANCOVA with study intervention and investigator site as factors, and baseline MMPS Grade as a covariate.

10.7.3. Facial Width Measurement

Facial width measurements (mm) will be performed by...
Figure 10-3  
Lower Facial Width Measurement

![Diagram of facial width measurement with points A, B, and C marked.]
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10.7.4. Mandibular Facial Angle Measurement

The mandibular facial angle measurement (degrees) will be performed by [company name], Inc.

To measure the mandibular angle, the following lines are drawn and angle X measured from the frontal facial image (see Figure 10-4 below).

Line A is an oblique line drawn across the contour of the horizontal part of the jawline. Line B is another oblique line drawn from the most lateral aspect of cheek to the angle of the mandible outlining the plane of the face containing the vertical ramus of the mandible. Lines A and B intersect at the apex of the angle of the mandible. Angle X is measured as the internal angle between the horizontal (A) and vertical (B) lines.

The angle X will be calculated for the left side and right side of the face and averaged for each participant at each visit. The percent change in the averaged angle from baseline and the averaged angle from posttreatment will be calculated for each participant and each posttreatment visit.

Figure 10-4 Mandibular Facial Angle Measurement
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10.8. Appendix 8: Example Patient Reported Outcomes Questionnaires, Descriptions, and Instructions

This Appendix provides complete samples of each COA questionnaire; however, participants will provide responses in electronic tablets (ie, eCOA) at the study site.

10.8.1. Masseter Muscle Prominence Scale – Participant (MMPS-P)

INSTRUCTIONS: The following question is about how the lower part of your face looks. When answering this question, please take into consideration your lower face only (bottom half of your face from the top of your cheeks to your chin).

There are no right or wrong answers. Please look at your face in the photo provided. Select the response that best describes the size and shape of the area of your face shown in the diagram above.
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- □ 1 Not at all pronounced: The muscles in the area do not stand out; the jawline is not wide.
- □ 2 Mildly pronounced: The muscles in the area stand out slightly; the jawline is slightly wide.
- □ 3 Moderately pronounced: The muscles in the area stand out somewhat; the jawline is somewhat wide.
- □ 4 Pronounced: The muscles in the area stand out a great deal; the jawline is very wide.
- □ 5 Very pronounced: The muscles in the area stand out extremely; the jawline is extremely wide.
10.8.2. Masseter Muscle Prominence Participant Self-Assessment of Change (PSAC)

INSTRUCTIONS: You will be shown two photographs of your lower face. One photograph was taken before treatment began, and the other was taken today. When answering this question, please take into consideration your lower face only (bottom half of your face from the top of your cheeks to your chin).

Please compare the photographs provided and select a response for the following question.

Compared to the photo taken before treatment, the shape of the lower face in the photo taken today is:

- [ ] 3 Much improved
- [ ] 2 Moderately improved
- [ ] 1 Minimally improved
- [ ] 0 No change
- [ ] 1 Minimally worse
- [ ] 2 Moderately worse
- [ ] 3 Much worse
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