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1. SUMMARY

Rationale: Hartmann’s procedure was described for the first time in 1921 as an alternative to abdominoperineal resection for the treatment of upper rectal tumours. Hartmann’s procedure is the surgical resection of the rectosigmoid colon with closure of the anorectal stump and formation of an end colostomy. Although Hartmann’s procedure fell out of favour for rectal cancer after the introduction of restorative procedures, it remained the most common procedure in emergency setting for many years. Nowadays Hartmann’s procedure is a useful procedure in selected cases e.g. severely ill patients with a high risk of anastomotic failure; However, restoring intestinal continuity for Hartmann patients is often associated with high morbidity, and about 70% will live with a permanent colostomy. Hartmann procedure is a rapid and simple surgical technique intended to decrease perioperative morbidity and mortality. This technique is often performed by young surgeons Indeed, end-colostomy may be necessary in situations where restoration of continuity is risky, either because of unfavourable local conditions (Hinchey IV peritonitis) or because a more definitive resection must be aborted due to hemodynamic instability.

In the last decade the Hartmann’s procedure has been revalued in many studies. In diverticular disease the results of DIVA arm of the LADIES trial (clinical trial on diverticulitis treatment) showed that more patients in the primary anastomosis group were stoma free compared with patients in the Hartmann’s procedure group.

Other studies have observed no differences in major postoperative complications or postoperative mortality between patients undergoing primary anastomosis versus Hartmann’s procedure. Hartmann’s procedure reversals were associated with a higher risk of serious postoperative complications than were stoma reversals after primary anastomosis with ileostomy.

The management of colorectal cancer emergencies is challenging. WSES guidelines recommend in case of perforation resection with anastomosis, with or without ileostomy. Hartmann’s procedure should be preferred to simple colostomy, since colostomy appears to be associated with longer overall hospital stay and need for multiple operations, without a reduction in perioperative morbidity in patients with colorectal cancer obstruction. Resection with primary anastomosis should be preferred for uncomplicated malignant left-sided large bowel obstruction in absence of other risk factors. Patients with high surgical risk are better managed with Hartmann’s procedure.

Despite the growing evidence in favour of primary anastomosis and its inclusion as a valid treatment option for perforated diverticulitis or perforated sigmoid colon in recent clinical practice guidelines, some surgeons have been hesitant to undertake anastomosis in the setting of purulent or faecal contamination and continue to choose Hartmann’s procedure to eliminate concerns about anastomotic leakage.

Primary study objective:
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1. To evaluate the role of Hartmann’s procedure in emergency setting for left-sided colonic acute surgical disease (perforated diverticulitis with purulent or fecal peritonitis; colon cancer perforation-occlusion; ischemic colitis; abdominal trauma) and the type of surgery commonly performed in different level of care hospitals.

2. To investigate which factors contribute in the choice of Hartmann’s procedure instead of colon resection with primary anastomosis (Hospital characteristics, surgeon experience, time of surgery, age of patients, comorbidities, etc)

Study design: International multicenter prospective cohort study.

Study population: Adult patients with left-sided colonic acute surgical disease who need surgery in an emergency setting (perforated diverticulitis with purulent or fecal peritonitis; colon cancer perforation-occlusion; ischemic colitis; abdominal trauma). All patients undergoing urgent surgery will be invited to participate and can choose to consent or decline.

Primary outcome: Hartmann’s procedure vs colonic resection with primary anastomosis performed in a 3-months interval in an emergency setting for left-sided acute surgical colonic diseases.

Secondary outcomes: risk factors for Hartmann procedure (Hospital characteristics (secondary, tertiary level, trauma center), surgeon experience, time of surgery (day of surgery- weekend; day or night), patient’s age, comorbidities, clinical status etc.

Sample size calculation: The data will be used to investigate the specific characteristics to choose Hartmann’s procedure versus primary anastomosis for left-sided acute surgical colonic diseases. Based on literature findings it has been estimated that, on average, three patients will undergo urgent left-sided colonic diseases resection per month at each participating centre. Applying root mean square percentage error (rMSPE) of 15%, 500 patients treated with left colon resection with or without primary anastomosis should be included. Inclusion of 500 patients will be sufficient to analyze primary study objective 1 and primary study objective 2. Therefore, the aim is to include at least 500 patients.

2. INTRODUCTION AND RATIONALE

Left-sided surgical acute colonic diseases (perforated diverticulitis with generalized peritonitis, colon perforation, large bowel obstruction, colon cancer perforation or obstruction, ischemic colitis, abdominal trauma) are still a life-threatening condition requiring urgent surgical intervention.

Despite several published randomized trials, showing that primary anastomosis with or without protective ileostomy is feasible and randomized trials for laparoscopic lavage with conflicting results, the Hartmann’s procedure, described for the first time in 1921 as an alternative to abdominoperineal resection for the treatment of upper rectal tumours, is still performed in many hospitals worldwide. Hartmann’s procedure is the surgical resection of the rectosigmoid colon with closure of the anorectal stump and formation of an end colostomy. It remained the most common procedure for acute diverticulitis and colonic perforation in emergency setting for many years. Hartmann’s procedure is safe for severely ill patients; however,
restoring intestinal continuity for such patients is often associated with high morbidity leaving a great percentage of patients with (up to 50%) with a permanent stoma. Hartmann procedure is a rapid and simple surgical technique intended to decrease perioperative morbidity and mortality. This technique is often performed by young surgeons Indeed, end-colostomy may be necessary in situations where restoration of continuity is risky, either because of unfavourable local conditions (Hinchey IV peritonitis) or because a more definitive resection must be aborted due to hemodynamic instability. Although anastomosis at the time of surgery is an alternative approach to Hartmann’s procedure, there have been concerns about the safety of this approach.

In the last decade the Hartmann’s procedure has been revalued and the results of different studies [DIVA arm of the LADIES trial (the fourth and largest randomized clinical trial to date to compare Hartmann’s procedure with primary resection and anastomosis in patients with perforated diverticulitis with purulent or and fecal peritonitis); DIVERTI study; Halim et Al] showed that more patients in the primary anastomosis group were stoma free compared with patients in the Hartmann’s procedure group. Several studies have observed no differences in major postoperative complications or postoperative mortality between patients undergoing primary anastomosis versus Hartmann’s procedure. Hartmann’s procedure reversals were associated with a higher risk of serious postoperative complications than were stoma reversals after protected primary anastomosis. [2-7] The management of colorectal cancer emergencies is challenging. WSES guidelines recommend in case of perforation resection with anastomosis, with or without ileostomy. Hartmann’s procedure should be preferred to simple colostomy, since colostomy appears to be associated with longer overall hospital stay and need for multiple operations, without a reduction in perioperative morbidity in patients with colorectal cancer obstruction. Resection with primary anastomosis should be preferred for uncomplicated malignant left-sided large bowel obstruction in absence of other risk factors. Patients with high surgical risk are better managed with Hartmann’s procedure. Despite the growing evidence in favour of primary anastomosis and its inclusion as a valid treatment option for perforated diverticulitis or perforated sigmoid colon cancer in recent clinical practice guidelines, surgeons have been reluctant to perform anastomosis. Moreover, the postoperative course of the septic patient is unpredictable and it very hard to estimate it intraoperatively.

In the setting of purulent or fecal contamination most surgeons choose Hartmann’s procedure to eliminate also legal concerns about anastomotic leakage. It’s important to note that most of these procedures are performed off normal working hours when maybe best colorectal expertise is lacking for decision making regarding anastomosis. [8-10]

3. STUDY OBJECTIVES

- To evaluate the role of Hartmann’s procedure in emergency setting for left-sided colonic acute surgical disease (perforated diverticulitis with purulent or fecal peritonitis; colon cancer perforation-occlusion; ischemic colitis; abdominal trauma) and the type of surgery commonly performed in different level of care hospitals.
- To investigate which factors contribute in the choice of Hartmann’s procedure instead of colon resection with primary anastomosis (Hospital characteristics, surgeon experience, time of surgery, age of patients, comorbidities, etc )
4. STUDY DESIGN

4.1 STUDY TYPE
International multicenter prospective cohort study.

4.2 DURATION OF THE STUDY
Data from 3 months cohort of patients who underwent urgent or emergency Hartmann’s procedure, resection with primary anastomosis for left-sided colonic disease from March 1st 2021 until May 31st 2021 will be recorded with one-year follow-up from surgery until May 2022. The total study duration will be from January 2021 until December 2022(22 months).

4.3 STUDY TIMELINE
- November 1st – November 30th 2020: Database building and approval of the first version of the protocol.
- December 2020: Invitation of surgeons by sending first version of protocol and CRF.
- February 2021:: Final protocol and CRF is sent to participating centers. All participating surgeons receive a REDCap database login.
- March 2021 – May 2021: Data collection.
- March 2022 – May 2022: Follow-up
- June 2022 – December 2022: Analysis and manuscript writing.

4.4 STUDY SETTING
This study will be performed in a multicenter and international setting. A large proportion of the group of hospitals that comprises Emergency Surgery Unit is expected to participate.

5. STUDY POPULATION

5.1 POPULATION
All patients undergoing urgent surgery for left-sided colonic disease will be invited to participate and can choose to consent or decline between March -May 2021.

5.2 INCLUSION CRITERIA
In order to be eligible to participate in this study, a subject must meet all of the following criteria:
- Aged 18 years or older;
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- Patients admitted in Emergency setting for left-sided colonic disease (perforated diverticulitis with purulent or fecal peritonitis; large bowel perforation-obstruction; colon cancer perforation-obstruction; ischemic colitis; abdominal trauma).
- Patients who underwent urgent or emergency surgery for left-sided colonic disease (perforated diverticulitis with purulent or fecal peritonitis; large bowel perforation- obstruction; colon cancer perforation-obstruction; ischemic colitis; abdominal trauma).

5.3 EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Aged 17 or younger.
- Elective surgery.
- Non-surgical treatment.
- Patients with personal history of colorectal cancer treated surgically.
- Patients with stoma.
- Unstable patients who benefited of damage control procedures.

5.4 SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION

The data will be used to investigate the specific characteristics to choose Hartmann’s procedure versus primary anastomosis for left-sided acute surgical colonic diseases. Based on literature findings it has been estimated that, on average, three patients will undergo urgent left-sided colonic diseases resection per month at each participating centre. Applying root mean square percentage error (rMSPE) of 15%, 500 patients treated with left colon resection with or without primary anastomosis should be included. Inclusion of 500 patients will be sufficient to analyze primary study objective 1 and primary study objective 2. Therefore, the aim is to include at least 500 patients.

METHODS

5.5 PRIMARY OUTCOME PARAMETER

- Number of Hartmann’s procedure vs colic resection with primary anastomosis performed in 3-months in urgent or emergency setting for left-sided acute colonic diseases.

5.6 SECONDARY OUTCOME PARAMETERS

- Patient characteristics
- Etiology
- Hospital characteristics
- Treatment
- Surgeon experience
- Time of surgery
- Follow-up

5.7 LIST OF STUDY PARAMETERS

- Etiology: perforated diverticulitis; perforated colonic cancer; large bowel perforation-obstruction; colon cancer perforation-obstruction; colon ischemia; abdominal trauma.
- Hospital Characteristics: hospital type (academic, non-academic teaching, categorical, secondary hospital, tertiary hospital, level 4- trauma center); annual volume of
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emergency surgical procedures; annual volume of left-sided colonic disease; types of diagnostic and treatment modalities that are available in the hospital.

- Treatment: Hartmann’s procedure, colonic resection with primary anastomosis with or without diverting stoma, stoma without colic resection.
- Surgeon experience (surgeon in training: less than 50 colorectal resections performed; less than 5 resections per year in the last 5 years; Trained surgeon: more than 50 colorectal resections performed; more than 10 resections per year in the last 5 years)
- Time of surgery: weekdays, weekend, public holidays, night shift (day: 8am- 8pm; night: 8pm-8am)
- Postoperative course: Clavien-Dindo Classification; reoperation
- Follow-up: to evaluate the number of patients treated with Hartmann’s procedure have a permanent stoma after one year.

5.8 PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS
- Age
- Sex
- Weight
- Previous abdominal surgery
- Charlson comorbidity index
- ASA classification
- Clinical status: stable patient; unstable patient; sepsis (qSOFA score)

6. ANALYSIS

6.1 ANALYSIS STRATEGY
The first study objective is to investigate what factors contribute in the choice of Hartmann’s procedure instead of colonic resection with primary anastomosis and what type of surgery is commonly performed in different level of care hospitals.

Univariate analysis is performed on relevant parameters that are described in chapter 5.7- list of study parameters and 5.8-patient characteristics. Factors that are considered to be clinically relevant based on literature and/or expert opinion are selected for multivariate analysis.

Backwards selection is used to exclude values of p>0.05 from the model.

The results obtained by the described analyses will also be performed in subgroups of patients who underwent Hartmann’s procedure or primary anastomosis with or without diverting ileostomy. By performing this sensitivity analysis, we will investigate the factors behind the choice to perform Hartmann’s procedure or colic resection with primary anastomosis.

7. ETHICS STATEMENT AND REGULATORY APPROVAL
This study will be conducted in compliance with the principles of the declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol and relevant documents have been approved by the medical ethical committee of the Parma University Hospital. All participating centers are provided with the study protocol and
8. DATA HANDLING

8.1 DATABASE SYSTEM
Data will be collected and stored online through a secure server running the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) web application. REDCap allows collaborators to enter and store data in a secure system. A designated collaborator at each participating site will be provided with REDCap project server login details, allowing them to securely submit data on to the REDCap system. REDCap has previously been successfully used for a range of other international cohort studies led by the central unit.

8.2 CASE REPORT FORM (CRF)
A detailed CRF is created from REDCap database and provided to the invited centers (see also appendix 1). The CRF includes info points with definitions and guidelines that aid in adequate scoring of the listed parameters.

8.3 DATA COLLECTION AND DATA ENTERING
All patient data will be entered anonymously by or under supervision of the treating physician(s). Only anonymised data will be uploaded to the database. No patient identifiable data will be collected. Data collected will be on comorbidities, treatment/operation. No dates (e.g. date of surgery) will be collected. The study will be carried out in accordance with national and international guidelines, as well as the basic principles of the protection of the rights and dignity of Human Beings, as set out in the Helsinki Declaration (64th Assembly Fortaleza, Brazil, in October 2013), and according to current legislation.

8.4 DATA PRIVACY STATEMENT
All anonymous study data will be available to the GOODBYE HARTMANN study team. The data of a center will be available to that specific center only through the REDCAP database system website. The data will not contain identifiable patient parameters (e.g. no date of birth etc.) in compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR - EU 2016/679). Each patient will be coded with a unique patient number so that patients in the study are untraceable from the study database. Surgeons that participate in the GOODBYE HARTMANN study are asked to keep a password coded file that can identify individual patients locked away in their practice.
9. PUBLICATIONS
The GOODBYE HARTMANN study embraces cooperative authorship and all collaborators that contribute to this study will form the GOODBYE HARTMANN collaborative group. Every This group will co-author all publications in which GOODBYE HARTMANN study data is used.

The protocol writing committee is fully involved in conducting this study and will be included as authors in both main publications in which the GOODBYE HARTMANN study data is used. If the manuscript is submitted to a journal that does not allow the full number of authors, a number of authors will join the collaborative group instead, based on scientific input during the study, manuscript writing and revising.
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