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1.0 ABSTRACT
This is an investigator initiated randomized controlled trial (RCT) of two ablation protocols that are currently done in our EP lab, but have not been studied prospectively to identify which, if either, is superior for patients with persistent atrial fibrillation. Specifically, we would like to perform a RCT of 200 patients who will be consecutively enrolled and randomized to either Arm 1 (PVI) or Arm 2 (PVI+PI). PVI=pulmonary vein isolation. PI=posterior wall isolation.

Expected Outcomes
Primary study outcome is the percentage of patients free from atrial arrhythmias and off AADs at 1 year after single ablation procedures.

2.0 BACKGROUND/RATIONALE

Background
Atrial fibrillation (AF), the most common type of arrhythmia in clinical practice, affects approximately 2.7 million US adults. This number is expected to double over the next 25 years. AF accounts for 500,000 hospitalizations and 100,000 deaths annually and contributes to 15% of all strokes, the leading cause of death from AF, in the US. Caring for patients with AF is also expensive, costing approximately $26 billion annually. An effective management strategy to mitigate AF is critical.

Pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) has emerged as the gold standard for management of paroxysmal AF with excellent success rates. A number of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) demonstrated superior efficacy of PVI by comparing it to drugs alone. In addition, although there are currently no prospective data to support this, retrospective data suggested that successful ablation seems to reduce stroke risk in patients with AF.

For patients with persistent AF, however, procedural efficacy from PVI is not optimal. Therefore, improved ablation strategies for these patients are needed.

Safety and efficacy data reviewed from our clinical practice over the last 10 years of two PVI ablation strategies, PVI alone and PVI plus posterior wall isolation, did not favor either technique currently used by our physicians, not suggest harm, and so we feel there is equipoise presently between the techniques.

3.0 OBJECTIVES/STUDY AIMS
The objective of this RCT is to compare 2 ablation strategies, PVI alone and PVI plus posterior wall isolation, in patients with persistent AF. Both of these strategies are currently used to treat patients with persistent AF. However, no RCT has been conducted to investigate which strategy is more efficacious. Primary outcome will be freedom from any documented atrial arrhythmia (including AF, atrial flutter, or
tachycardia longer than 30 seconds) after one ablation procedure. Secondary endpoints will be freedom from atrial arrhythmia and after two ablation procedures, use of antiarrhythmic medication, procedure time, incidence of repeat procedures, and incidence of periprocedural complications.

4.1 ELIGIBILITY
Inclusion Criteria:
1. Patients 18 years of age or older.
2. Have symptomatic persistent AF (i.e., a sustained episode lasting more than 7 days).
3. Undergoing ablation for the first time.

Exclusion criteria:
1. Paroxysmal AF
2. Sustained atrial fibrillation lasting more than 3 years
3. Left atrial diameter of 60 mm or greater
4. Severe Pulmonary hypertension

5.0 SUBJECT ENROLLMENT
Consecutive eligible patients will be approached in the clinics of the investigators. Informed consent shall be obtained in writing and documented in accordance with the principles of Informed Consent, according to Good Clinical Practice.

6.0 STUDY DESIGN/PROCEDURES
Randomized study of 200 participants into groups of 100 to undergo a radiofrequency ablation procedure for AF (defined below).

Procedure
For both groups, a standard approach by our lab which has previously been described will be used. Briefly, general anesthesia will be provided by an anesthesiologist. A TEE will be done to confirm no left atrial appendage thrombus. The anesthesiologist will place a radial art line and place an esophageal temperature probe posterior to the left atrium to monitor esophageal temperatures during ablation on the posterior wall of the left atrium. The right groin catheter insertion site will be prepped and draped in sterile fashion. Local anesthesia will be used. An 8, 8, and long 9 French sheath will be placed percutaneously in to the right femoral vein utilizing ultrasound guidance and seldinger technique with visualization of vascular entry with the needle. A 20 pole deflectable catheter will be placed in the right atrium with the main body wrapped along the tricuspid valve annulus and tip in the proximal coronary sinus. An intracardiac ultrasound catheter will be used for transeptal access. The short 8 french sheath will then be exchanged over a long guidewire for a long 8.5 french transeptal sheath. A transseptal cardiac catheterization will be performed in standard fashion utilizing intracardiac echo, fluoroscopic guidance, and hemodynamic monitoring. A Baylis RF needle will be used for for transeptal puncture utilizing RF energy of 10 watts over 2
seconds. A heparin bolus will be administered immediately upon left atrial access followed by further boluses and a heparin drip through the transeptal sheath. ACT target will be 250. Serial ACT measurements will be made at least every 20 minutes. All sheaths will meticulously flushed and maintained with heparinized saline. An electroanatomic map of the left atrium will be created using a circular mapping catheter (CMC) and a three dimensional mapping system. Radiofrequency ablation (RF) will be performed using a 3.5 mm irrigated force sensing catheter in power control mode. Force will be targeted for 10-20 grams 85% of the time. RF settings will be between 30-50 watts depending on the location of RF application. It is anticipated that the majority of RF ablation in the left atrium will be performed with 50 watts. Mapping will be performed fluoroscopically and electro-anatomically. Esophageal temperature will be monitored, and ablation discontinued if the temperature rises by 1 degrees Celsius. Rapid pacing will be performed in the left atrium with isoproterenol to induce atrial fibrillation and any organized arrhythmias. Any clinically relevant organized arrhythmias will be mapped and ablated. No specific trigger mapping will be performed unless patient is unconvertible due to immediate return of AF.

For Group 1, a series of RF applications will be delivered around both sets of pulmonary veins with complete entry and exit block obtained around the antrums of all 4 pulmonary veins (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Three-dimensional electroanatomic map. Pulmonary vein ablation locations.](image)

For Group 2, a series of RF applications will be delivered around both sets of pulmonary veins with complete entry and exit block obtained around the antrums of all 4 pulmonary veins. Then, a roof and low posterior line will be placed to achieve entrance and exit block on the posterior wall. Entry block on the posterior wall will be confirmed by placing the circular mapping catheter in multiple locations along the posterior wall and confirming lack of presence of any local potentials. Exit block will be confirmed on the posterior wall with pacing at 10 amps from the ablation catheter at multiple
locations within the box as well as all lines (Figure 2).

In both cases, a pace and ablate strategy will be completed around all lesions sets in a standard fashion. Isuprel will be employed to look for further AF, but will not be further ablated. If cavotriscupid dependent typical flutter is seen, this will ablated in either group.

Follow-up
Patients would be seen at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year post ablation. Antiarrhythmic drugs (AAD) would be discontinued at 1 month. All patients would receive a continuously recording electrocardiogram (ECG) heart card to monitor for AF for the first month, and then two week ambulatory monitors at 3 months and one year post ablation.

7.0 SPECIMEN/DATA COLLECTION/PROCEDURES
The investigators and study staff will obtain the necessary data during the ablation procedure, from electronic and paper medical records and monitoring devices. The information will be entered in a secure database with access limited only to study personnel. No specimens will be collected.

Data will be collected at baseline, during the ablation procedure and at each follow-up visit.
Baseline - Medical history, demographics, height, weight, BMI, cardiac medications, creatinine and 12-lead ECG.

Procedure - Ablation procedure details, medications administered, and adverse events.

Pre-discharge – Cardiac medications, arrhythmia events, adverse events.
Follow-up visits - 1, 3, 6 and 12 months. Cardiac medications, arrhythmia events, adverse events, 12-lead ECG. Continuously recording ECG heart event monitor data at 1, 3 and 12 months.

8.0 LABORATORY/DATA ANALYSIS
Laboratory specimens will not be collected.

9.0 STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS
Based on previous results, an assumed difference of 10% between (1, 2) groups is a conservative estimate. Assuming the study to have a power of 90% at a two-sided alpha level of 0.05 and equal sample sizes for the two groups, and a 10% difference in outcomes, our power analysis suggest an enrollment of 200 patients. Based The primary hypothesis that PVI+PI will lead to a greater percentage of patients free from atrial arrhythmias at 1 year after single ablation procedures than PVI alone will be tested with a generalized linear mixed model.134-136

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 Y_0 + \beta_3 T + \beta_4 (XT) + \Sigma\beta_{4i}Z_i + \alpha + \gamma
\]  

(1)

Let \(Y\) be the outcome of interest for a patient randomized to Arm X (PVI alone or PVI+PI). Given the covariate-adaptive randomization, distributions of baseline values on the outcome variable (\(Y_0\)) and key characteristics (\(Z_i\)) should be similar between study arms and thus not bias the results. But to the extent they are associated with the outcome; their inclusion in the analysis will account for otherwise unexplained variation and hence increase efficiency.2 \(\alpha\) and \(\gamma\) are clinic and cardiologist random effects.

Primary analyses will follow ITT principles. We will verify that mixed model-based results are not sensitive to violations of model assumptions with permutation and bootstrap resampling tests.3,4 We will document the extent, pattern, and reasons for missing data, and will conduct sensitivity analyses of the impact of missing data on stability of the primary results. For example, we may use the outcome data up to the point when they are no longer available (e.g., dropouts) or should not be used and then employ multiple imputation5-7 based on a predictive distribution for future outcomes.
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