STUDY PROTOCOL

NCT number:

NCT04773080

TITLE:

Incidence, risk factors and consequences of acute kidney injury in patients undergoing esophageal cancer surgery: a historical cohort

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS	3
INTRODUCTION	4
AIM OF THE STUDY	5
STUDY DESIGN	5
METHODS	5
STUDY SETTING, POPULATION	5
PATIENT SELECTION CRITERIA	5
SAMPLE SIZE	6
PRIMARY ENDPOINTS	6
SECONDARY ENDPOINTS	6
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	6
DATA COLLECTION	7
ASSESSMENT OF RENAL FUNCTION	7
ASSESSMENT OF COMPLICATIONS AND MORTALITY	8
ETHIC AND SCIENTIFIC DISSEMINATION POLICY	9
BUDGET OF THE STUDY	9
CASE REPORT FORMS	9
BIBLIOGRAPHY	10

ABBREVIATIONS

AKI acute kidney injury

SCr serum creatinine

AKD Acute kidney disease

INTRODUCTION

Surgery alone, or in combination with neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy, is still considered to be the cornerstone treatment for locally advanced esophageal cancer ¹. Esophagectomy serves as an exemplar of major operative trauma, with well-known risk of pulmonary, cardiac, anastomotic, and septic complications and the presence of postoperative complications after esophagectomies for cancer is associated with a reduced long-term survival ². There is a paucity in the literature regarding postoperative renal outcomes after esophageal surgery, with a wide range of incidence.

McCulloch et al ² reported in 2003 the results of the British ASCOT prospective database collecting data from 955 patients (ASA score of 3-4 in 25%), of whom 229 underwent esophageal surgery. They found an incidence of renal complications of 3.5%, but no definition of renal complication was present in the study.

Lee et al ³ in 2014 investigated retrospectively 595 patients underwent esophageal surgery for cancer in South Korea. AKI according AKIN criteria was found in 35.3% of the population with a mean age of 62 years and mainly ASA score 2 (91.8%). AKI was also associated with longer hospital stay.

Moore et al ⁴ compared in a retrospective analysis of 150 patients underwent complex or non-complex esophageal reconstruction in 2016, reporting an incidence of renal insufficiency in 1.3% of the entire population, without reference of any diagnostic criteria.

In 2017 Konda et al ⁵ performed a retrospective study on 897 patients with a mean age of 61 years and mainly ASA 3 (83.6%) in USA, of whom 11.9% fulfilled the AKIN criteria for AKI.

Wang et al ⁶ showed in a Chinese retrospective case-control study published in 2017 a very low incidence of AKI according RIFLE criteria (2.4%) in a population of 2094 patients with a median age of 62 years and with ASA score of 2 in 84% of the patients.

The most recent study by Murphy et al ⁷ published in 2020 on 1135 patients with a mean age of 64 years underwent esophageal cancer surgery in three high-volume centers in Ireland showed an incidence of AKI (defined by AKIN criteria) of 18.3%, associated with atrial fibrillation and longer hospital stay.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of this study is to evaluate the incidence of postoperative AKI in patients undergoing elective esophageal cancer surgery. Secondary, we will assess the progression of the acute injury and the association with adverse pulmonary, cardiac, anastomotic, and septic events, as well as mortality. We will also identify risk factors associated with AKI occurrence.

STUDY DESIGN

Retrospective study

METHODS

STUDY SETTING, POPULATION

All patients underwent elective esophagectomy at the Ospedale-Università di Padova

PATIENT SELECTION CRITERIA

INCLUSION CRITERIA

• Elective esophagectomy

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Age < 18 years;
- End-stage renal disease
- Missing data for AKI definition (serum creatinine or urine output)
- Second surgery (for patients having multiple surgeries performed during a 30-day period, only the first in each period was included)

SAMPLE SIZE

Our sample size will be determined to help ensure stability around the point estimates in the final multivariable model. To avoid overfitting our final outcome model, no more than 8 outcome events will be required per covariate of interest. Thus, to fit a model with 8 covariates, we will require approximately 64 outcome events. We will estimate the sample size after a pilot study on 100 patients.

PRIMARY ENDPOINTS

• To evaluate the incidence of postoperative AKI according to KDIGO criteria within 48 hours after surgery

SECONDARY ENDPOINTS

- Distinction between transient and persistent AKI
- Occurrence of recovery or progression at 7 days, 30 days and 3 months
- Association between AKI and pulmonary complications, major adverse cardiovascular events, anastomotic or septic complications, or death.
- Identify risk factors associated with AKI occurrence.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The statistical analysis will be conducted with the help of Spss software.

Continuous variables are presented through descriptive statistics, including mean, median, variance, minimum and maximum value. Categorical variables are described by the absolute frequencies and percentages.

Data will be examined by T-test or one-way ANOVA (normally distributed variable), Mann-Whitney U test, Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis test (not normally distributed variables), Pearson or Mc Nemar's chi-square test (categorical variables) will be used to evaluate the difference across non-AKI group versus AKI group according to KDIGO criteria or each type of AKI. Two-sided p-values of less than 0,05 were accepted as statistically significant.

Logistic regression analysis will used to build a model of covariates associated with development of AKI and risk of AKI. Likelihood ratio (LR) test statistic, Hosmer-Lemeshow (HL) statistic will used

for assessing goodness of fit (GOF) in the regression models. A discriminatory performance [DP] assessment of the binary logistic model will be performed by classification/diagnostic table, ROC and area under the curve (AUC).

DATA COLLECTION

A team properly instructed will collect patient's data, which will be filled in a CRF (Case Report Form). An electronic copy of CRF will be retained in Microsoft Excel format.

All collected data will be identified and stored in such a way as to protect patient confidentiality. The staff involved in the collection and management of data will not use or disseminate such information for purposes other than those provided for the realization of the study.

All data will be collected and processed in a completely anonymous way, in compliance with the guarantee terms of privacy and as approved by Comitato Etico per la Sperimentazione Clinica della Provincia di Padova.

Assessment of renal function

"Acute kidney injury" (AKI) is defined as an increase in serum creatinine (SCr) by ≥ 0.3 mg/dL within 48 h the baseline value (as determined by all available SCr values from hospital and outpatient medical records within the previous 90 days) or urine output <0.5 mL/kg/h for 6 h⁸. For those who do not have available baseline SCr levels, expand the screening criteria to an increase or decrease in SCr by 0.3 mg/dl during hospital stay. Second, these suspected AKI were reviewed on a case-by-case basis to confirm the diagnosis. Positive fluid balance and hemodilution are considered in the diagnosis and staging of AKI using the following formula ⁹:

Adjusted SCr level = SCr \times correction factor

where the correction factor = (weight (kg) upon hospital admission $\times 0.6 + \Sigma$ (daily cumulative fluid balance (L)))/hospital admission weight $\times 0.6$

The estimated glomerular filtration rate is determined using SCr¹⁰. Chronic Kidney Disease-Epidemiology Collaboration equations.

Persistent acute kidney injury (AKI) is defined by the continuance of AKI by serum creatinine or urine output criteria (according KDIGO criteria) beyond 48 h from AKI onset ¹¹. Complete reversal of AKI by KDIGO criteria within 48 h of AKI onset is defined transient AKI ¹¹.

Acute kidney disease (AKD) is defined as a condition wherein criteria for AKI stage 1 or greater persists \geq 7 days after surgery.

Assessment of complications and mortality

Postoperative pulmonary complications were identified and categorized based on European Perioperative Clinical Outcome (EPCO) definitions from the ESA-ESICM joint taskforce on perioperative outcome measures ¹². These include respiratory infection, respiratory failure, pleural effusion, atelectasis, pneumothorax, bronchospasm, aspiration pneumonitis.

Major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) was a composite outcome of non-fatal cardiac arrest, acute myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, new cardiac arrhythmia, angina, ischemic stroke ¹².

Sepsis was defined according Sepsis-3 definition¹³.

We performed separate analyses of complications apparently related to surgical technique (for instance, anastomotic leakage, fistula, abscess, hemorrhage) and medical complications, i.e. pulmonary, cardiovascular (acute myocardial infarction, atrial fibrillation, cardiogenic shock) and septic.

All complications were evaluated 30 days after surgery.

Mortality in hospital after resection of esophageal cancer was defined as any death during the admission when the operation was performed. This was more reliably quantified than 30-day mortality.

ETHIC AND SCIENTIFIC DISSEMINATION POLICY

Patient Information/Informed Consent

As a retrospective analysis, we would base normative n. 9/2014 ("in merito al trattamento dei dati personali effettuato per scopi di ricerca scientifica dell'11 dicembre 2014").

After completion of the study, the data will be reported at scientific meetings and/or submitted and published in a scientific journal, regardless of the outcome. In these cases, a publication committee will be responsible for these activities and will work with the investigators to determine how the manuscript is written and edited, the number and order of authors, the publication to which it will be submitted, and other related issues.

BUDGET OF THE STUDY

The present study is NO PROFIT.

CASE REPORT FORM

Retrospective study on AKI incidence after esophageal cancer surgery

PATIENT ID

Inclusion criteria:

• elective esophageal cancer patients

Exclusion criteria:

- Age < 18 years.
- ongoing KRT or ESRD.
- Missing data for AKI criteria (serum creatinine and urine output).
- Second surgery.

PREOPERATIVE EVALUATION:

Gender	□ M	🗆 F	
Age		Birthday	
Weightkg		Height	m
Surgery date			

COMORBIDITIES:

COPD Gold class	□ Interstitial Lung Disease □ pulmonary hypertension				
🗆 asthma	□ active smokers	🗆 dyspnea			
□ stroke/TIA	coronary artery disease				
□ DM	hypertension with medication				
active solid organ neoplasm	□ hematologic neoplasm □ disseminated cancer				
alcohol use disorder	□ dementia □ congestive heart failure in 30 days prior to surgery				
dialysis					
ascites within 30 days prior to surgery					
systemic sepsis within 48 hours prior to surgery					
ventilator dependent					

ASA class _____

Functional status: Karnofsky_____ ECOG_____

Weight loss within the last 3 months _____

PREOPERATIVE MEDICATION:

Statins	□ ACE-inhibitors	Sartans	□ beta-blockers	i
D PPI	□ steroid use in chronic			🗆 insulin
□ CT	□ RT			
□				

PREOPERATIVE LABORATORY TESTS

Hb	MCV	Alb
sCr	eGFR	urea
BNP	Trop	
CARDIOVASCULAR TEST	<u>rs</u>	
AF at EKG	□ FE <45%	□ carotid stenosis
RESPIRATORY TESTS		
FEV1	FVC	DLCO

INTRAOP DATA						
Type of surgery:	thoracoscopy	$v \square$ thoracotomy	,	□ vls	□ lpt	🗆 cervico
Type of anesthesia:						
□ inhaled	□ TIVA	epidural+inh	aled	🗆 epidur	al+TIVA	
Lidocainemg		Magnesium_	mg	🗆 Dexam	ethasone	mg
Ranitidiner	ng	□ PPI	mg			
NMB: rocuronium	🗆 cisatr	racurium				
NMB reversal: neosti	gmine	sugammade	ĸ			
Duration of anesthesia	:ŕ)				
Type of ventilation: \Box C	DLV		max FiO2	r	nin SpO2	
Hemodynamics:						
□ IABP min SB	PmmH	g				
fluids:	 crystalloids blood 	ml	□ colloids	ml		
blood lossm	ıl end_su	rgery Hb	end_s	urgery lact	ate	
□ use of vasopressors	□ max (dose				
□ use of inotropes	□ max (dose				
arrhythmia during su	rgery 🗆 use o	of antiarrhythmic	CS			
Diuresis: total	_ml	□ use	of diuretics:		_dose	
End-surgery medication	n:					
Extubation at the en	d of surgery		D PACU			

POSTOP :

	6h	12h	24h	48h	72h	4d	5d	6d	7d	ICU dischar ge	H dischar ge	30d	1y
sCr													
eGFR													
urea													
Tropon in													
BNP													
Hb													
NGAL													
diuresi s													
Fluids in													
drainag es													
diureti cs													
vasopr essors													
inotrop es													

*NA if not present in the medical records

Outcomes:

□ need for RRT: _____days

□ MACCE within 30 days: □ AMI

congestive heart failure
 non-fatal cardiac arrest
 new cardiac arrhythmia
 angina
 stroke

□ pulmonary complications within 30 days: □ respiratory infection at ____day (i.e. patients has received antibiotics for a suspected respiratory infection + one of the following: new or changed sputum, new or changed opacities, fever, wbc >12000)

⊏ a P/F < 30	respiratory failure atday (PaO2 < 60 mmHg at room air,)0 mmHg or SpO2 <90% requiring oxygen therapy)
C	pleural effusion atday
C	atelectasis atday
C	pneumothorax atday
⊏ new expi	bronchospasm atday (bronchodilators treatment for ratory wheezing)
C	aspiration pneumonitis atday
C	length of CMVh
C	need for NIV inh
□ surgical complications within 30 days: □ fistula	□ hemorrhage □abscess

Clavien-Dingo grading of complications:

□ I: any deviation from the normal postoperative course without the need for pharmacological treatment or surgical, endoscopic, or radiological interventions. Permitted therapeutic interventions include: drugs such anti-emetics, antipyretics, analgesics, diuretics, electrolytes and physiotherapy. Also included wound infections opened at the bedside

□ II: drugs other than grade I

□ III: requiring surgical, endoscopic, or radiological interventions

□ IIIa: not under general anesthesia

□ IIIb: under general anesthesia

□ IV: life-threatening complication (including CNS complications) requiring critical care

□ IVa: single-organ dysfunction (including KRT)

□ IV b: multi-organ dysfunction

 \square V: death of a patient

Day of ICU discharge:		Alive	Dead
Day of H discharge:		□ Alive	Dead
Death at 30 days after surger	у		
□ readmission	_(date)	□ second surgery	

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1 van Hagen P, Hulshof MC, van Lanschot JJ, et al.: Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. The New England journal of medicine. 366:2074-2084, 2012.
- 2 McCulloch P, Ward J, Tekkis PP, et al.: Mortality and morbidity in gastrooesophageal cancer surgery: initial results of ASCOT multicentre prospective cohort study. BMJ. 327:1192-1197, 2003
- 3 Lee EH, Kim HR, Baek SH, et al. Risk factors of postoperative acute kidney injury in patients undergoing esophageal cancer surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2014;28(4):936-942
- 4 Moore JM, Hooker CM, Molena D, et al.: Complex Esophageal Reconstruction Procedures Have Acceptable Outcomes Compared With Routine Esophagectomy. Ann Thorac Surg. 2016.
- 5 Konda P, Ai D, Guerra CE, et al. Identification of Risk Factors Associated With Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury After Esophagectomy for Esophageal Cancer. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2017;31(2):474-481. doi:10.1053/j.jvca.2016.07.030
- 6 Wang W, Wang T, Feng X, Sun L. Incidence and risk factors of acute kidney injury after esophageal cancer surgery: A nested case-control study. *Int J Surg.* 2017;39:11-15
- 7 Murphy CF, Dunne T, Elliott JA, et al. Acute Kidney Injury After Esophageal Cancer Surgery: Incidence, Risk Factors, and Impact on Oncologic Outcomes [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jul 24]. Ann Surg. 2020;10.1097/SLA.000000000004146
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Acute Kidney Injury Work Group.
 KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline for Acute Kidney Injury. *Kidney Int Suppl* 2012: 1–138
- 9 Macedo E, Bouchard J, Soroko SH, et al. Fluid accumulation, recognition and staging of acute kidney injury in critically-ill patients. *Crit Care* 2010; 14: R82
- 10 Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med 2009; 150: 604–612

- 11 Chawla, L., Bellomo, R., Bihorac, A. *et al.* Acute kidney disease and renal recovery: consensus report of the Acute Disease Quality Initiative (ADQI) 16 Workgroup. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 13, 241–257 (2017)
- 12 Jammer I, Wickboldt N, Sander M, Smith A, Schultz MJ, Pelosi P, Leva B, Rhodes A, Hoeft A, Walder B, Chew MS, Pearse RM; European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA) and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM); European Society of Anaesthesiology; European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. Standards for definitions and use of outcome measures for clinical effectiveness research in perioperative medicine: European Perioperative Clinical Outcome (EPCO) definitions: a statement from the ESA-ESICM joint taskforce on perioperative outcome measures. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2015 Feb;32(2):88-105.
- 13 Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA. 2016;315(8):801–810