(Round 2) Pre-Analysis Plan COVID-19 Health Messaging to Underserved Communities

This Draft: September 4, 2020

1. Introduction

The aim of this study is to build on results from our first experiment and test how acknowledging institutional racial injustice affects informational messaging. In particular, we will investigate how well study participants retain knowledge and update beliefs and behavior with respect to COVID-19. We will also test the effect of race concordance of providers with recipients, and whether highlighting the unequal burden of the disease has additional effects on knowledge, beliefs and behavior regarding COVID-19.

Compared to the first study, there are four additional changes to the experimental design of note: 1) the sample will include white respondents in addition to African American respondents;¹ 2) the group that does not view videos about COVID-19 will watch a set of placebo videos about non-COVID health topics; 3) we are collecting follow-up behavioral data from a subset of participants;² 4) this study does not include a few of the treatment variants from the first – specifically, there is no acknowledgment by doctors in the videos discussing health behaviors, and there is no treatment arm to alter perceptions of whether mask-wearing is socially-acceptable.

2. Treatments, and Experimental Protocols

2.1 Treatments

Each subject receives one AMA statement and then watches three videos pertaining to health. The AMA statement either addresses racial injustice (treatment [RI]) or drug pricing (placebo [DP]). The videos pertaining to health behavior either discuss COVID-19 and prevention (treatment) or other non-COVID-19 related issues (control).

• AMA Statement:

RI: Racial Injustice

DP: Drug Pricing

• Treatment Videos

¹We continue to focus our sample on individuals with less than college education.

²We are also collecting baseline measures of these behaviors.

- 1. Video T1:
 - Introduction
 - Discussion of symptoms
- 2. Video T2:
 - Information about social distancing and hygiene
 - Sub-treatment T2A:
 - * Acknowledgment of racial disparities in contagion and mortality.
- 3. Video T3:
 - Information about masks
- Placebo Videos
 - 1. Video C1:
 - Information about fitness routines
 - 2. Video C2:
 - Information about sleep hygiene
 - 3. Video C3:
 - Information about sugar intake

We are varying five aspects of the videos across treatments:

- 1. AMA statement regarding racial injustice or transparency in drug pricing.
- 2. Racial concordance with AMA spokespeople in the videos.
- 3. Racial concordance with partner doctors in the videos.
- 4. Informative videos about COVID-19 or non-COVID-19 issues.
- 5. Video T2 content:
 - Standard message about hygiene and social distancing
 - Previous message, plus acknowledgment of racial disparities in contagion and mortality.

All of the video scripts can be found in Appendix Section A. Figure 1 shows how these different treatments are incorporated in the randomization design.

2.2 Recruitment and Sampling

We are using Lucid to recruit a sample and to compensate subjects for their participation. Our total target sample size is 20,000 subjects, 10,000 African American respondents, and 10,000 White American respondents. We require all participants to be age 18 or older, and we are targeting individuals who have *not* completed a college degree.

Figure 1: Treatment Design



Note: There are 5 randomization instances summarized in this diagram. For the fully extended tree with all 24 final individual cells, see Figure 2.

2.3 Experimental Protocols and Treatments

Our experiment has the following structure:

- 1. Recruitment and Baseline survey
 - (a) Recruit target sample via Lucid
 - (b) Collect demographic information, political views, and mask ownership. Several demographics variables are being collected directly by Lucid.
- 2. Randomize subjects to treatment
 - Treatment scripts are tailored to each racial group
 - Within racial group, treatment is stratified on age, gender and geographic region.
 - Randomization is at the individual level according to Figure 1.
 - There will also be a control group who will not receive COVID-19 videos, but will receive information at the very end of the survey.
- 3. Video delivery for all individuals.
 - (a) After each of the three videos, respondents evaluate the video's usefulness, and trustworthiness, along with the respondents intention to follow the advice in the video and share information from the video.
- 4. Endline survey
- 5. Debrief script

- 6. Follow-up survey
 - (a) We will follow up with participants three days post-treatment.
 - (b) The chief purpose would be to measure health-seeking and health-preserving behaviors.

3. General Hypotheses to be Tested

This section lists the general hypotheses we want to test. For those, we are planning to run broadly pooled treatments (and specific interactions specified below). Main hypotheses:

- 1. Baseline treatment effect: Does any COVID-19 message affect knowledge/indended behavior/priorities in donations/actual behavior?
 - (a) Compare the effectiveness of any doctor treatment video vs. any doctor placebo video.
 - We will test this in the full sample and in the white and African American samples, separately.
- 2. Concordance effect: Does the concordance of the doctor in the COVID-19 video affect the impacts of the message?
 - (a) Within the treatment group receiving a COVID-19 doctor video, compare the effectiveness of the video if the respondent is randomized to a doctor of concordant vs. discordant race.
 - We will test this hypothesis separately in the white and African American samples. If the effects go in the same direction for both populations, we will also test this hypothesis in the full sample.
 - An alternate way to define concorance is whether the subject views a racially concordant messenger both (or either) in the COVID-19 doctor video and in an AMA statement of any kind. We will also test the effectiveness of the messaging under this alternate measure.
- 3. AMA acknowledgement: Does the AMA message mediate the impacts of the COVID-19 messaging or of the racial concordance of the messenger?
 - (a) Compare baseline treatment effect [1] for AMA acknowledgement vs AMA placebo.
 - (b) Compare concordance effect [2] for AMA acknowledgment vs AMA placebo. Is the concordance of the doctor in the COVID-19 video more or less important when there is an AMA message vs. the AMA placebo?
 - We will test these hypotheses separately for white and African American respondents.
- 4. Does the racial concordance of the AMA messenger matter?
 - (a) Compare whether AMA acknowledgement [3a] is more effective if the AMA messenger is racially concordant.

- (b) Compare AMA acknowledgement effect [3b] by racial concordance of AMA messenger. Is the concordance of the doctor in the COVID-19 video more or less important when there is a racially concordant AMA message vs. a non-racially concordant AMA message?
 - We will test these hypotheses separately for white and African American respondents.
- 5. Content of message: does the mention of the racial disparities (video 2RD) in disease burden affect knowledge/intended behavior/ priorities in donation/actual behavior?
 - (a) Within the COVID video treatment group, compare videos with RD information vs. no RD information.
 - (b) Within the COVID video treatment group, how does information about RD interact with the racial concordance of the doctor messenger? Does information about RD make racial concodance more important, especially among African American respondents?
 - (c) Within the COVID video treatment group, how does information about RD interact with the AMA racial injustice message? Does information about RD make the AMA acknowledgement more effective, especially among African American respondents?
 - (d) We are also interested in how information about RD mediates Hypotheses 3b, 4a, 4b, and 4c.
 - In addition to the standard outcomes, we are also particularly interested in impacts on donations to a COVID fund that is specifically for African Americans vs. a general COVID fund. We also are interested in how this information changes perceptions of the policy responses of state and federal governments.
 - For 5a, we are interested in the white and African American groups, separately, and also the full, pooled sample. For 5b and 5c, we are mainly interested in the effects separately for white and African American respondents.
 - RD should have the largest impacts for individuals who have incorrect beliefs. Moreover, the impacts of the information should be different for individuals with priors about relative impacts on African Americans that are too low versus too high. (See Section 5.4, below).

4. Data Collection and Outcomes

We will run our experiment beginning on August 7, 2020. We are recruiting individuals that fit our screening criteria from an online survey firm. The data will be collected from respondent surveys. There is a short baseline module before individuals are exposed to the videos. Following the final video, there is an endline outcome module that all participants complete. Respondents also evaluate each video immediately after watching it. We also plan to follow up with individuals a few days after the treatment delivery for an additional survey to measure whether the videos changed behaviors. Prior to treatment, we ask all participants whether they would be willing to answer the follow-up survey. We allow individuals to enroll in the study, independent of their follow-up availability. We plan to control for willingness to answer a follow-up in all regressions. All of the survey responses will be downloaded in a .csv file for cleaning and analysis in R.

4.1 Baseline Survey Variables

The baseline information for all respondents includes the following demographic characteristics:

- Education
- Age
- Location
- Sex
- Political Views

We elicit additional health information at baseline, but participants may choose not to answer these questions:

- Mask Ownership
- Public Excursions
- Health Behavior

See Section 5, below for a summary of our key endline survey variables.

4.2 Data quality checks

We have several questions in the survey that capture respondent attention. We use some of these questions to screen out low-attention survey takers before assigning treatment. We further plan to exclude those that take very little time on the survey overall and/or on the videos.

5. Empirical Analysis

5.1 Balance Checks

We will conduct a series of balance tests across treatment arms to ensure that there are no chance differences between subjects in the various arms. We will regress characteristics measured pre-treatment on indicators for the arms and test their individual and joint significance. Balance tests will be conducted using all of the variables measured in the baseline survey.

We will also test for balance in attrition rates (see Section 6.2, below).

5.2 Key Outcomes

We intend to measure the treatment effects on the following set of outcomes:

1. The number of participants with knowledge of COVID related symptoms and transmission as assessed by a questionnaire we've developed specifically relevant to the intervention videos we are using for the project. Specifically, we define the following three outcomes, measured both at first contact and followup:

- Knowledge of COVID symptoms: participants are asked to identify 4 symptoms of COVID from a list of 9. We will define an indicator for whether participants select the 3 most common symptoms (fever, cough, difficulty breathing).
- Knowledge of COVID prevention: participants are asked to identify 3 COVID prevention behaviors from a list of 7. We will define an indicator for whether participants select the 3 behaviors emphasized in the videos (staying outside and 6ft from others; washing hands when going and coming from home; mask wearing).
- Knowledge of asymptomatic infection: an indicator for whether participants correctly answer that COVID can spread asymptomatically.
- 2. Behavioral outcome 1: Number of participants who report behavior change related to messages provided in the intervention videos; measured via a specific questionnaire instrument we've developed to correspond to the intervention. Specific behaviors include physical distancing, mask wearing, and hand hygiene. Since we ask about several safety behaviors, we will define a standardized index to combine them into one measure, calculated at both first contact and endline. The key outcome is the within-person change in this safety index from first contact to endline.
- 3. Behavioral outcome 2: Revealed preference estimate of willingness to pay for masks. The subjects will trade-off the willingness to get a pair of masks or an amazon gift card when participating in a strategy-proof lottery.
- 4. Behavioral outcome 3: Number of links people click on for additional information on the COVID-19 behaviors. Links will include testing locations, state public health hotline, and symptom tracker.
- 5. Behavioral outcome 4: Donations to a COVID-related charity. After providing information on the number of weekly COVID cases, we are measuring the willingness to donate to a COVID-related charity vs. a generic helath-related charity.
- 6. Behavioral outcome 5: Donations to African-American COVID-19 fund. After providing information on the disproportionate burden on African-American communities, we are measuring the willingness to donate to a COVID relief fund that focuses on African-American communities vs. one that generically provides relief to disadvantaged individuals.
- 7. Evaluation of state and local COVID policy. We are measuring how how well participants think their federal and state governments managed to balance opening the economy and limiting the health impacts of Covid-19. We believe that information about racial disparities, in particular, may change these perceptions, especially for people who believed that racial disparities did not exist or were less severe at baseline.

5.3 Regression Analysis

We will perform different regression analyses to test the hypotheses listed above. Because our data contains many possible control variables, we will use a double-lasso procedure to select regression controls. We will also include a control for whether the participant's availability to participate in a

follow up survey (as indicted at baseline). These control variables are denoted as *X* in the regression specifications below.

Unless otherwise noted, we will examine treatment effects on knowledge of COVID symptoms, knowledge of COVID transmission, intended donation to a COVID-related charity, willingness to pay for masks, changes in reported behavior. In what follows, we present the minimal regressions to test each of the hypotheses, restricting to the smallest subset of treatments. However, we could also execute the same tests in the full sample, but with more treatment interactions.³

Note that the "COVID" indicator covers cases when doctors mention COVID plus racism, or COVID alone. In text, we refer to this group as the treatment group.

- 1. Baseline treatment effect: does any of the COVID-19 messaging affect knowledge, intended behavior, priorities in donation, or actual behavior?
 - <u>Question</u>: does COVID messaging from doctors have any effect? <u>Samples</u>: separate analysis for all respondents, black respondents, and white respondents. <u>Regression</u>:

$$Y = \beta \cdot \text{COVID Video} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$$

- 2. Does racial concordance of the doctor in the COVID-19 video change the effectiveness for messaging?
 - <u>Question</u>: Bolded, immediately above. <u>Samples</u>: COVID video groups. Separate analysis for black and white respondents.

Regression:

$$Y = \beta \cdot \text{DocConcord} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$$

Alternate Specification: To allow, for a level effect of doctor concordance, we can also run the following modified regression, including both the COVID video and control groups.

 $Y = \beta \cdot \text{DocConcord} \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \alpha \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \delta \cdot \text{DocConcord} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

• <u>Question</u>: Bolded above, but using an alternate definition of concordance. We'll require a) all messengers or b) any messenger to concord with the respondent's race.

For any outcomes where β is of the same sign for white and black respondents, we will estimate the above equation again in the full sample.

3. Does the AMA racism acknowledgment affect the impact of messaging on any outcomes? Does it change the concordance effect?

³In some cases, especially when including controls, these full-sample tests may be preferrable to these stripped-down regressions.

• <u>Question</u>: Does the AMA racism messaging heighten or dull COVID messaging from doctors?

Samples: COVID video groups. Separate analysis for all respondents, black respondents, and white respondents.

Regression:

$$Y = \beta \cdot \text{AMARacism} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$$

Alternate Specification: To allow, for a level effect of the AMA racism message, we can also run the following modified regression, including both the COVID video and control groups.⁴

 $Y = \delta \cdot \text{AMARacism} \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \alpha \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \beta \cdot \text{AMARacism} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

• <u>Question</u>: Does the AMA racism acknowledgment heighten or dull any doctor concordance effects?

Samples: COVID video groups. Separate analysis for black and white respondents. Regression:

 $Y = \delta \cdot AMARacism \cdot DocConcord + \alpha \cdot AMARacism + \beta \cdot DocConcord + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

Alternate Specification: Again, we can also run the following modified regression, including both the COVID video and control groups.

 $Y = \delta \cdot \text{DocConcord} \cdot \text{AMARacism} \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \lambda \cdot \text{AMARacism} \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \phi \cdot \text{DocConcord} \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \rho \cdot \text{AMARacism} \cdot \text{DocConcord} + \alpha \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \beta \cdot \text{AMARacism} + \psi \cdot \text{DocConcord} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

4. Does concordance of the AMA messenger matter?

• <u>Question</u>: Does a race-concordant AMA messenger delivering a message about racial injustice make COVID messaging from doctors more or less effective, relative to a race-discordant AMA messenger?

Samples: Individuals receiving an AMA message about racial injustice and a treatment message about COVID. Separate analysis for black and white respondents. Regression:

$$Y = \beta \cdot \text{AMAConcord} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$$

Alternate Specification: We can also run the following modified regression, the COVID video and control groups, with both types of AMA messages.

 $Y = \delta \cdot \text{COVID Video} \cdot \text{AMAConcord} \cdot \text{AMARacism} + \lambda \cdot \text{AMAConcord} \cdot \text{AMARacism} + \rho \cdot \text{AMAConcord} \cdot \text{COVID Video} + \psi \cdot \text{COVID Video} \cdot \text{AMARacism} + \alpha \cdot \text{AMAConcord} + \beta \cdot \text{AMARacism} + \phi \cdot \text{COVID Video} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

⁴Ex ante, we think that an AMA level effect in the control group is more likely than a doctor concordance level effect. However, we include all alternates for completeness. These alternate specifications including the control group are relevant in the presence of a level effect.

• <u>Question</u>: Does a race-concordant AMA messenger make COVID messaging from a raceconcordant doctor more or less effective, when delivering the AMA message about racial injustice?

Samples: COVID video groups receiving the AMA message about racism. Separate analysis for black and white respondents.

Regression:

 $Y = \delta \cdot AMAConcord \cdot DocConcord + \alpha \cdot AMAConcord + \beta \cdot DocConcord + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

Alternate Specification: We can also run the following modified regression, the COVID video and control groups, with both types of AMA messages.

 $Y = \delta \cdot AMAConcord \cdot AMARacism \cdot DocConcord \cdot Covid Video \\ + \alpha_1 \cdot DocConcord \cdot AMARacism \cdot COVID + \alpha_2 \cdot DocConcord \cdot AMARacism \cdot AMAConcord \\ + \alpha_3 \cdot DocConcord \cdot COVID \cdot AMAConcord + \alpha_4 \cdot AMARacism \cdot COVID \cdot AMAConcord \\ + \beta_1 \cdot DocConcord \cdot AMARacism + \beta_2 \cdot DocConcord \cdot COVID + \beta_3 \cdot DocConcord \cdot AMAConcord \\ + \beta_4 \cdot AMARacism \cdot COVID + \beta_5 \cdot AMARacism \cdot AMAConcord + \beta_6 \cdot COVID \cdot AMAConcord \\ + \rho_1 \cdot DocConcord + \rho_2 \cdot AMARacism + \rho_3 \cdot AMAConcord + \rho_4 \cdot COVID + X' \gamma + \epsilon$

- 5. What are the effects of acknowledging racial disparities in COVID incidence? In addition to the typical set of outcomes, we will also analyze effects on allocated donations to black-specific versus race-agnostic COVID-related charities.
 - <u>Question</u>: what is the main effect of acknowledging racial disparities of COVID incidence? <u>Samples</u>: treated respondents only. Separate analysis for black, white, and all respondents. <u>Regression</u>:

$$Y = \beta \cdot \text{Vid2RacialDisp} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$$

• <u>Question</u>: are race-concordant doctors more effective messengers about racial disparity? <u>Samples</u>: treated respondents only. Separate analysis for black, white, and all respondents. <u>Regression</u>:

 $Y = \delta \cdot \text{DocConcord} \cdot \text{Vid2RacialDisp} + \alpha \cdot \text{Vid2RacialDisp} + \beta \cdot \text{DocConcord} + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

• <u>Question</u>: does acknowledging widespread racism alter the effectivness of later discussing racial disparities in COVID?

Samples: treated respondents only. Separate analysis for black, white, and all respondents. Regression:

 $Y = \delta \cdot AMARacism \cdot Vid2RacialDisp + \alpha \cdot Vid2RacialDisp + \beta \cdot AMARacism + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

• Question: does a race-concordant AMA messenger's prefacing statement have a different amplifying effect than a race discordant AMA messenger? That is, if doctors are going to discuss racial disparities in COVID and we're going to preface this with the AMA racism statement, do we expect different results if the statement comes from a concordant versus discordant AMA messenger?

Samples: Must have seen *both* a COVID video *and* an AMA racism video. Separate analysis for black and white respondents.

Regression:

 $Y = \delta \cdot AMAConcord \cdot Vid2RacialDisp + \alpha \cdot Vid2RacialDisp + \beta \cdot AMAConcord + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

Alternate specification: restrict sample to those seeing a COVID video, include both types of AMA messages. Regression:

 $Y = \delta \cdot AMARacism \cdot AMAConcord \cdot Vid2RacialDisp + \lambda \cdot AMAConcord \cdot Vid2RacialDisp + \rho \cdot AMAConcord \cdot AMARacism + \phi \cdot AMARacism \cdot Vid2RacialDisp + \alpha \cdot Vid2RacialDisp + \beta \cdot AMAConcord + \psi \cdot AMARacism + X'\gamma + \epsilon$

5.4 Heterogeneous Effects

We plan to conduct several heterogeneity tests that we believe are of central importance: respondent race, respondent political afiliation (within the white sample), prior beliefs about racial disparities and COVID-19, and the timing of participation vis a vis the events of Kenosha, WI.

We are very interested in studying how the impacts of our treatments vary by the race of the respondent. This is central to our research design. Specifically,

- Is the impact of racial concordance different by respondent race?
- Is the impact of a statement addressing racial injustice different by respondent race?
- Is the impact of information about racial disparities in the COVID-19 burden different by respondent race?

Moreover, within the white respondent population, we predict that there may be substantial heterogeneity by the respondent's political beliefs:

- Is the impact of racial concordance different for white republicans versus white democrats?
- Is the impact of a statement addressing racial injustice different for white republicans versus white democrats?
- Is the impact of information on racial disparities in disease burden different for white republicans versus white democrats?

We predict that the impacts of information on racial disparities in COVID burden should depend on individuals' prior beliefs:

- The information about RD should cause individuals who initially believed at baseline that there were small or non-existant racial disparities to update in the opposite direction of individuals who believed that the racial disparities were larger than they actually are.
- Thus, for all of our tests involving RD, we will interact the regressions with indicators for whether the priors were smaller or larger than the number we give in the videos.
- Moreover, there may be bigger impacts for individuals whose priors were less accurate, so we can also interact by the size of the gap between the information and the prior, separately for those with priors that were too low versus too high. This can also help us measure whether the information had an impact even for people with accurate priors, possibly through a salience effect.

We intend to compare the results of our hypothesis tests separately for the time period before the Jacob Blake police shooting in Kenosha, WI on August 23, 2020, and the time period after. We propose to do this for two reasons. First, we launched the study at a time when the large-scale protests from earlier in the summer following the murder of George Floyd had somewhat ebbed. So the events in Kenosha may bring issues of racial injustice to the fore. Second, and perhaps more importantly, polarization surrounding the narrative of the protests has markedly increased following the events of Kenosha. Several speakers at the Republican National Convention explicitly discussed the violent component of the protests, for example, and both candidates for the US presidency are making trips to Kenosha. This increased polarization is likely to have the most relevance for the white respondents in our study, and may enhance any differential response we find by political affiliation. We propose to split the sample into the period before August 23, 2020 and the period following August 26, 2020. We will omit surveys collected on days in the interim when Americans were only coming to learn about the events of Kenosha, WI.

We are also interested in secondary analysis exploring heterogeneity on the following categories of traits/characteristics:

- Age
- Level of baseline knowledge and health-preserving behaviors
- Place of residence (correlated with political affiliation, COVID-19 policies and phased reopenings, and socio-economic characteristics)

Given the many ways to cut the data for this secondary analysis, we will follow the methodology of Chernozhukov et al (2019) for this latter set of potential heterogeneous treatment effects.⁵

6. Robustness

6.1 Threats to Interpretation

We would like to assume that differences across videos come from either differences in the racial identity of the doctors in the video or from differences in the content of the messages, rather than from other chance differences across videos. Because we are including both white and black respondents who will be watching the same exact videos, we will be able to include video fixed effects in some specifications.

⁵Chernozhukov, Victor, Mert Demirer, Esther Duflo, and Ivan Fernandez-Val (2019). Generic machine learning inference on heterogenous treatment effects in randomized experiments. No. w24678. National Bureau of Economic Research.

6.2 Attrition

We have two separate endline surveys. The first will take place immediately after treatment delivery. The type of attrition that might arise here is through dropping out of the online session before completing all of the survey questions. To try to limit differential attrition, we are showing placebo videos to the control group to fill approximately the same amount of time.

Our second set of endline outcomes will take place a few days after the main survey. Lucid, the survey firm, will try to recontact a specified list of initial participants. We are only expecting modest recontact rates, and therefore high levels of attrition. Importantly, to try to limit differential attrition, all individuals will be recontacted with the exact same message, and it will not be made salient that the survey is a direct follow-up to the previous study.

We plan to test for differential attrition at both endlines across our key comparison groups.

7. Funding and Human Subjects Review

Funding is provided by the National Science Foundation RAPID-2029880 for Covid-19 research, and RAI Italian Broadcasting corporation (via an unrestricted gift to J-PAL that we attributed to this project). The IRB at MIT is serving as the primary institution of record and has entered into a reliance agreement with Harvard, Massachusetts General Hospital, and Yale. We have also received IRB approval from Stanford.

Appendix

A. Scripts

All respondents will receive either [Statement RI or Statement DP] + one set of [Treatment or Control] videos.

A.1 Statements

Each respondent is assigned to one of the following statements. All respondents will see the statement presented via video.

A.1.1 Treatment Statement RI (Racial Justice):

- The American Medical Association recognizes that racism in its systemic, structural, institutional, and interpersonal forms is an urgent threat to public health, the advancement of health equity, and a barrier to excellence in the delivery of medical care.
- The American Medical Association opposes all forms of racism.
- The American Medical Association denounces police brutality and all forms of racially-motivated violence.
- The American Medical Association will actively work to dismantle racist and discriminatory policies and practices across all of health care.

A.1.2 Placebo Statement DP (Drug Pricing):

- The American Medical Association believes in transparency in prescription drug pricing, and we are pleased the House Ways & Means Committee moved the issue forward.
- Patients and their physicians want to be armed with more information, yet the current situation is opaque if not impenetrable.
- The committee is rightfully determined to expose factors that lead to high drug prices, and we look forward to continuing our efforts in that regard.

A.2 Treatment Videos about COVID-19

A full set of treatment videos includes T1 + T2 + [T2A or nothing] + T3

Video T1:

Hello, I'm Dr [YOUR LAST NAME HERE] from [YOUR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HERE], and I?d like to tell you a little about Coronavirus or COVID-19. COVID-19 is a new virus that can infect the respiratory tract and lungs. Although many people who get sick from COVID will get better, some people who get it become very ill and some even die.

Although there's no cure, there are ways medical professionals have found to protect you and your community from COVID. I hope that this message can give you information that will help you protect you or someone you love from COVID infection.

First, I would like to tell you about the symptoms of COVID-19. The most common symptoms of COVID-19 are cough, fever, and trouble breathing. Another odd symptom some people have is loss of taste or smell. A large number of people who have COVID-19 actually don't show any symptoms at all. Unfortunately, people can still spread the disease to others even with no symptoms. The next video will provide you with more information on how you can protect yourself and others.

Video T2:

Hello, I'm Dr [YOUR LAST NAME HERE] from [YOUR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HERE], You may be looking for ways to resume some activities as safely as possible.

However, COVID-19 remains contagious and shows no signs of disappearing. In fact, during the week of July 6 there were 58,000 new COVID cases per day diagnosed in the United States.

[ONLY FOR ACKNOWLEDGMENT SUB-TREATMENT T2A] Black Americans and other minority groups are three times as likely to get and, when you account for age, four times as likely to die from COVID as white Americans.

Without a safe and effective vaccine or therapy, our only option is to continue taking precautionary measures to protect ourselves, our communities, and the most vulnerable among us.

While there is no way to ensure zero risk of infection from COVID-19, observing these three practices will help to protect you and others.

First, continue to practice social distancing whenever possible: Try to stay outdoors, and to the maximum extent possible, please stay 6 feet apart. If you must be indoors, use visual reminders—like signs, chair arrangements, markings on the floor, or arrows—to help remind you to keep your distance from others, and maintain physical barriers whenever possible.

Second, continue to wash your hands often for at least 20 seconds with soap and water, especially before going out, and every time you return home.

Third, wear a mask when in public at all times, especially when indoors or when it is difficult to stay 6 feet away. The next video will tell you a bit more about masks.

Video T3:

Hello, I am doctor [YOUR LAST NAME HERE] from [YOUR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HERE], and I will tell you a bit more about masks. Wearing a mask is a key way to prevent the spread of COVID-19. You are not just protecting yourself but also your grandma and your community, just in case you have COVID-19 but don't know it.

Even if wearing a mask may sometimes put you in a difficult situation, it is important to protect you and the community from COVID 19 disease. As medical professionals, I am committed to delivering the best care I can to every patient. My goal is to make sure that you and everyone you love survives this COVID-19 pandemic. Thank you for listening to these messages.

A.3 Control Videos about non-COVID-19 Health Behaviors

A full set of control videos includes C1 + C2 + C3

Video C1:

Most adults need to sleep between 6 and 8 hours a night. Now, there are some people who get five hours a night and they are fine, so there is some variation across people. But for most adults, we need 6 to 8 hours in order to function well the next day. If you feel sleep deprived you might not be able to function as well as you would normally like.

It's important to have something called sleep hygiene which is a routine you follow at bedtime and can help you fall asleep. Things that can disrupt sleep hygiene include caffeine or alcohol too close to bedtime. Eating late at night can also cause indigestion. So keep a routine and trying to get 6-8 hours is important.

Video C2:

Sugar is found in many different food items. Natural sugars are those that can be found in fruits, vegetables and dairy products like milk. Sugars like these that are natural are not really problematic because they are coming alongside lots of other vitamins and minerals.

There are other sugars, though, that are processed and added to a food item. These are called additive sugars. A good rule of thumb is to eat foods with less than than 5g of sugar per serving. Avoid buying products where one of the first five products is a sugar.

And it can be better to buy an unsweetened product like an unsweetened cereal or oatmeal and then add a teaspoon of sugar to it if you need the sweetness than to buy a heavily sweetened product, like a sugar cereal which can have several teaspoons of sugar per serving.

Video C3:

New fitness guidelines can be summed up as follows: just move and anything counts. Sneaking in a few minutes of physical activity throughout the day adds up in the long run. The guidelines are trying to make it easier for individuals to be fit and drop the rule that activity must be in 10 minute blocks of time. In a nutshell, activity has benefits even if it's for a short amount of time. Taking the stairs instead of the elevator, parking your car far away from the entrance to a store or walking your dog around the block can all help you be fit. The guidelines still call for at least 150 minutes a week of moderately intense aerobic exercise and two weekly sessions of muscle training activity, like lifting weights or yoga.



