#### Short Title:

# Statistical Analysis Plan CLL949-C018 / NCT04207749

#### Full Title:

# Statistical Analysis Plan CLL949-C018

Protocol Title:	Clinical Evaluation of a Daily Wear Silicone Hydrogel Lens
Project Number:	
Reference Number:	
Protocol TDOC Number:	TDOC-0056860
Author:	
Approvals:	See last page for electronic approvals.
Job Notes:	

This is the first revision (Version 2.0) of the Statistical Analysis Plan for this study. This version of the Statistical Analysis Plan is based on Version 2.0 of the study protocol.

#### **Executive Summary:**

Key Objectives:

The primary effectiveness objective is to demonstrate noninferiority of soft contact lenses compared to BIOFINITY<sup>®</sup> soft contact lenses (Biofinity), in contact lens corrected distance visual acuity (CLCDVA) at Week 1 Follow-up.

The secondary effectiveness objective is to demonstrate noninferiority of compared to Biofinity in the percentage of subjects achieving CLCDVA 20/20 or better, in each eye, at Week 1 Follow-up.

# **Table of Contents**

Statistical A	Analysis Plan CLL949-C0181
Table of Co	ontents
List of Tabl	les4
1	Study Objectives and Design
1.1	Study Objectives
1.2	Study Description
1.3	Randomization
1.4	Masking
2	Analysis Sets
2.1	Safety Analysis Set
2.2	Full Analysis Set7
2.3	Per Protocol Analysis Set
3	Subject Characteristics and Study Conduct Summaries
4	Effectiveness Analysis Strategy
4.1	Effectiveness Endpoints9
4.2	Effectiveness Hypotheses10
4.3	Statistical Methods for Effectiveness Analyses10
4.3.1	Primary Effectiveness Analyses10
4.3.2	Secondary Effectiveness Analyses
5	Safety Analysis Strategy
5.1	Safety Endpoints
5.2	Safety Hypotheses
5.3	Statistical Methods for Safety Analyses
5.3.1	Adverse Events
5.3.2	Biomicroscopy Findings/Slit Lamp Examination15

5.3.3	Device Deficiencies	15
8	Other Reporting Considerations and Analysis Strategies	16
9	References	17
11	Appendix	20

# List of Tables

Table 1-1 Study Description Summary	5
Table 8-1 Analysis Windows	16
Table 11-1 Schedule of Study Procedures and Assessments	20

# 1 Study Objectives and Design

To address the primary and secondary effectiveness objectives, data from a pre-determined subset of subjects from CLL949-C009 will be combined with data from the current study, and all planned analyses will be performed on the combined set of data.

## 1.1 Study Objectives

### PRIMARY OBJECTIVE

The primary effectiveness objective is to demonstrate noninferiority **compared** to Biofinity in mean CLCDVA at Week 1 Follow-up.

#### **SECONDARY OBJECTIVE**

The secondary effectiveness objective is to demonstrate noninferiority **Constrained** compared to Biofinity in the percentage of subjects achieving CLCDVA 20/20 or better, in each eye, at Week 1 Follow-up.

## **1.2 Study Description**

Key components of the study are summarized in Table 1-1.

Study Design	Prospective, multi-center, randomized,, controlled,				
	double-masked, parallel-group				
Study Population	Volunteer subjects aged 18 or over who are adapted daily wear				
	frequent replacement soft contact lens wearers, excluding				
	Biofinity habitual wearers, have at least 3 months of soft contact				
	lens wearing experience, and who wear their habitual lenses at				
	least 5 days per week and at least 8 hours per day.				

#### Table 1-1 Study Description Summary

	Target to complete: 192 subjects (128:64; Test:Control)
Number of Sites	~14-17 (US)
Test Product	soft contact lenses
Control Product	CooperVision <sup>®</sup> BIOFINITY <sup>®</sup> (comfilcon A) soft contact lenses
	(Biofinity)
Duration of Treatment	Approximately 3 months
Visits	Visit 1: Screening/Baseline/Dispense (Day 1)
	Visit 2: Week 1 Follow-up
	Visit 3: Month 1 Follow-up
	Visit 4: Month 3 Follow-up/Exit

#### 1.3 Randomization

A member of the Randomization Programming group at Alcon who is not part of the study team will generate the randomized allocation schedule(s) for study lens assignment.

Subjects will be randomized in a 2:1 ratio to receive either lenses, respectively.	or Biofinity contact

### 1.4 Masking

This study is double-masked.



### 2 Analysis Sets

Data from a subset of subjects in the CLL949-C009 study will be combined with data from this study. This combined set will serve as the basis for all analyses for the primary and secondary effectiveness objectives. Subjects in CLL949-C009 will be included in the combined set only if they satisfy the following criterion:

• Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 20/20 or better in each eye at the Screening / Baseline / Dispense Visit

### 2.1 Safety Analysis Set

Safety analyses will be conducted using the safety analysis set on a treatment-emergent basis. As such, the safety analysis set will include all subjects/eyes exposed to any study lenses evaluated in this study.

For treatment-emergent

safety analyses, subjects/eyes will be categorized under the actual study lenses exposed.

### 2.2 Full Analysis Set

The full analysis set (FAS) is the set of all randomized subjects who are exposed to any study lenses evaluated in this study, and who have at least one post-baseline (post-Dispense)

CLCDVA.

## 2.3 Per Protocol Analysis Set

The per protocol (PP) analysis set is a subset of FAS and excludes all data/subjects that have met any of the critical deviation or evaluability criteria identified in the Deviations and Evaluability Plan (DEP).

### **3** Subject Characteristics and Study Conduct Summaries

Demographic information (age, sex, ethnicity, and race), recent lens-wearing experience (wear modality, wear success), and habitual lens information will be presented by lens group and overall for the safety, full, and per protocol analysis sets.

Baseline data will also be summarized by lens group and overall on the safety, full and per protocol analysis sets.

-	
4	Effectiveness Analysis Strategy
-	
	Primary inference will be done on the PP analysis
set.	

All data obtained in evaluable subjects/eyes will be included in the analysis. No imputation for missing values will be carried out for the effectiveness analyses.

For all planned inferential analyses, alternative models/methods may be considered if convergence cannot be achieved.

### 4.1 Effectiveness Endpoints

#### **Primary Endpoint**

The primary endpoint is the mean CLCDVA at Week 1 Follow-up. The corresponding assessment is collected with study lenses, in Snellen, for each eye. Conversion will be made to the logMAR scale.

#### Secondary Endpoint

The secondary endpoint is the percentage (proportion) of subjects with CLCDVA of 20/20 or better, measured monocularly, in both OD and OS at Week 1 Follow-up.



# 4.2 Effectiveness Hypotheses

#### **Primary Effectiveness**

The null and alternative hypotheses are formulated in terms of the predefined margin of 0.10 (in logMAR scale) for noninferiority:

H<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu_{(T)} - \mu_{(C)} \ge 0.10$ H<sub>a</sub>:  $\mu_{(T)} - \mu_{(C)} < 0.10$ 

where  $\mu_{(T)}$  and  $\mu_{(C)}$  denote the Week 1 Follow-up mean CLCDVA for Mercury and Biofinity, respectively, on the logMAR scale.

#### Secondary Effectiveness

The null and alternative hypotheses are formulated in terms of the predefined margin of 0.10 (10%) for noninferiority.

$$\begin{split} H_0: \ P_{(T)} - P_{(C)} &\leq \textbf{-0.10} \\ H_a: \ P_{(T)} - P_{(C)} &\geq \textbf{-0.10} \end{split}$$

where  $P_{(T)}$  and  $P_{(C)}$  denote the proportion of subjects attaining at least 20/20 in CLCDVA at Week 1 Follow-up in each eye (OD and OS) for Mercury and Biofinity, respectively.

4.3 Statistical Methods for Effectiveness Analyses

### 4.3.1 Primary Effectiveness Analyses

A mixed effects repeated measures model will be utilized to test these hypotheses.

Lens difference (Mercury minus Biofinity) and the

corresponding two-sided 95% CI will be computed for Week 1 Follow-up. Noninferiority in CLCDVA will be declared if the upper confidence limit is less than 0.10.

-=		
4.3.2	Secondary Effectiveness Analyses	





Biofinity), noninferiority in proportion of subjects achieving 20/20 or better in CLCDVA in both eyes will be declared if the lower confidence limit is greater than -0.10.





# 5.1 Safety Endpoints

The safety endpoints are:

- Adverse Events (AEs)
- Biomicroscopy Findings



• Device Deficiencies

# 5.2 Safety Hypotheses

There are no safety hypotheses planned in this study. The focus of the safety analysis will be a comprehensive descriptive assessment of safety endpoints listed in Section 5.1.

# 5.3 Statistical Methods for Safety Analyses

## 5.3.1 Adverse Events

The applicable definition of an AE is in the study protocol. All AEs occurring from when a subject signs informed consent to when a subject exits the study will be accounted for in the reporting. Analysis and presentation of pre-treatment AEs will be separated from treatment-emergent AEs occurring during the study period. A pre-treatment AE is an event that occurs after signing informed consent but prior to exposure to the study lens (not including trial fitting lenses). The period for treatment-emergent AE analysis starts from exposure to study lens until the subject completes or is discontinued from the study.

Descriptive summaries (counts and percentages) for ocular and nonocular AEs will be presented by Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) Preferred Terms (PT). Serious AEs and significant non-serious ocular AEs will be noted. Additionally, relationship to lens will be identified in all AE tables. Unit of presentation for ocular AEs will be eye and nonocular AEs will be subject.

Individual subject listings will be provided for both pre-treatment and treatment-emergent AEs, where any AE leading to study discontinuation will be indicated.

## 5.3.2 Biomicroscopy Findings/Slit Lamp Examination

Biomicroscopy assessment will be performed at all study visits, including Screening/Baseline/Dispense, Week 1 Follow-up, Month 1 Follow-up, Month 3 Follow-up and unscheduled visits. The reporting unit for each biomicroscopy finding will be eye.

### 5.3.3 Device Deficiencies

A frequency table showing counts for each treatment-emergent Device Deficiency category will be presented. In addition, listings for treatment-emergent and pre-treatment device deficiencies will be provided.





#### 9 References

1. ISO 11980:2012 Ophthalmic optics - Contact lenses and contact lens care products -Guidance for clinical investigations





# 11 Appendix

#### Table 11-1 Schedule of Study Procedures and Assessments

Procedure/ Assessment	Visit 1 Screening/ Baseline/ Dispense Day 1	Visit 2 Week 1 Follow-up Day 7	Visit 3 Month 1 Follow-up Day 30	Visit 4 Month 3 Follow-up/ Exit Day 95	Early Exit	USV
Informed Consent	$\checkmark$	-	-	-	-	-
Demographics	✓	-	-	-	-	-
Medical History	✓	√	~	✓	✓	(•)
Concomitant Medications	~	~	~	~	~	(🗸)
Inclusion/ Exclusion	✓	-	-	-	-	-
Habitual lens information (brand / manufacturer, modality, power, wear success, habitual lens care brand)	~	-	-	-	-	-
VA w/ habitual correction (OD,OS Snellen distance)	~	-	-	~	~	(✔)
		I				
		•	-			
Biomicroscopy <sup>2</sup>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	(•)

Procedure/ Assessment	Visit 1 Screening/ Baseline/ Dispense	Visit 2 Week 1 Follow-up	Visit 3 Month 1 Follow-up	Visit 4 Month 3 Follow-up/ Exit	Early Exit	USV
	Day 1	Day 7	Day 30	Day 95		
		I			I	I
Randomize	$\checkmark$	-	-	-	-	-
IP Dispense	✓	✓	(🗸)	-	-	(🗸)
VA w/ study lenses (OD, OS Snellen distance)	~	✓	✓	~	~	(✔)

Document ID: V-CLN-0002343 Status: Approved, Version: 2.0 Approved Date: 05 Jan 2021

Т

		Visit 1	Visit 2	Visit 3	Visit 4			
	Procedure/ Assessment	Screening/ Baseline/ Dispense	Week 1 Follow-up	Month 1 Follow-up	Month 3 Follow-up/ Exit	Early Exit	USV	
		Day 1	Day 7	Day 30	Day 95			
	AEs	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	(✓)	
	Device deficiencies	~	~	~	~	~	(✔)	
	Exit Form	(✓)	(✓)	(✓)	✓	✓	(✓)	

Additional Notes:

a) All follow-up visits should be scheduled at least 4 hours after lens insertion (

Signature Page for V-CLN-0002343 v2.0

