

**COVER PAGE**  
**SOLVING RIDDLES THROUGH SEQUENCING (SIRIUS)**

**Long title: Testing the diagnostic supremacy of sequencing-only approaches in hematologic malignancies: an observational trial**

**Submission ID: MLL\_002**

**Sponsor of the trial:** Prof. Dr. Dr. Torsten Haferlach, Munich Leukemia Laboratory (MLL)

—

MLL Münchner Leukämielabor GmbH

**Address:** MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory, Max-Lebsche-Platz 31, 81377 MUNICH (GERMANY)

**Document Date (Date of initial Submission): 15<sup>TH</sup> OF September 2021 (09—15—2021)**

**NCT Number:** NCT ID not yet assigned

**Submitting investigator:** Torsten Haferlach, MD PhD

**Contact Email:** [torsten.haferlach@mll.com](mailto:torsten.haferlach@mll.com)

1 **Testing the diagnostic supremacy of sequencing-only approaches**

2 **in hematologic malignancies: an observational trial**

3

4 **Running Title:** SOLVING RIDDLES THROUGH SEQUENCING (SIRIUS)

5

6 **Principal Investigator (PI):** Prof. Dr. med. Dr. phil. Torsten Haferlach (TH)

7 Correspondence: [torsten.haferlach@mll.com](mailto:torsten.haferlach@mll.com)

8

9 **Co-Investigator(s):** Prof. Dr. med. Wolfgang Kern (WK), Adam Wahida (AW)

10

11 **GCP Statement:** This trial will be performed in compliance with the good clinical practice  
12 directive from the European Union (2005/28/EC)

13

14 **Funding:**

15 (1) MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory, Max-Lebsche-Platz 31, 81377 Munich, GERMANY

16 **SUMMARY**

17 During the last decades hematologists have excelled at improving and refining the  
18 classification, diagnosis, and thus ultimately the therapeutic decision-making process for their  
19 patients. This continuous evolution proceeded in parallel to seminal discoveries in basic science  
20 such as FISH, PCR and NGS. So far, the current WHO classification serves as reference to  
21 diagnostic decision making and is largely based on 5 diagnostic pillars: cytomorphology of  
22 peripheral blood and/or bone marrow smears, histology and immunohistochemistry of bone  
23 marrow trephine biopsies or lymph nodes, immunophenotyping, chromosome banding analysis  
24 supplemented by FISH analysis, molecular genetics including PCR and targeted panel  
25 sequencing via NGS. This leads to a swift diagnosis in 90 % of all cases. The leftover 10 %  
26 remain a challenge for hematopathologists and clinicians alike and are resolved through  
27 interdisciplinary teams in the context of specialized boards. With the advent of high throughput  
28 sequencing (mainly WGS and WTS) the possibility of a comprehensive and detailed portrait  
29 of the genetic alterations – specifically in challenging cases – has become a realistic alternative  
30 to classical methods. In SIRIUS we will prospectively challenge this hypothesis to address the  
31 question of how often a better or final diagnosis can be delivered by WGS and/or WTS and if  
32 unclear cases can be efficiently resolved.

33

34 **Type of Research:** observational study, diagnostic study, hematology, leukemia, next  
35 generation sequencing

36

37 **Intervention:** none

## 38 **APPROVAL**

39 This study will be conducted with the utmost respect for individual patients in accordance with  
40 the requirements of this diagnostic trial protocol and especially in accordance with the  
41 following:

- 42 • Good clinical practice directive (European Union) (2005/28/EC)
- 43 • The ethical principles in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki
- 44 • International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) E6 Good Clinical Practice:  
45 consolidated guideline
- 46 • Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (*Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* DFG)
- 47 • Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants (PMID: 25741868)
- 48 • All applicable laws and regulations, including, without limitation, data privacy laws,  
49 clinical trial disclosure laws, and regulations.

## 50 I. INTRODUCTION

### 51 1. Background and Rationale

52 Treatment of hematological diseases relies on a single cardinal prerequisite: correct  
53 classification within the broad specter of malignant diseases arising from the hematopoietic  
54 system. With the ever-expanding availability of distinct yet complementary diagnostic tools,  
55 our understanding of the landscape of hematological diseases steadily increases. As such, the  
56 current consensus classification as summarized through the WHO classification (2017)  
57 represents a compass guiding diagnostic algorithms to the correct diagnosis. Today, the gold  
58 standard of routine diagnostic process relies on five methodological pillars: cytomorphology,  
59 histology, chromosomal cytogenetics, immunophenotyping, and molecular genetic testing.  
60 This leads to a treatment enabling diagnosis in the vast majority of cases. However,  
61 approximately 10 % of cases remain unresolved from a diagnostic point of view and hence do  
62 not lead to a satisfactory diagnosis according to current WHO standards (2017). We intend to  
63 solve this issue to provide illuminating diagnostic guidance for the best possible patient's care.

### 64 2. Objectives

65 To address this problem, we hypothesize that novel high throughput sequencing methods, e.g.,  
66 whole genome and/or whole transcriptome sequencing are able – by virtue of painting a more  
67 delicate genetic portrait of a tumor sample – to provide a more accurate diagnosis.

68 To this end we generated a reference collection of 5,500 samples with the full spectrum of  
69 hematological malignancies, for which we performed whole-genome sequencing as well as  
70 whole-transcriptome sequencing. Moreover, gold standard diagnoses according to WHO  
71 classification with all needed techniques, all performed in MLL, clinical data and therapy  
72 response data are fully available for these cases. The main advantage of this reference

73 collection consists of the unambiguity of each diagnosis, providing a reference framework for  
74 any further classification and diagnosis especially in difficult cases.

75 Therefore, SIRIUS will compare the diagnostic superiority of WGS or WTS to the combined  
76 approach with gold standard results and by matching the obtained results to the nearest “digital  
77 sibling” within our reference cohort of more than 5,500 WGS and WTS (both in 93% of cases).

78 To this end, we will use an inhouse developed matching algorithm, which is able to match  
79 genomic or transcriptomic profiles to a group of similar cases and gold standard results from  
80 timepoint of this study. Current workflows intended to generate WTS/WGS data from patient  
81 samples – all while fulfilling state of the art accreditation (ISO 15189) – require up to 5 – 7  
82 days. This is largely comparable to classical methods but holds the promise to replace error  
83 prone and arduous iterations in the methodological work up. The objective is to test whether  
84 WTS and/or WGS based approaches can surpass classical methods regarding diagnostic  
85 precision and routine reliability. Here we will test this hypothesis in a prospective real-world  
86 setting under diagnostically difficult circumstances.

## 87 II. STUDY DESIGN

### 88 1. Type of Study

89 SIRIUS is conducted as a monocentric prospective case-control study. The study population  
90 consists of carefully chosen patients with potential hematological malignancy, for which  
91 current diagnostic methods were not sufficient to provide clear-cut diagnosis and definitive  
92 clinical guidance. SIRIUS is entirely a non-interventional study without therapeutic  
93 consequences for direct patient care. Data will be used as research study and micro-cost  
94 analysis will be provided.

### 95 2. Duration of Study

96 SIRIUS will be conducted for a total number of 110 patients with inconclusive diagnosis by  
97 gold standard techniques for a total of up to nine months after the first enrollment. Patients to  
98 qualify for study will be selected by referring center and PI before material is send to MLL.

### 99 3. Quality Control

100 Due to the heterogeneity in quality of blood and bone marrow samples arriving at MLL,  
101 SIRIUS is preceded by a rigorous quality assessment of every patient history, data already  
102 available and sample source and quality to be potentially included in the present study. The  
103 minimal requirements are listed in III.

### 104 4. Primary Study Endpoints

105 Overall, the efficacy and supremacy of WGS/WTS analysis will be subjected to current  
106 standard procedures. The primary endpoint will be assessed as follows: unclear cases will be  
107 subjected to three diagnostic algorithms:

108 (1) Inhouse at referring site by histopathological diagnosis in the context of a hematological  
109 tumor board according to current standards (“best practice”)

110 (2) Current gold-standard diagnostic workup as performed routinely by the MLL,  
111 consisting of

- 112 a. Cytomorphological diagnosis
- 113 b. Immunophenotypic diagnosis
- 114 c. Chromosomal banding analysis
- 115 d. Molecular testing
- 116 e. NGS based Targeted panel sequencing

117 (3) WGS and WTS sequencing plus matching to nearest digital sibling in 5,5k cohort

118 The results of (2) and (3) arms will be first compared to the result obtained in (1) since this is  
119 the therapy guiding approach *in domo*. Clinical follow-up observations will be made to assess  
120 the success of this first diagnosis.

121 Next results from (2) and (3) will be compared against each other to obtain an assessment of  
122 replaceability of current gold-standard methods to either WGS or WTS and WGS/WTS  
123 combined. If both approaches do not yield a similar conclusion, specific data from (2) will be  
124 added to results in (3) until a definitive assessment can be made.

## 125 5. Secondary Study Endpoints

- 126 • Turn-over time until potential therapy guiding diagnosis
- 127 • Cost-effectiveness until timepoint of diagnosis and therapy
- 128 • Compare WES to WGS data in SIRIUS cohort
- 129 • Identify number of potential actionable targets for which a therapy has been approved  
130 but identification was missed in (1) and (2)
- 131 • Identify putative disease stage in comparison to (2) and clinical history

132

133



### 134 III. SUBJECT SELECTION AND WITHDRAWAL

135

#### 136 1. Number Of Subjects

137 SIRIUS intends to enroll a total number of 110 cases provided by approximately 50 certified  
138 hematological centers.

139

#### 140 2. Gender, Age

141 Patients' samples from both sexes will be used (male and female). Only samples from adult  
142 patients (18 years or older) will be used.

143

#### 144 3. Inclusion Criteria

- 145 • Patients having been investigated with a suspected hematological disorder and:
  - 146 ○ Having unclear diagnosis after internal routine diagnosis
  - 147 ○ Unusual clinical course
  - 148 ○ Unusual r/r status or non-responder
  - 149 ○ Multiple parallel hematological conditions
  - 150 ○ Difficult/rare therapy associated/secondary neoplasms
- 151 • Current diagnostic work-up is not satisfactory in terms of (1) accuracy (2) clinical  
152 behavior
- 153 • Only samples of patients min. 18 years of age will be used
- 154 • Material with a minimum of 20% tumor content in bone-marrow or peripheral blood  
155 sample
- 156 • Samples must suffice quality attributes control which are denoted in (4.)
- 157 • Patient's informed consent

158                   4. Exclusion Criteria

159           • Sample is not fit for state-of-the-art diagnosis, fails initial quality control. For quality  
160           insurance we will exclude samples with wrong anticoagulant sent. Samples with  
161           damage due to meteorological reasons (freeze-thaw damage or elevated temperature)  
162           will be excluded.

163           • Samples with to scarce material jeopardizing routine gold-standard diagnosis will be  
164           excluded (tumor content < 20 %).

165

166                   5. Location

167   SIRIUS will be conducted as monocentric trial at the MLL.

168 **IV. STATISTICAL PLAN**

169

170 To determine the statistical significance within the endpoint analysis we will assess both  
171 quantitative as well as qualitative variables. Quantitative variables will be described with the  
172 number of non-missing values, mean, standard deviation, median, and minimum/maximum  
173 values. Qualitative variables will be expressed as a number and percentage of patients with  
174 each qualitative characteristic. The missing values are not intended to be included in the  
175 calculation of percentages. Sensitivity and specificity will be assessed specific to each method,  
176 with respect to internal gold standard diagnostic work-up.

177

178 **V. RISKS AND BENEFITS**

179 *Potential Direct Benefits to Subject*

180 Conducting SIRIUS will not bear any risks for patients enrolled in the study. Normal State-of-  
181 the-art diagnosis is provided for each sample and prioritization of sample material in favor  
182 current diagnostic material and reporting is performed to prevent jeopardization of gold  
183 standard diagnosis.

184

185 **VI. DATA HANDLING AND RECORD**

186 Data management documents will be generated under the responsibility of the Sponsor. A  
187 management plan will be issued before data collection begins and will describe all functions,  
188 processes, and specifications for data collection, cleaning, and validation. The data  
189 management documents will describe analysis methods and individuals who are authorized to  
190 enter the data, decisions about ownership of data, source data storage, the origin and destination  
191 of the data and who will always get access to the data. Data Management Responsibilities are

192 primarily handled by co-investigator Wolfgang Kern (WK). Per request of external researchers,  
193 the Sponsor will provide these investigators with additional data relating to the trial, duly  
194 anonymized and protected in accordance with applicable requirements.

195

## 196 **VII. STUDY MONITORING, AUDITING, AND INSPECTION**

197 The Investigator will make all the trial-related source data and records available at any time to  
198 quality assurance auditor(s) mandated by the Sponsor, or to domestic/foreign regulatory  
199 inspectors or representatives who may audit/inspect the trial. The main purposes of an audit or  
200 inspection are to assess compliance with the trial protocol and the principles of ICH-GCP  
201 including the Declaration of Helsinki and all other relevant regulations.

202

## 203 **VIII. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

204 The MLL is the main sponsor of this trial. Reagents for gold standard investigations will be  
205 provided by MLL. Reagents for sequencing will be provided for by Illumina®, Inc. San Diego,  
206 CA. All Data generated are fully owned by MLL. MLL will share aggregated and anonymized  
207 data with Illumina®, i.e., without revealing patient sensitive data such as germline mutations.

208

## 209 **IX. CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

210 Prof. Dr. phil. Dr. med. Torsten Haferlach and Prof. Dr. med. Wolfgang Kern are part owners  
211 of the MLL Munich Leukemia Laboratory.

212

## 213 **PUBLICATION PLAN**

214 The results obtained from SIRIUS will analyzed according to the guidelines of Good scientific  
215 Practice of the German Science funding agency (DFG). Results that will be interesting for the

216 scientific community will be submitted and subsequently published in peer-reviewed academic  
217 journals, according to the appropriate scope and audience.

218 At the end of the trial, one or more manuscripts for joint publication may be prepared in  
219 collaboration between the Investigator(s) and financial sponsors. The PI reserves the right to  
220 be last author(s) in all publications related to this trial. In the event of any disagreement in the  
221 content of any publication, both the Investigator's and the Sponsor's opinion will be fairly and  
222 sufficiently weighed and represented in the publication.

223

## 224 **X. ARCHIVING**

225 The PI is fully responsible for maintaining all the records (protocol and protocol amendments,  
226 relevant correspondence, and all other supporting documentation), which enable the conduct  
227 of the trial at the site to be fully understood, in compliance with ICH-GCP.

228 The study site should plan on retaining such documents for 10 years after study completion.  
229 These documents should be retained for a longer period if required by the applicable regulatory  
230 requirements or the hospital, institution, or private practice in which the study is being  
231 conducted. Patient identification codes (patient names and corresponding study numbers) will  
232 be retained for this same period.

### 233 **Trial Master File**

234 The Sponsor will archive the Trial Master File in accordance with ICH-GCP and applicable  
235 regulatory requirements.