NCT02595528

Study ID: 199201-009

Title: A Phase 2, Multicenter, Double-masked, Randomized, Vehicle-Controlled, Study of the Concurrent Use of AGN-190584 and AGN-199201 in Patients With Presbyopia

Statistical Analysis Plan Amendment 2 Date: 09Nov2017

1. Title Page

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PLAN

A Phase 2, Multicenter, Double-masked, Randomized, Vehicle-Controlled, Study of the Concurrent Use of AGN-190584 and AGN-199201 in Patients with Presbyopia

Amendment 2.0: 2017-11-09

Study Number: Development Phase: Product Name:

Study Statistician: Sponsor: 199201-009 2b AGN-199201 (oxymetazoline hydrochloride ophthalmic solution) and AGN-190584 (pilocarpine hydrochloride ophthalmic solution)

Allergan (North America) 2525 Dupont Drive, Irvine, California USA 92612

This document is the property of Allergan PLC and may not, in full or part, be passed on, reproduced, published, distributed to any person, or submitted to any regulatory authority without the express written permission of Allergan PLC.

2. Table of Contents

1.	Title	e Page 1			
2.	Table	e of Cor	itents	2	
	2.1	List of	Tables	3	
	2.2	List of	Figures	4	
3.	List	of Abbr	eviations and Definition of Terms	5	
4.	Intro	duction		6	
	4.1	Study	Design Summary	6	
	4.2	Study Objectives and Endpoints			
5.	Stati	stical M	ethodology and Study Endpoints 1	1	
	5.1	Statist	ical Methods Planned in the Protocol and Determination of Sample Size 1	1	
		5.1.1	Statistical and Analytical Plans 1	1	
			5.1.1.1 Common Conventions	1	
			5.1.1.2 Demographics	5	
			5.1.1.3 Efficacy Analyses	8	
		5.1.2	Determination of Sample Size	7	
	5.2	Chang	es in the Conduct of the Study or Planned Analyses	7	
		5.2.1	Changes in the Conduct of the Study	7	
		5.2.2	Changes to Analyses Prior to Database Lock	7	
6.	Data	Handli	ng and Analysis Conventions	7	
	6.1	Study	Treatment Conventions	7	
		6.1.1	Analysis Days	7	
		6.1.2	Missing/Incomplete Treatment End Date	8	
	6.2	Analys	sis Visit Windows	8	
		6.2.1	Efficacy	8	
	6.3	Site Po	poling	9	
	6.4	Missin	g/Incomplete Date Conventions	9	
		6.4.1	Missing/Incomplete AE Start Date	9	
		6.4.2	Missing/Incomplete Medication Start Date	0	

	6.4.3	Missing/	Incomplete AE/Medication End Date			
6.5	Efficacy Endpoint Conventions					
6.6	Safety	Safety Endpoint Conventions				
	6.6.1	Adverse	Events			
		6.6.1.1	Missing Intensity or Relationship			
	6.6.1.2 Possible Distant Spread of Toxin (PDSOT)					
	6.6.1.3 AE Group of Interest					
	6.6.2	6.2 Clinical Laboratory Assessments				
	6.6.3	Vital Sig	ıns			
		6.6.3.1	Potentially Clinically Significant Criteria			
		6.6.3.2	Continuous Descriptives and Shift Table Parameters			
	6.6.4	Electroca	ardiograms			
6.7	Imputed Value Listing Conventions					
6.8	Analy	sis Plan A	mendment 1 Summary			

2.1 List of Tables

Table 3-1	Abbreviations and Definitions of Terms
Table 4-1	Study Objectives and Corresponding Endpoints7
Table 5-1	Analysis Populations 11
Table 5-3	Statistical Methodology 14
Table 5-4	Missing Data Handling by Endpoint Type15
Table 5-5	Analysis Population Summaries15
Table 5-6	Subject Disposition Summaries
Table 5-7	Protocol Deviation Summary
Table 5-8	Demographic Summaries
Table 5-9	Baseline Characteristics Summaries
Table 5-10	Medical History Summary
Table 5-11	Medication Summaries

Table 5-12	NEI VFG-25 Summary
Table 5-15	Mesopic, High Contrast UNVA Analyses
Table 5-23	AE Terms
Table 6-1	Analysis Day Definitions
Table 6-4	Imputation Scenarios
Table 6-5	Initial Imputed Date Algorithm
Table 6-6	Missing AE Intensity and Relationship Imputation Algorithms

2.2 List of Figures

Abbreviation/Term	Definition
AE	adverse event
AGN	Allergan
ANCOVA	analysis of covariance
ANOVA	analysis of variance
ATC	Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical
CFB	change from baseline
eCRF	electronic case report form
EO	exploratory objective
GCP	Good Clinical Practice
ICH	International Conference on Harmonisation
LOCF	last observation carried forward
LS	least squares
MedDRA	Medication Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
mITT	modified intent-to-treat
MMRM	mixed-effects model for repeated measures
NEI	national eye institute (NEI) visual function questionnaire - 25 (VFQ-25)
OC	observed cases
PCS	potentially clinically significant
PO	primary objective
PP	per-protocol
PRO	patient reported outcome
PT	preferred term
SAE	serious adverse event
SADE	serious adverse device effect
SAP	statistical analysis plan
SID	subject identification
SO	secondary objective
SOC	system organ class
TEAE	treatment-emergent adverse event
UDVA	uncorrected distance vision acuity
UNVA	uncorrected near vision acuity
VAS	visual analog scale
VFQ-25	visual function questionnaire - 25
WHO	World Health Organization

3. List of Abbreviations and Definition of Terms

Abbreviations and Definitions of Terms

Table 3-1

4. Introduction

This statistical analysis plan (SAP) details comprehensive, technical specifications of the statistical analyses of the efficacy and safety data outlined and/or specified in the final protocol of Study 199201-009 (version dated 2015-09-15) and the most recent amendment (version 4 dated 2016-04-04). Specifications of tables, figures, and data listings are contained in a separate document.

This document is organized into 3 main sections:

- 1. Study overview
- 2. Statistical Methodology and Study Endpoints
- 3. Data Handling and Analysis Conventions

4.1 Study Design Summary

This study is a phase 2b, multicenter, double-masked, parallel-group, randomized sequence, dose response, vehicle-controlled study in patients with presbyopia. Sixteen unfixed combination of AGN-190584 and AGN-199201 (and a fixed combination of AGN-190584 and AGN-199201 solutions will be dosed in the nondominant eye during 5 dosing periods. For 17 different treatment combinations, each dosing period will be once daily for 2 consecutive days, followed by a 7 to 21 day washout before the next 2-day dosing period, until exit after the fifth 2-day dosing period. The study duration will be 39 to 112 days per patient.

Following a screening visit (days -18 to -1) patients will be randomized at a baseline visit (visit 1) in a 1:1:1:1 ratio (stratified by uncorrected near vision acuity at baseline of $\leq 20/80$ and > 20/80) to 1 of the following treatment groups:

•	Group 1: AGN-199201 vehicle 0%	and AGN-190584	
•	Group 2: AGN-199201 ophthalmic solution	and AGN-190584	
•	Group 3: AGN-199201 ophthalmic solution	and AGN-190584	
•	Group 4: AGN-199201 ophthalmic solution	and AGN-190584	

All patients will receive the fixed combination of AGN-199201

ophthalmic solutions within one of the 5 dosing periods. Vehicle for AGN-190584, vehicle for AGN-199201, and the vehicle for fixed combination ophthalmic solution have the same formulation.

and AGN-190584



4.2 Study Objectives and Endpoints

Each study primary objective (PO), secondary objective (SO), and exploratory objective (EO) is presented with corresponding endpoint(s) below:

5 5 1	8 I
Objectives	Endpoints
Primary	
PO1 To identify the optimum concentrations of AGN-199201 , and AGN-190584 when dosed in combination once a day for the improvement of uncorrected near vision acuity (UNVA) in patients with presbyopia	The primary efficacy variable is the weighted average change from baseline in UNVA letter in the nondominant eye over 2-day periods between hour 1 and hour 10. Baseline will be the hour 0 measure for each dosing period.

Table 4-1 Study Objectives and Corresponding Endpoints

4.3 Schedule of Activities





5. Statistical Methodology and Study Endpoints

5.1 Statistical Methods Planned in the Protocol and Determination of Sample Size

This statistical analysis plan (SAP) will be approved prior to database lock. The SAP expands the statistical section of the protocol and contains a detailed description of methods to analyze data collected in the study. The text portion of the SAP will be included in the CSR report as Appendix 16.1.9.

5.1.1 Statistical and Analytical Plans

Statistical analyses will be conducted using

ucted using

5.1.1.1 Common Conventions

5.1.1.1.1 Analysis Populations

The analysis populations will consist of subjects as defined below:

Table 5-1	Analysis Populations

Population	Definition	Study Treatment
Screened	All screened subjects who sign informed consent	—
Modified Intent-to-	All randomized subjects with a baseline and at least 1 post	Randomized assignment
Treat (mITT)	baseline assessment of mesopic high contrast UNVA, with	
	baseline vary not more than 3 lines across the 5 dosing period,	
	and will be analyzed as randomized.	
Per Protocol (PP)	All randomized patients who have no significant protocol	Actual received
	deviations that affect the primary efficacy variable and complete	
	all study visits for each dosing period.	
Safety	All subjects who received ≥ 1 administration of study treatment	Actual received

5.1.1.1.2 Study Treatments

The following treatment groups are defined for this study:

- AGN-199201 AGN-190584
 AGN-199201 AGN-190584
 AGN-199201 AGN-190584
 AGN-199201 AGN-190584
- Fixed Combo + Fixed Combo
- AGN-199201 0% + AGN-190584
- AGN-199201 + AGN-190584



The following treatment sequences are defined for this study:



5.1.1.1.3 Statistical Methodology

The methodologies defined below apply as specified to individual endpoints defined in this SAP. When indicated, 95% two-sided confidence intervals and two-sided p-values will be presented.

Individual endpoint analyses may specify exceptions (additions or deletions) to these general definitions.

Meth	odology	Description
M1 Categorical		Number of subjects in individual categories
	counts	\circ Subjects with > 1 qualifying event counted once per individual category
M2	Categorical	Number and percentage of subjects in individual categories
	descriptives	• Subjects with ≥ 1 qualifying event counted once per individual category
	-	• (Optional) N included if percentage denominator \neq number of subjects in the
		population (standard percentage denominator).
M3	PCS	• Number and percentage of subjects meeting potentially clinically significant (PCS)
	descriptives	criteria
		\circ Subjects with \geq 1 qualifying event counted once per PCS category
		• Percentage denominator = number of subjects with non-missing baseline and >=1
		non-missing postbaseline assessment
	~ .	Unevaluable assessments considered missing
M4	Continuous	• N included, mean, standard deviation (SD), median, minimum, maximum
1.65	descriptives	• N included = subjects with non-missing value
M5		• Continuous descriptives for baseline, postbaseline, and change from baseline (CFB)
	descriptives	values
		• N included = subjects with non-missing values at both baseline and the specified
M6	CEB	Continuous descriptives and standard error (SE) for baseline nestbaseline and CEP
1410	ANCOVA	• Continuous descriptives and standard error (SE) for baseline, postbaseline, and CFD
	niteo m	 Estimates derived from mixed model for CEB value controlling for factors
		(pilocarpine dose, oxymetazoline dose) and covariates (baseline UNVA severity, iris
		color)
		• Least squares (LS) means and standard errors
		 P-values from contrast t-test comparing active AGN-199201 treatment
		groups vs AGN-199201 vehicle
		• N included = subjects with non-missing values at both baseline and the postbaseline
) (7	CED	analysis visit
M/	CFB	• Continuous descriptives and SE for baseline, postbaseline, and CFB values at each
	WINKIN	analysis visit α N included – subjects with non-missing values at both baseline and the
		nosthaseline analysis visit
		• Estimates derived from mixed model for CFB value controlling for fixed factors
		(pilocarpine dose, oxymetazoline dose,), covariates (baseline UNVA severity, iris
		color), and interactions (pilocarpine dose and oxymetazoline dose interaction), with
		an compound symmetry covariance matrix
		 LS means and standard errors
		• N included = subjects with non-missing values at both baseline and
	0775 <i>(</i>	postbaseline analysis visit
M8	CFB figure	Plot of CFB LS means and SE bars for each treatment group.
M9	CFB RS	• Plot of CFB response surface in 3 dimension.
M10	ngure	
IVITU	Responder	Categorical descriptives for responders and nonresponders Nonresponders include:
		 Nonresponders include: Subjects who do not meet responder criteria

Table 5-3	Statistical Methodology
	<i></i>

CFB = change from baseline; ANCOVA = analysis of covariance; ANOVA = analysis of variance.

MMRM = mixed model for repeated measures; RS=response surface

Raw and derived data listings will be provided, and will be fully defined in the table, figure, and data listing specification document.

5.1.1.1.4 Missing Data

General missing data handling conventions are specified for methodologies in Section 5.1.1.1.3 and summarized as follows:

	_	
Endpoint type	Timing	Missing Data Handling
Responder	Treatment Period	All subjects included
		 Subjects with no postbaseline values will be excluded
CFB ANCOVA	Treatment Period	 If missing covariates (including baseline if applicable) Subject excluded
		 If missing weighted average change from baseline UNVA
		letters:
		 Subject excluded
CFB MMRM	Treatment Period	 If missing covariates (including baseline if applicable) or missing values at all postbaseline analysis visits Subject excluded
		• If missing weighted average change from baseline UNVA
		letters:
		 Subject excluded
CFB Posebaseline	Treatment Period	• If missing value at the specified postbaseline analysis visit:
		 Available cases
		 Subject excluded

Table 5-4	Missing Data Handling by Endpoint Type

5.1.1.1.5 Site Pooling

Data from all sites will be pooled for analysis.

5.1.1.1.6 Other Common Conventions

Ocular adverse events and other ocular evaluations will be summarized using eye as the experimental unit by dosing group and dosing period. Nonocular adverse events and other nonocular assessments will be summarized using patient as the experimental unit by dosing group and dosing period.

5.1.1.2 Demographics

5.1.1.2.1 Analysis Populations

The distribution of subjects within the analysis populations will be summarized as follows:

Table 5-5Analysis Population Summaries

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Screened Population	Distribution overall and within countries/regions/sites in total	Screening Period,	Categorical counts

mITT, PP and Safety	Distribution overall and within	Treatment Period	Categorical counts
populations	countries/regions/sites in total and by		
	treatment group		

5.1.1.2.2 Subject Disposition

Subject disposition encompasses the distribution of subjects who enter, complete, and discontinue each specified analysis period, along with eCRF-reported discontinuation reasons from each respective analysis period. Subject disposition will be summarized as follows:

Table 5-6	Subject Disposition Summaries
	Subject Disposition Summaries

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Screening and	Distribution in the Screened Population in	Screening Period,	Categorical
pretreatment disposition	total	Pretreament Period	descriptives
Study disposition	Distribution in the mITT Population in	Treatment Period	Categorical
	total and by treatment group		descriptives

5.1.1.2.3 Protocol Deviations

Protocol deviations will be defined in a separate document, including importance classification. Protocol deviations will be summarized as follows:

Table 5-7	Protocol Deviation	Summary

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Important protocol	Distribution in the mITT Population in	Treatment Period	Categorical
deviations	total and by treatment group		descriptives

5.1.1.2.4 Demographics

Demographics will be summarized for the Screened Population, and in total and by treatment group for the mITT, PP and Safety populations, as follows:

Table 5-8Demographic Summaries

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Age	Age (years) relative to informed consent	Informed consent	Continuous
	date		descriptives
Age group	• 40-47 years	Informed consent	Categorical
	• 48-51 years		descriptives
Sex, race, and ethnicity	eCRF categories	Screening Period	Categorical
	Race group		descriptives
	o White		
	 Non-white 		
	Ethnicity		
	 Hispanic 		
	 Non-hispanic 		

5.1.1.2.5 **Baseline Characteristics**

Baseline characteristics will be summarized in total and by treatment group for the mITT, PP and Safety populations as follows:

Table 5-9	Baseline Characteristics Summaries		
Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Baseline characteristics	Iris color	Latest assessment in	Categorical
		Screening Period or	descriptives
		Pretreament Period	
Randomization strata	• UNVA at baseline of $\leq 20/80$	Randomization date	Categorical
	• UNVA at baseline of > 20/80		descriptives

T.LL. 5 0 Characteristics St

Medical History 5.1.1.2.6

Medical history, encompassing abnormalities and surgeries reported as occurring before the Screening Visit, will be coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA), version 19.0 or newer. Unique subjects who report medical history events will be summarized by MedDRA system organ class (SOC) and preferred term (PT) in total and by treatment group for the Safety Population as follows:

Table 5-10	
------------	--

Medical History Summary

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Medical history	Abnormalities and surgeries occurring	Screening Period	Categorical
	before the Screening Visit		descriptives

SOCs will be sorted alphabetically; PTs will be sorted in descending frequency in the highest dose group.

5.1.1.2.7 **Prior and Concomitant Medications**

Medications will be listed for the Safety Population as follows:

Medications will be coded using the World Health Organization (WHO) Drug Dictionary, version 14.0 or newer. Unique subjects who reported medications will be summarized by Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) 4 class and PT in total and by treatment group for the Safety Population as follows:

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Prior medications	Medications taken ≥ 1 time before the study treatment start date, regardless of medication end date	Screening Period, Pretreament Period	Categorical descriptives
Concomitant medications	Medications taken ≥ 1 time on or after the study treatment start date, regardless of medication start date • Medications starting 1 day after	Treatment Period	Categorical descriptives

Table 5-11 **Medication Summaries**

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
	treatment end date will be listed		
	but excluded from analysis		

ATC4 classes will be sorted alphabetically; PTs will be sorted in descending frequency in the highest dose group.

5.1.1.2.8 National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire

National eye institute (NEI) visual function questionnaire - 25 (VFQ-25) that will be administered at screening to determine patient-reported near and distance vision functioning. NEI VFQ-25 will be summarized for the Safety Population.

Table 5-12	NEI VFG-25 Summary	NEI VFG-25 Summary		
Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology	
NEI VFQ-25	NEI VFQ-25 questionnaires	Screening Period	Categorical descriptives	

5.1.1.3 Efficacy Analyses

Efficacy analyses will be based on the mITT Population. The primary efficacy variable, weighted average change from baseline in UNVA letter, will also be analyzed based on the PP population.

The following efficacy assessments are defined:





5.1.1.3.1 Study Success Criteria

There will be no adjustment of type 1 error rate for the multiple tests.

5.1.1.3.2 Mesopic, High Contrast UNVA

General description of mesopic, high contrast UNVA endpoints.

Table 5-15Mesopic, High Contrast UNVA Analyses

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Average change from	Average change from baseline in UNVA letters ¹ in the	Treatment	CFB MMRM ²
baseline in UNVA	nondominant eye over two-day dosing periods between	Period	CFB ANCOVA ³
letters (Primary efficacy	hour 1 and hour 10.		CFB RS figure
variable)			CFB figure

5.1.1.4.2 Adverse Events

The following adverse event (AE) terms are defined:

Table 5-23	AE Terms
------------	----------

Term	Description
Treatment-	An event that initially occurs or increases in intensity on or after the treatment start date, where:
emergent	• Treatment start date \leq event start date \leq following washout period before the next 2-day
	dosing period or last dose date + 30 days
On-therapy	An event where:
	• Treatment start date \leq event start date \leq following washout period before the next 2-day
	dosing period or last dose date + 30 days

AEs, encompassing abnormalities and surgeries reported as occurring after the Screening Visit, will be coded using MedDRA version 19.0 or newer. Unique subjects reporting AEs in the

following AE categories will be summarized by treatment group and overall for the Safety Population as follows:

Endpoint	Description	Timing	Methodology
Overall summary	Overall summary only for the following categories: • Treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) • Treatment-related TEAEs • Study-procedure-related TEAEs • On-therapy serious adverse events (SAEs) • On-therapy fatal SAEs • AEs leading to discontinuation	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical descriptives
TEAEs	Overall summary and by SOC and PT	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical descriptives
Common TEAEs	 Summary by PT Includes TEAEs occurring in ≥ 5.0% of subjects in any treatment group 	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical descriptives
TEAEs by intensity	 Overall summary and by SOC, PT, and intensity Subjects categorized overall and within each SOC and PT for the most intense occurrence 	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical descriptives
Treatment-related TEAEs	Overall summary and by PT	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical descriptives
Study-procedure-related TEAEs	Overall summary and by PT	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical descriptives
On-therapy SAEs ¹	Overall summary and by PT	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical descriptives
On-therapy fatal SAEs ¹	Overall summary and by PT	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical descriptives
AEs leading to study discontinuation ¹	Overall summary and by PT	Treatment Period, Follow-up	Categorical

Table 5-21 **AE Summaries**

¹ Subjects who report \geq 1 AE in the AE category and all AEs for those subjects will be listed. SOCs will be sorted alphabetically; PTs will be sorted in descending frequency in the highest dose group.

5.1.1.5 Subgroup Analyses

Subgroup analyses will be performed for the primary efficacy variable by UNVA severity at baseline and iris color (brown or not brown).

5.1.1.6 Interim Analyses

Not applicable.

5.1.2 Determination of Sample Size

The study consists of 4 parallel groups and forty patients per each parallel group will be enrolled. With a 1:1:1:1 parallel group allocation and 10% dropout rate, a total of 160 patients are to be enrolled in order to have 144 patients complete the study. The sample size is deemed appropriate to assesses the efficacy profile of the combination relative to monotherapies.

5.2 Changes in the Conduct of the Study or Planned Analyses

5.2.1 Changes in the Conduct of the Study

Not applicable.

5.2.2 Changes to Analyses Prior to Database Lock

The term of 'average change from baseline in UNVA letters' instead of 'weighted average change from baseline in UNVA letters' will be used since weighted average concept only applies when missing mid-timepoint values are imputed. UNVA responder analyses with at least a 2-line improvement in mesopic, high contrast UNVA from baseline at a majority of postdose timepoints (6 or more) in the nondominant eye will be added.

Subgroup analyses by gender, race and ethnicity will be performed .

mITT definition will be change to 'All randomized subjects with a baseline and at least 1 post baseline assessment of mesopic high contrast UNVA, with baseline vary not more than 3 lines across the 5 dosing period, and will be analyzed as randomized.'

6. Data Handling and Analysis Conventions

6.1 Study Treatment Conventions

6.1.1 Analysis Days

Treatments are defined as follows:

Table 6-1	Analysis Day Definitions
Term	Description
Treatment Day	Relative to treatment start date If analysis date ≥ treatment start date: • Day = analysis date – treatment start date + 1 ○ Day 1 = treatment start date

6.1.2 Missing/Incomplete Treatment End Date

If the investigator is unable to provide the treatment end date, treatment end date will be imputed to the last available dosing record date/last available efficacy assessment date.





Data from all sites will be pooled for analysis.

6.4 Missing/Incomplete Date Conventions

Dates may be imputed with year, month, and day values under certain scenarios:

Table 6-4Imputation Scenarios

	Complete			
Scenario	Year	Month	Day	Imputable
1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Complete
2	Yes	Yes	—	Yes
3	Yes	—	Yes	No ¹
4	Yes	—	—	Yes
5	—	Yes	Yes	No ¹
6	—	Yes	—	No ¹
7	—	—	Yes	No ¹
8				Yes

¹ Not allowed per database design.

Dates will be imputed initially toward a specified target date for imputable scenarios 2, 4, and 8, and adjusted against the latest reasonable dates. The initial imputed date is determined by the following algorithm:

Table 6-5

Initial Imputed Date Algorithm

Available Year		Available Month (MM)		
(YYYY)	Missing	< Target Month	= Target Month	> Target Month
Missing	Target Date		_	
< Target Year	YYYY-12-31	YYYY-MM-LD		
= Target Year	Target Date	YYYY-MM-LD	Target Date	YYYY-MM-01
> Target Year	YYYY-01-01		YYYY-MM-01	

YYYY = available start date year; MM = available start date month; LD = last day of the month.

6.4.1 Missing/Incomplete AE Start Date

AE start dates will be imputed as the minimum of the following:

- Initial imputed date, where target date = Treatment start date
- Complete end date

6.4.2 Missing/Incomplete Medication Start Date

Medication start dates will be imputed as the minimum of the following:

- Initial imputed date, where target date = Treatment start date 1
- Complete end date

6.4.3 Missing/Incomplete AE/Medication End Date

AE and medication end dates will be imputed as the minimum of the following:

- Initial imputed date, where target date = Treatment end date + 30
- Death date

6.5 Efficacy Endpoint Conventions

Not applicable.

6.6 Safety Endpoint Conventions

6.6.1 Adverse Events

6.6.1.1 Missing Intensity or Relationship

If the investigator is unable to provide the actual values, the following imputations will be applied:

Table 6-6 Missing AE Intensity and Relationship Imputation Algorithms

Missing Value	Imputation	Timing
Intensity	Mild	Screening Period, Pretreatment Period
	Severe	Treatment Period
Relationship		Screening Period, Pretreatment Period
	Related	Treatment Period

6.6.1.2 **Possible Distant Spread of Toxin (PDSOT)**

Not applicable.

6.6.1.3 AE Group of Interest

Not applicable.

6.6.2 Clinical Laboratory Assessments

Not applicable



6.7 Imputed Value Listing Conventions

In general, listings will present the actual partial or missing values rather than the imputed values that may be used in endpoint derivation. In instances where imputed values will be presented, imputed values will be flagged. Actual rules will be fully defined in the table, figure, and data listing specification document.

6.8 Analysis Plan Amendment 1 Summary

Following is a summary of content-oriented changes that were made to each section of the protocol, and a brief rationale for these changes. Minor editorial and document formatting revisions have not been summarized.

Section	Revision	Rationale
Section 5.1.1.1.1	mITT definition is changed to 'All randomized subjects with a baseline and at least 1 post baseline assessment of mesopic high contrast UNVA, with baseline vary not more than 3 lines across the 5 dosing period, and will be analyzed as randomized.'	Correction for the primary efficacy baseline data issue.
Section	Age group	Correction

Section	Revision	Rationale
5.1.1.2.4	40-47 years48-51 years	
Section 5.2.2	UNVA responder analyses with at least a 2-line improvement in mesopic, high contrast UNVA from baseline at a majority of postdose timepoints (6 or more) in the nondominant eye will be added.	Added additional analysis

6.9 Analysis Plan Amendment 2 Summary

Following is a summary of content-oriented changes that were made to each section of the protocol, and a brief rationale for these changes. Minor editorial and document formatting revisions have not been summarized.



ALLERGAN

199201-009 Statistical Analysis Plan

